

φ -Superharmonic Functions on Infinite Random Walks

N. Nathiya¹, M. Surya Priya², P. Swarnambigai³

*Division of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology
Chennai, Chennai-600127, India.*

Abstract

In a random walk $\{X, P : p(x, y)\}$ with a countable infinite state space X and P the matrix of transition probabilities, a basic problem is to determine whether the walk is recurrent or transient. Among different characterisations to solve this problem, one method uses the Laplace operator Δ . Now the Laplacian Δ (in the sense of distributions) plays an important role in classical potential theory starting with the study of subharmonic functions. In this paper we develop a parallel theory in the aspect of the random walk $\{X, P\}$, using an operator Δ_φ on X , which can be considered as a generalized version of the discrete Schrödinger operator. In this framework, for a function $\varphi(x) \geq 0$, we develop on X a theory of φ -superharmonic functions leading to φ -Dirichlet problem, φ -recurrence and φ -transience.

Keywords : recurrent and transient walk, φ -superharmonic functions, A_φ operator.

2020 AMS subject classification: 60J45, 31C20.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a random walk $\{X, P\}$ with a countable infinite state space X and $P = \{p(x, y)\}$ the matrix of transition probabilities $p(x, y)$, let $\varphi(x)$ be a density function on X . For a real-valued function $u(x)$ on X , $\varphi(x)u(x)$ is the weighted value at any state $x \in X$. The average function of $u(x)$ is defined as $Au(x) = \sum_{y \sim x} p(x, y)u(y)$, where $y \sim x$ denotes that y is a neighbour of x ; the value $Au(x)$ is well defined, since we assume that any state x has only a finite number of neighbours y . We shall be more interested in the operator $A_\varphi u(x) = Au(x) - \varphi(x)u(x)$.

Remark that, when $\varphi \equiv 1$, A_φ is the laplace operator Δ on X ; when $\varphi \not\equiv 0$ and $\varphi(x) > 1$, A_φ is a generalised version of the discrete Schrödinger operator on X ; when $\varphi \not\equiv 0$, $\varphi(x) < 1$, A_φ represents a generalised version of the discrete Helmholtz operator on X . In this paper, we consider the case when $\varphi \geq 0$ only.

To study the effect of the operator A_φ on the real-valued functions on X , we adopt potential-theoretic methods on infinite graphs. Using the positive density function $\varphi(x)$, we define φ -harmonic, φ -superharmonic and φ -subharmonic functions and we try to determine the relationship between weighted value and average value of a real valued function on a random walk X . Some basic properties of φ -superharmonic functions are derived which also includes poisson modification of φ -superharmonic function. Greatest φ -harmonic minorant and Riesz-representation of positive φ -superharmonic functions are determined. In section 4, solution of Dirichlet problem is obtained by considering a connected finite subset of X . Potential theoretic concepts like Harnack property and domination principle are discussed. In section 5, relation between laplace operator- Δ and A_φ -operator is established.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\{X, P\}$ be a random walk with a countable infinite number of states X and $P = \{p(x, y)\}$ is the probability transition matrix, where $p(x, y)$ denotes the transition probability from state x to state y . We assume $\{X, P\}$ is connected (i.e, for any two distinct states there exists a path connecting them), locally finite (every state in X has finite neighbours) and without self loops [1]. As usual, we shall take X as an infinite graph by defining $[x, y]$ as an edge iff $p(x, y) > 0$. We say two states x and y are neighbours if there exists an edge between them and it is denoted by $x \sim y$ and $\sum_{y \sim x} p(x, y) = 1$ for every $x \in X$ with $p(x, y) \geq 0$ such that $p(x, y) > 0$ if and only if $x \sim y$; $p(x, y) = 0$ if x and y are not neighbours [2].

