

## Generalized open and closed sets via fuzzy ideal topological spaces

**Jeenu Kurian**

*Department of Mathematics, Sacred Heart College  
Thevara, Ernakulam, India.*

### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to discuss on generalized open and closed sets in a unified manner. The generalized  $I$  – closed sets and FIR - Open sets are discussed via fuzzy ideal topology. We define fuzzy  $g$  –  $I$  – closed sets, fuzzy  $g$  –  $I$  – continuous function, FIR- Open Sets and investigate their properties.

**Keywords :** Fuzzy  $g$  –  $I$  – closed sets, Fuzzy  $g$  –  $I$  – continuous function, FIR- Open Sets.

**2000 AMS Subject Classification :** 54C10, 54C50

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh[16] in 1965 and fuzzy topology by Chang[5]. The theory of fuzzy topological spaces was subsequently developed by several authors by considering the basic topics of general topology Jankovic[7] and several other authors studied Ideal topological spaces. Mahmoud[10] and Sarkar[9] presented some of the ideal concepts in fuzzy and studied many of its properties.

A fuzzy subset  $A$  of a fuzzy sets  $X$ , denoted by  $A \leq X$  is characterized by a membership function. The basic fuzzy sets are the empty set, whole set and the class of all fuzzy subsets of  $X$  which is denoted by  $0, 1$  and  $I^X$ , respectively. The collection of all fuzzy open sets containing  $x$  will be denoted by  $\tau(x)$ . By  $(X, \tau)$  we mean a fuzzy topological spaces. A fuzzy set which is a fuzzy point with support  $x \in X$  and value  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$  will be designated by  $x_\lambda$ [15]. Also, for a fuzzy point  $x_\lambda$  and a fuzzy set  $A$  we shall write  $x_\lambda \in A$  to mean that  $\lambda \leq A(x)$ . A fuzzy set in  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be quasi-

coincident with a fuzzy set  $B$ , denoted by  $AqB$ , if there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $A(x) + B(x) > 1$  [8]. A fuzzy set  $V$  in  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $q$ -neighborhood ( $q$ -nbd, for short) of a fuzzy point  $x_\lambda$  if and only if there exists a fuzzy open set  $U$  such that  $x_\lambda qU \leq V$  [8, 6]. We will denote the set of all  $q$ -nbd of  $x_\lambda$  in  $(X, \tau)$  by  $N(x_\lambda)$ . For a fuzzy set  $A$  in  $X$ ,  $Cl(A)$ ,  $Int(A)$  and  $A'$  will respectively denote the closure, interior and complement of  $A$ .

A non empty collection  $I$  of fuzzy subsets of  $X$  is called a fuzzy ideal [9,10] if and only if (1)  $A \in I$  and  $B \leq A$ , then  $B \in I$  (heredity), (2) if  $A \in I$  and  $B \in I$ , then  $A \vee B \in I$  (finite additivity). The triple  $(X, \tau, I)$  means fuzzy topological space with a fuzzy ideal  $I$  and fuzzy topology  $\tau$ . For  $(X, \tau, I)$ , the fuzzy local function of  $A \leq X$  with respect to  $\tau$  and  $I$  is denoted by  $A^*(\tau, I)$  (briefly  $A^*$ ) [9]. The fuzzy local function  $A^*(\tau, I)$  of  $A$  is the union of all fuzzy points  $x_\lambda$  such that if  $U \in N(x_\lambda)$  and  $E \in I$  then there is at least one  $y \in X$  for which  $U(y) + A(y) - 1 > E(y)$  [9]. Fuzzy closure operator of a fuzzy set  $A$  in  $(X, \tau, I)$  is defined as  $Cl^*(A) = A \vee A^*$  [9]. In  $(X, \tau, I)$ , the collection  $\tau^*(I)$  means an extension of fuzzy topological space via fuzzy ideal which is constructed by considering the class  $\beta = \{U - E : U \in \tau, E \in I\}$  as a base.

**Lemma 1:** [9] Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be any fuzzy ideal topological space and  $A, B$  be fuzzy subsets of  $X$ . Then the following properties hold:

1. If  $A \leq B$  then  $A^* \leq B^*$ .
2.  $A^* = Cl(A^*) \leq Cl(A)$ .
3. If  $U \in \tau$ , then  $U \wedge A^* \leq (U \wedge A)^*$ .
4.  $(A^*)^* \leq A^*$ .
5.  $(A \vee B)^* = A^* \vee B^*$ .

**Definition 2:** [1] A fuzzy subset  $A$  of a fuzzy ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be

1. fuzzy  $*$ -dense-in-itself if  $A \leq A^*$ .
2. fuzzy  $*$ -closed if  $A^* \leq A$ .
3. fuzzy  $*$ -perfect if  $A = A^*$ .

## 2. Fuzzy $g - I -$ closed Sets

**Definition 3:** A subset  $A$  is said to be fuzzy  $g$  closed if  $cl(A) \leq U$ , whenever  $A \leq U$  and  $U$  is open set in  $(X, \tau, I)$  [4].

**Definition 4:** A subset  $A$  is said to be fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed if  $A^* \leq U$ , whenever  $A \leq U$  and  $U$  is open set in  $(X, \tau, I)$ . The complement of fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed is fuzzy  $g - I -$  open.

**Theorem 5:** If  $A$  is fuzzy open set and fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed then  $A$  is  $\tau^*$  - closed. Proof : Let  $A$  be fuzzy open set and fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed, then  $A^* \leq A$  and hence  $A$  is  $\tau^*$  - closed.

**Theorem 6:** If  $A$  and  $B$  are fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed sets in fuzzy topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$ , then  $A \vee B$  is also fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed set.

Proof : Let  $A$  and  $B$  be fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed sets such that  $A \vee B \leq U$ , then  $A \leq U$  and  $B \leq U$ . Therefore  $A^* \leq U$  and  $B^* \leq U$  (since  $A$  and  $B$  be fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed ), hence  $(A \vee B)^* = A^* \vee B^* \leq U$ .

**Theorem 7:** For a fuzzy topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  with ideal  $I$  the following properties hold:

1. Every fuzzy  $g -$  closed is fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed except when  $I = \{\phi\}$
2. Every closed set is fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed.

**Remark 8:** The converse of the above result is not true

**Example 9:** Consider  $\tau = \{0, 1, A, H, K\}$  on  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with  $I = P(X)$

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = a \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = b, c \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$K(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = a, b, c \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In this fuzzy topological space,

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = a \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is not a fuzzy  $g -$  closed set but it is a fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed set.

**Example 10:** Consider  $\tau = \{0, 1, B\}$  on  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with  $I = P(X)$

$$B(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $C(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = c \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

is not a fuzzy closed set but it is a fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed set.

**Theorem 11:**  $A$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed if and only if  $AqB$  implies  $A^*qB$  for every fuzzy closed set  $B$ .

Proof : Let  $A$  be fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed. Let  $B$  be fuzzy closed in  $X$ , such that,  $AqB$ . Then  $A \leq 1 - B$  where  $1 - B$  is fuzzy open set. Therefore  $A^* \leq 1 - B$  and hence  $A^*qB$ . Conversely, let  $D$  be fuzzy open set such that  $A \leq D$ , then,  $Aq(1-D)$  where  $1-D$  is fuzzy closed. Therefore,  $A^*q(1-D)$  and  $A^* \leq D$ .

**Theorem 12:** If  $A$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed in  $(X, \tau, I)$  and  $A \leq B \leq A^*$  where  $B$  is  $*$ -dense, then  $B$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed in  $(X, \tau, I)$ .

Proof : Since  $A$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed,  $A^* \leq U$  whenever  $A \leq U$  and  $U$  is fuzzy open set in  $(X, \tau)$ . To prove  $B$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed. Let  $B \leq V$  where  $V$  is fuzzy open set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $A \leq B \leq V$  and  $A$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed,  $A^* \leq V$  implies  $B \leq A^* \leq V$ . Since  $B$  is  $*$ -dense,  $B^* \leq B \leq V$ . Therefore  $B$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed.

**Theorem 13:** Let  $(Y, \tau_y)$  be a subspace of  $(X, \tau, I)$  and  $A$  be a fuzzy set of  $Y$ . If  $A$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed in  $X$ , then  $A$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed in  $Y$ .