Suppose F is a subset of an infinite random walk X , we say x is an interior vertex of F if and only if x and all its neighbours are in F . The set of all interior points of F is denoted by $\overset{\circ}{F}$ and $\partial F = F \setminus \overset{\circ}{F}$, where ∂F is referred to as the boundary of F [3] and [4]. For a positive density function $\varphi(x) > 0$ on X , we say that $\varphi(x)u(x)$ is the weighted value of $u(x)$ at x and $Au(x) = \sum_{y \sim x} p(x, y)u(y)$ is the average value of $u(x)$ at x . write $A_\varphi u(x) = Au(x) - \varphi(x)u(x)$

Definition: Let u be a real valued function defined on a subset F of X . Then u is said to be φ -**harmonic** on F if $A_\varphi u(x) = 0$ at every state $x \in \overset{\circ}{F}$ and u is said to be φ -**superharmonic** on F and φ -**subharmonic** on F if and only if $A_\varphi u(x) \leq 0$ and

$A_\varphi u(x) \geq 0$ at every state $x \in \overset{\circ}{F}$ respectively.

If s is φ -superharmonic and v is φ -subharmonic functions on F such that $s(x) \geq v(x)$, then h is a φ -harmonic function on F such that $s(x) \geq h(x) \geq v(x)$ and suppose there is another such φ -harmonic function h^1 between $s(x)$ and $v(x)$, then $h(x) \geq h^1(x)$ on F . Here h is called the **greatest φ -harmonic minorant (g.h.m.)** of s on F . If the greatest φ -harmonic minorant (g.h.m.) of a non-negative φ -superharmonic function p on F is 0 then p is called φ -potential.

A random walk is considered to be recurrent if the walk starting at a state z returns to z infinitely often; where as, the walk starting at a state z returning to state z only finitely often with probability one is said to be a transient walk [5].

3. SOME BASIC PROPERTIES OF φ - SUPERHARMONIC FUNCTION

Property 3.1. *If u_1, u_2 are φ -superharmonic on a set F , then $\inf(u_1, u_2)$ is also φ -superharmonic on F .*

Proof. Let $u = \inf(u_1, u_2)$

At a state z , suppose $u(z) = u_1(z)$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} Au(z) &= \sum_{y \sim z} p(z, y)u(y) \\ &\leq \sum_{y \sim z} p(z, y)u_1(y) \leq \varphi(z)u_1(z) \\ &= \varphi(z)u(z) \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\inf(u_1, u_2)$ is φ -superharmonic on F . □

Property 3.2. *Let $u(x) > -\infty$ be a function on X such that $Au(x) \leq \varphi(x)u(x)$ for any state x in X . If $u(x)$ is real valued at some state z , then $u(x)$ is real valued on X , hence φ -superharmonic on X .*

Proof. Since $\varphi(z)u(z) \geq \sum_{y \sim z} p(z, y)u(y)$, then $u(y)$ is real valued for every $y \sim z$. Since X is connected, this implies that $u(x)$ is a real valued function on X , hence u is φ -superharmonic on X . □

Property 3.3. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence of φ -superharmonic functions on F and if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) = u(x)$ is finite at every vertex in F , then u is φ -superharmonic on F [6].

Proof. For $x \in \overset{\circ}{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_\varphi u_n(x) &\leq 0 \\ \sum_{y \sim x} p(x, y) u_n(y) - \varphi(x) u_n(x) &\leq 0 \\ \sum_{y \sim x} p(x, y) u_n(y) &\leq \varphi(x) u_n(x) \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both the sides,

$\sum_{y \sim x} p(x, y) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(y) \leq \varphi(x) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x)$, since X is locally finite, the sum is finite.

$$\sum_{y \sim x} p(x, y) u(y) - \varphi(x) u(x) \leq 0$$

Implies u is φ -superharmonic function on F . □

Property 3.4. For a real-valued function $f(x)$ on X . Let \mathcal{F} be the family of all φ -superharmonic functions $s(x)$ on X such that $s(x) \geq f(x)$. If \mathcal{F} is non-empty then $u(x) = \inf_{s \in \mathcal{F}} s(x)$ is φ superharmonic on X .

Proof. If s_1, s_2 are in \mathcal{F} , then $\inf\{s_1, s_2\}$ also is in \mathcal{F} . Thus \mathcal{F} is a lower-directed family; moreover X contains only a countable number of states. Hence there exist a decreasing sequence $\{s_n\}$ in \mathcal{F} , such that $\inf_{s \in \mathcal{F}} s(x) = \lim s_n(x)$ which is a φ superharmonic function on X . □

Property 3.5. Poisson Modification: Let $u(x)$ be a real-valued function on $\{X, P\}$, that is φ -superharmonic at a state z . Then there exists a function $u_z(x)$ on X such that $u_z(x) \leq u(x)$ on X ; $u_z(x) = u(x)$ if $z \neq x$; and $u_z(x)$ is φ -harmonic at z .

Proof. At state z , $u(x)$ is a φ -superharmonic function.

$\Rightarrow A_\varphi u(z) \leq 0$ at $z \in F$

$$\sum_{x \sim z} p(z, x) u(x) - \varphi(z) u(z) \leq 0$$

$$Au(z) - \varphi(z)u(z) \leq 0$$

$$Au(z) \leq \varphi(z)u(z) \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

Define,

$$u_z(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{Au(z)}{\varphi(z)} & \text{if } x = z \\ u(x) & \text{if } x \neq z \end{cases} \quad \text{on } X.$$

Then, (i) $u_z(x) \leq u(x)$ on X

(ii) $A_\varphi u_z(z) = 0$

For,

$$A_\varphi u_z(z) \Rightarrow \sum_{y \sim z} p(z, y)u_z(y) - \varphi(z)u_z(z)$$

If $x = z$, then

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{y \sim z} p(z, y)u(z) - \varphi(z)\frac{Au(z)}{\varphi(z)}$$

$$\Rightarrow Au(z) - Au(z) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow A_\varphi u_z(z) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow u_z(z) \text{ is } \varphi\text{-harmonic.}$$

If $x \neq z$, $u_z(x) = u(x)$

If $x = z$,

$$u_z(z) = \frac{Au(z)}{\varphi(z)} \leq u(z)$$

$$u_z(z) \leq u(z)$$

Hence, $u_z(x) \leq u(x)$.

□

Property 3.6. *Greatest φ -harmonic minorant: Suppose $u(x) \geq v(x)$ on X where $u(x)$ is φ -superharmonic and $v(x)$ is φ -subharmonic on a subset F . Then there exists a φ -harmonic function $h(x)$ on F , $u(x) \geq h(x) \geq v(x)$ and if h_1 is any other φ -harmonic function between $u(x)$ and $v(x)$, then $h(x) \geq h_1(x)$ on F [7].*

Proof. Consider \mathcal{F} to be the family of all φ -subharmonic functions $s(x)$ on F , such that $s(x) \leq u(x)$. We know that X is countable and \mathcal{F} is an upper-directed family of φ -subharmonic functions. Consequently, there exists an increasing sequence $\{s_n(x)\}$ of functions in \mathcal{F} such that $\sup_{\mathcal{F}} s(x) = \sup s_n(x) = h(x)$ which is a φ -subharmonic function on F and $h(x) \leq u(x)$. Actually, $h(x)$ is a φ -harmonic function. For, if $z \in \overset{\circ}{F}$, then the Poisson modification $h_z(x)$ is a φ -subharmonic function on F which

also belong to \mathcal{F} so that $h_z(x) \geq h(x)$; but by construction $h(x)$ is the supremum. Hence $h_z(x) = h(x)$ which leads to the conclusion that $h(x)$ is φ -harmonic on F . For the maximality of the function $h(x)$, note that if $h_1(x)$ is another such φ -harmonic minorant of $u(x)$, then $h_1(x) \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $h_1(x) \leq h(x)$. \square

Property 3.7. Riesz representation: Suppose $u(x)$ is a positive φ -superharmonic function on F . Then $u(x) = p(x) + h(x)$, where $p(x)$ is a non-negative φ -potential on F and $h(x)$ is a non-negative φ -harmonic function on F . This decomposition is unique.