Proof : Let  $A$  be fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed in  $X$ , then,  $A^* \leq U$  whenever  $A \leq U$  and  $U$  is fuzzy open set in  $X$ . Suppose  $A \leq V$  and  $V$  is fuzzy open set in  $Y$ . But  $V$  is fuzzy open set in  $Y$  implies  $V$  is fuzzy open set in  $X$ . Therefore,  $A^* \leq V$  and hence  $A$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed in  $Y$ .

### 3. Fuzzy $g - I -$ continuous function

**Definition 14 :** A map  $f : (X, \tau) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be fuzzy  $g -$  continuous[5] if  $f^{-1}(A)$  is fuzzy open in  $X$ , for every fuzzy open set  $A$  in  $Y$

**Definition 15 :** A map  $f : (X, \tau, I) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma, J)$  is said to be fuzzy  $g - I -$  continuous if  $f^{-1}(A)$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed in  $X$ , for every fuzzy closed set  $A$  in  $Y$ .

**Definition 16 :** A map  $f : (X, \tau, I) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma, J)$  is said to be fuzzy  $g - I -$  irresolute if  $f^{-1}(A)$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed in  $X$ , for every fuzzy  $g - I -$  closed set  $A$  in  $Y$ .

**Remark 17:** The following properties hold for a function

$f : (X, \tau, I) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma, J)$ :

1. Every fuzzy  $g - I -$  irresolute map is fuzzy  $g - I -$  continuous.
2. Every fuzzy continuous map is fuzzy  $g - I -$  continuous.
3. Every fuzzy  $g -$  continuous map is fuzzy  $g - I -$  continuous.

**Theorem 18 :** A map  $f : (X, \tau, I) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma, J)$  is said to be fuzzy  $g - I -$  continuous if and only if the inverse image of each fuzzy open set of  $Y$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  open in  $X$ .

**Theorem 19:** If  $f : (X, \tau, I) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma, J)$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  continuous, then for every point  $x_p$  of  $X$  and each  $A \in Y$  such that  $f(x_p)qA$ , there exist a fuzzy  $g - I -$  open set  $B$  of  $X$  such that  $x_p \in B$  and  $f(B) \leq A$ .

Proof : Let  $x_p$  be any point of  $X$ . Then  $f(x_p)$  is a fuzzy point in  $Y$ . Now let  $A \in Y$  be such that  $f(x_p)qA$ . Put  $f^{-1}(A) = B$ . Since  $f$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  continuous,  $B$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  open set  $B$  of  $X$  and  $x_p \in B$ . Moreover  $f(B) = f(f^{-1}(A)) \leq A$ .

**Theorem 20:** If  $f : (X, \tau, I) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma, J)$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  continuous and  $g : (Y, \sigma, J) \longrightarrow (Z, \gamma, K)$  is fuzzy continuous then  $fog : (X, \tau, I) \longrightarrow (Z, \gamma, K)$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  continuous.

**Theorem 21:** If  $f : (X, \tau, I) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma, J)$  and  $g : (Y, \sigma, J) \longrightarrow (Z, \gamma, K)$  are fuzzy  $g - I -$  irresolute then  $fog : (X, \tau, I) \longrightarrow (Z, \gamma, K)$  is fuzzy  $g - I -$  irresolute.

#### 4. FIR - Open Sets

**Definition 22 :** A fuzzy subset  $A$  of a fuzzy ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be fuzzy preopen [3] if  $A \leq \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$ , fuzzy b-open [14] if  $A \leq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A)) \vee \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$ , fuzzy  $t - I -$  set [11] if  $\text{int}(A) = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))$ , fuzzy semiopen [2] if  $A \leq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A))$ , fuzzy pre-I-open [11] if  $A \leq \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))$ , fuzzy  $\beta$ -I-open [13] if  $A \leq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)))$ , fuzzy regular open [2]  $\text{int}(A) = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))$ , fuzzy I-open [12] if  $A \leq \text{Int}(A^*)$ . The family of all fuzzy preopen, fuzzy regular open is denoted by  $\text{FPO}(X, \tau)$ ,  $\text{FRO}(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 23:** A subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is called FIR-Open (resp. fuzzy regular I open) if  $A = \text{int}(\text{Cl}(A^*))$  (resp.  $A = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))$ ). The family of all FIR- open sets (resp. fuzzy regular I open) is denoted by  $\text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$  (resp.  $\text{FRIO}(X, \tau)$ ).