Proof. Let $h(x)$ be the greatest φ -harmonic minorant of $u(x)$ on F . Then $p(x) = u(x) - h(x)$ is a φ -potential on F , hence the decomposition. For the uniqueness, suppose $u(x) = p^*(x) + h^*(x)$ is another such decomposition, then $p(x) \geq h^*(x) - h(x)$ should imply that $h^*(x) - h(x) \leq 0$; similarly we prove that $h(x) \leq h^*(x)$. Then follows the uniqueness of decomposition. \square

4. DIRICHLET PROBLEM

Theorem 4.1. Dirichlet Problem: Let F be a connected finite subset of X on which a positive φ -superharmonic function exists. If $f(a)$ is a real-valued function on ∂F , then there exists a φ -harmonic function $h(x)$ on F such that $h(a) = f(a)$ for every $a \in \partial F$.

Proof. Let $\xi(x) > 0$ be a φ -superharmonic function on X . Since F is a finite set, we can assume that $\xi(x) \geq 1$ on F . For a state z in ∂F , let $\delta_z(x)$ be the Dirac function on F . Let us consider a function $V(x)$ on F such that $V(x) = \xi(x)$ if $x \in \overset{\circ}{F}$ and $V(a) = \delta_z(a)$ if $a \in \partial F$. Note that $V(x)$ is a φ -superharmonic function on F . Let \mathcal{F} be a family of all superharmonic functions on $s(x)$ on F such that $s(x) \geq V(x)$ on F .

Denote by $P(z, x) = \inf_{\mathcal{F}} s(x)$; Then $P(z, x)$ is φ -harmonic on F , $P(z, x) = 1$ if $x = z$ and $P(z, x) = 0$ on $\partial F / \{z\}$.

Define now $h(x) = \sum_{a \in F} P(a, x)f(a)$ for $x \in F$.

By minimum principle for φ -harmonic functions on finite subsets, the uniqueness of the solution is proved. \square

Remark 4.1. In random walks, when $\varphi \equiv 1$, $P(z, x)$ represents the probability of a walker starting at the state $x \in F$ reaches the state $z \in \partial F$ before reaching any other state in ∂F .

Proof. Let $\rho(a)$ be the probability of the walker starting at the state $a \in F$ and reaching $z \in \partial F$ before reaching any other state in ∂F . Then $\rho(z) = 1$ and $\rho(a) = 0$ for any $a \in \partial F/\{z\}$; if $x \in \overset{\circ}{F}$ then $P(x) = \sum_{y \sim x} p(x, y)\rho(y)$. Thus $\rho(x)$ is a φ -harmonic function on F when $\varphi \equiv 1$. By the uniqueness of the Dirichlet solution, $\rho(x) = p(z, x)$ □

Theorem 4.2. *Harnack property: Let x and y be two states on a subset F of X , there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$, such that $u(y) \leq \alpha u(x)$ for any non negative φ -superharmonic function u on F .*

Proof. Given a φ -superharmonic function u , $A_\varphi u(x) \leq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \sum_{y \sim x} p(x, y)u(y) - \varphi(x)u(x) &\leq 0 \\ \sum_{y \sim x} p(x, y)u(y) &\leq \varphi(x)u(x) \end{aligned}$$

Let x, y be two states on X . Then a path $\{x, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, y\}$ between x and y exists.

$$\begin{aligned} p(x, x_1)u(x_1) &\leq \sum_{y \sim x} p(x, y)u(y) \leq \varphi(x)u(x) \\ p(x, x_1)u(x_1) &\leq \varphi(x)u(x) \\ u(x_1) &\leq \frac{\varphi(x)}{p(x, x_1)}u(x) \dots \dots \dots (1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_\varphi u(x_1) &\leq 0 \\ \sum_{y \sim x_1} p(x_1, y)u(y) &\leq \varphi(x_1)u(x_1) \\ p(x_1, x_2)u(x_2) &\leq \sum_{y \sim x_1} p(x_1, y)u(y) \leq \varphi(x_1)u(x_1) \\ u(x_2) &\leq \frac{\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x)}{p(x, x_1)p(x_1, x_2)}u(x) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$p(x_2, x_3)u(x_3) \leq \varphi(x_2)u(x_2)$$

$$u(x_3) \leq \frac{\varphi(x_2)\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x)}{p(x, x_1)p(x_1, x_2)p(x_2, x_3)}u(x)$$

Proceeding this way,

$$u(y) \leq \frac{\varphi(x_n)\varphi(x_{n-1})\dots\dots\dots\varphi(x)}{p(x_n, y)p(x_{n-1}, x_n)\dots\dots\dots p(x, x_1)}u(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow u(y) \leq \alpha u(x)$$

□

Note: The same can be deduced for two disjoint finite subsets of X .

Theorem 4.3. *If there exists a positive φ -superharmonic function on X , then there exists a positive φ -harmonic function on X .*

Proof. For a positive φ -superharmonic function s on X and e is a fixed vertex on X .

Let $\{k_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be an finite increasing sequence then,

$e \in \overset{\circ}{k}_n \subset k_n \subset \overset{\circ}{k}_{n+1} \subset k_{n+1}$ and $X = \cup k_n$.

Consider a function s_n on X .

such that,

$$s_n(x) = \begin{cases} u_n(x) & \text{on } k_n \\ s(x) & \text{on } X \setminus k_n \end{cases}$$

$u_n(x)$ is the dirichlet solution of k_n with boundary $s(x)$.

$$h_n(x) = \frac{s_n(x)}{s_n(e)}$$

h_n is φ -superharmonic on X .

Consequently, $A_\varphi h_n(x) = 0$ for $x \in \overset{\circ}{k}_n$ and $h_n(e) = 1$

By Harnack property, For any $y \in X$, $\alpha(y) > 0$ is a constant. Hence $u(y) \leq \alpha(y)u(e)$ for a positive φ -superharmonic function.

Certainly, for any $x \in X$, $h_n(x) \leq \alpha(x)h_n(e)$ and $h_n(x) \leq \alpha(x)$, that is $\{h_n(x)\}$ is a sequence of real numbers which is bounded. For X being a countable set, let us extract a subsequence $\{h'_n\}$ from $\{h_n\}$ so that for each $x \in X$,

$h(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h'_n(x)$ exists .

For a finite set F in X , an integer m can be obtained such that h'_n is φ -harmonic at each vertex of F if $n \geq m$. Hence, h is φ -harmonic at each vertex of F . For an arbitrary finite set F , $h(x)$ is a non-negative φ -harmonic function on X . Since $h(z) = 1$, by the Minimum Principle, $h > 0$ on X . Hence proving the existence of a positive φ -harmonic function on X .

□

Theorem 4.4. *Domination principle: Let p be a φ -potential with φ -harmonic support U . If s is a non-negative φ -superharmonic function on X such that $s \geq p$ on U . Then $s \geq p$ on X .*

Proof. Suppose p is a φ -potential with φ -harmonic support U and s be a φ -superharmonic function on X such that $s \geq p$ on U .

Let $u = \inf(s, p)$, then $A_\varphi u(x) \leq 0$.

$u \leq p$ on X (since p is φ -potential)

$u = p$ on U (since U harmonic support of S in X)

Suppose $v = p - u$ on X . Then for $a \in U$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_\varphi v(a) &= \sum_{y \sim a} p(a, y)v(y) - \varphi(a)u(a) \\ &= \sum_{y \neq z \sim a} p(a, y)v(y) + p(a, z)v(z) - \varphi(a)v(a) \\ &\geq \sum_{y \neq z \sim a} p(a, y)v(y) + p(a, z)v(z) - \varphi(a)v(a) \\ &\geq \sum_{y \sim a} p(a, y)v(y) - \varphi(a)v(a) \\ &\geq 0 \\ A_\varphi v(a) &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

For $x \in X \setminus U$,

$$A_\varphi v(x) = A_\varphi p(x) - A_\varphi u(x) = 0 - A_\varphi u(x) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow A_\varphi v(x) \geq 0$$

$\Rightarrow v$ is φ -subharmonic on X and $v \leq p$ on X .

Thus $v \leq 0$ on X , such that $p \leq u$ but, $u \leq p \Rightarrow p = u$.

Hence, $s \geq p$ on X [1]. □

5. WHEN $\varphi(X) \geq A\xi(X)/\xi(X)$ FOR SOME REAL VALUED FUNCTION $\xi(X) > 0$

When $\varphi(x) \geq A\xi(x)/\xi(x)$ for some real valued function $\xi(x) > 0$. In the following, assume that a function $\xi(x) > 0$ on X exists, such that $\varphi(x) \geq \frac{A\xi(x)}{\xi(x)}$.

Remark that if $\xi(x) \geq 1$ for all x in X , then this condition is satisfied with $\xi(x) = 1$; also, since $\xi(x)$ is a φ -superharmonic function on X by the assumption, from property (7) it follows that there is a function $\mu(x) > 0$ such that $\varphi(x) = A\mu(x)\backslash\mu(x)$.

Let $t(x, y) = p(x, y)\mu(y)$ for any pair of states x, y . Then $\{X, t(x, y)\}$ becomes an infinite network in the sense of Lecture Notes [3]. The Laplace operator Δ for this network is given by $\Delta u(x) = \sum_{y \sim x} t(x, y)[u(y) - u(x)]$.

Lemma 5.1. *For any real-valued function $u(x)$ on X , $A_\varphi u(x) = \Delta[\frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)}]$.*

Proof. $A_\varphi u(x) = Au(x) - \varphi(x)u(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= Au(x) - \frac{A\mu(x)}{\mu(x)}u(x) \\ &= \sum_{y \sim x} p(x, y)u(y) - \sum_{y \sim x} p(x, y)\mu(y) \frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)} \\ &= \sum_{y \sim x} t(x, y) \frac{u(y)}{\mu(y)} - \sum_{y \sim x} t(x, y) \frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)} \\ &= \sum_{y \sim x} t(x, y) \left(\frac{u(y)}{\mu(y)} - \frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)} \right) \\ &= \Delta \left[\frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

□

Consequence: From the above lemma, a real valued function $u(x)$ on X is φ -harmonic (respectively φ -superharmonic) at a state x iff $\frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)}$ is Δ -harmonic (respectively Δ -superharmonic) at x in the network $\{X, t(x, y)\}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] WOLFGANG WOESS: *Random Walks on Infinite Graphs and Groups*, Cambridge University Press-2000.
- [2] NASH-WILLIAMS, C. ST JA.: *Random walk and electric currents in networks*, In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 181-194. Cambridge University Press, 1959.
- [3] ANANDAM.V: *Harmonic Functions and potentials on Finite or Infinite Networks*, springer science and business media, 2011.
- [4] SOARDI, PAOLO M.: *Potential theory on infinite networks*, Springer, 2006.
- [5] ANANDAM.V: *Random walks on infinite trees*, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl* 65.1(2020),75-82.
- [6] ANANDAM.V: *Some potential-theoretic techniques in non-reversible Markov chains*, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo* 62.2 (2013): 273-284.
- [7] KAMALELDIN ABODAYEH: *Dirichlet problem in infinite Networks*, *International Journal of pure and Applied Mathematics*, **72** No.3 2011, 365-374.