**Theorem 24:** If  $A \leq X$  is fuzzy I - open and fuzzy semi - closed then  $A$  is FIR- open.

Proof : Suppose  $A$  is I - open then  $A \leq \text{int}(A^*)$  implies  $A \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A^*))$ . Since  $A$  is semi - closed, we have  $(X - A) \leq \text{cl}(\text{int}(X - A))$  implies  $A \geq X - \text{cl}(\text{int}(X - A)) = \text{int}(X - \text{int}(X - A)) = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \geq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A^*))$ , since  $A^* = \text{cl}(A^*) \leq \text{cl}(A)$ . Hence  $A \geq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A^*))$ . Thus  $A$  is FIR- open.

**Theorem 25:** For a subset  $A \leq (X, \tau, I)$  the following properties hold:

[1]  $A = \text{int}(A^*)$  for all  $A \in \text{FIRO}(X)$ .

[2] If  $A$  is  $*$ -closed and  $A \in \text{FIRO}(X)$  then  $\text{int}(A) = \text{int}(A^*)$ .

Proof : (1) Follows from the definition of  $\text{FIR}$ - open set and the fact that the local function is closed. That is  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A^*)) = \text{int}(A^*)$ , since  $A^* = \text{cl}(A^*)$ .

(2) Since  $A \in \text{FIRO}(X)$  we have  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A^*)) = \text{int}(A^*) \leq \text{int}(A) \leq A$  [since  $A$  is  $*$ -closed we have  $A^* \leq A$ ]. Thus  $\text{int}(A) = \text{int}(A^*)$ .

**Lemma 26:** If  $(X, \tau, I)$  is an ideal topological space and  $A \leq X$  is  $*$ -dense then  $A^* = \text{cl}(A^*) = \text{cl}^*(A) = \text{cl}(A)$ .

**Theorem 27:** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space and  $A, B$  be subsets of  $X$ . Then

[1] if  $A \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$  and  $B \in \tau^*$  then  $A \vee B \in \text{FPO}(X, \tau)$ .

[2] if  $A \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$  and  $B$  is fuzzy open then  $A \vee B \in \text{FPO}(X, \tau)$ .

[3] if  $A \in \text{FRO}(X, \tau)$  and  $B \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$  then  $A \vee B \in \text{FPO}(X, \tau)$ .

[4] if  $A \in \text{FRIO}(X, \tau)$  is  $*$ -dense and  $B \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$  then  $A \vee B \in \text{FPO}(X, \tau)$ .

[5] if  $A \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$  and  $B \in \text{FPO}(X, \tau)$  then  $A \vee B \in \text{FPO}(X, \tau)$ .

[6] if  $A \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$  and  $B \in \text{FPO}(X, \tau)$  then  $A \vee B \in \text{FBO}(X, \tau)$ .

Proof : (1) Since  $A \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$  and  $B \in \tau^*$ , we have

$$A \vee B \leq [\text{int}(\text{cl}(A^*)) \vee \text{int}(B^*)], \text{ by definition}$$

$$A \vee B \leq [\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \vee \text{int}(\text{cl}(B))], \text{ from property of local function}$$

$$A \vee B \leq [\text{int}(\text{cl}(A) \vee (\text{cl}(B)))]$$

$$A \vee B \leq [\text{int}(\text{cl}(A \vee B))], \text{ from hypothesis}$$

Hence  $A \vee B \in \text{FPO}(X, \tau)$ .

(2) Let  $A \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$  and  $B$  be fuzzy open, therefore we have

$$A \vee B \leq [\text{int}(\text{cl}(A^*)) \vee \text{int}(B)], \text{ by definition}$$

$$A \vee B \leq [\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \vee \text{int}(\text{cl}(B))], \text{ from property of local function}$$

$$A \vee B \leq [\text{int}(\text{cl}(A) \vee (\text{cl}(B)))]$$

$$A \vee B = [\text{int}(\text{cl}(A \vee B))], \text{ from hypothesis.}$$

Hence  $A \vee B \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ .

(3) Let  $A \in \text{FRO}(X, \tau)$  and  $B \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$ , thus we have

$$A \vee B = [\text{int}(\text{cl}^*(A)) \vee \text{int}(\text{cl}(B^*))], \text{ by definition}$$

$$A \vee B \leq [\text{int}(\text{cl}(A) \vee (\text{cl}(B))], \text{ by local function property}$$

$$A \vee B = [\text{int}(\text{cl}(A \vee B))], \text{ from hypothesis}$$

Hence  $A \vee B \in \text{FPO}(X, \tau)$ .

(4) Since  $A \in \text{RIO}(X, \tau)$  and  $B \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$ , we use Lemma 26 and obtain that

$$A \vee B = [\text{int}(\text{cl}^*(A)) \vee \text{int}(\text{cl}(B^*))], \text{ by definition}$$

$$A \vee B \leq [\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \vee \text{int}(\text{cl}(B^*))], \text{ by local function property}$$

$$A \vee B \leq [\text{int}(\text{cl}(A) \vee (\text{cl}(B)))]$$

$$A \vee B = [\text{int}(\text{cl}(A \vee B))].$$

Hence  $A \cup B \in \text{FPO}(X, \tau)$ .

(5) and (6) are obvious.

**Theorem 28:** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space then

[1] If  $A \leq W \leq \text{cl}(A)$  and  $A \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$  then  $W$  is  $\beta$ -open.

[2] If  $A \leq W \leq \text{cl}^*(A)$  and  $A \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$  is  $*$ -dense then  $W$  is  $\beta$ -I-open.

Proof : (1) Let  $A \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$ , then we have  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A^*)) \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ . Also  $A \leq W$  implies  $\text{cl}(A) \leq \text{cl}(W)$  and hence  $A \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(W))$ . Moreover  $W \leq \text{cl}(A)$  implies  $W \leq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(W)))$ . Therefore  $W$  is  $\beta$ -open.

(2) Suppose  $A \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$ , then we have  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A^*)) = \text{int}(\text{cl}^*(A))$ , since  $A$  is  $*$ -dense and therefore satisfies Lemma 26. Also  $A \leq W$  implies  $\text{cl}^*(A) \leq \text{cl}^*(W)$  and hence  $A \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}^*(W))$ . Moreover  $W \leq \text{cl}^*(A)$  implies  $W \leq \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(\text{cl}^*(W)))$ .

**Theorem 29:** For a subset  $A \leq (X, \tau, I)$  that is  $*$ -perfect we have

[1]  $A$  is fuzzy R-I-open if and only if it is fuzzy open.

[2]  $A$  is fuzzy R-I-open if and only if it is fuzzy regular open.

Proof : (1) Since  $A$  is  $*$ -perfect, we have  $A = A^*$  and hence  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}^*(A))$  if and only if  $A = \text{int}(A \vee A^*)$  if and only if  $A = \text{int}(A \vee A)$  if and only if  $A = \text{int}(A)$ .

(2)  $A$  is  $*$ -perfect implies  $A$  is  $*$ -dense and hence satisfies Lemma 26. Thus  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}^*(A)) = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ .

**Theorem 30:** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space and  $A \leq X$ . Then

[1]  $A$  is fuzzy R-I-open if it is fuzzy pre-I-open (resp. fuzzy open) and a fuzzy t-I-set.

[2]  $A$  is a fuzzy t-I-set if it is fuzzy open and fuzzy R-I-open.

Proof : (1)  $A$  is pre-I-open implies  $A \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}^*(A))$ . Since  $A$  is a fuzzy t-I-set, we have  $\text{int}(\text{cl}^*(A)) = \text{int}(A) \leq A$ . Hence  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}^*(A))$ . Therefore  $A$  is fuzzy R-I-open. The proof is obvious for an fuzzy open set.

(2)  $A$  is fuzzy open and fuzzy R-I-open implies  $\text{int}(A) = A = \text{int}(\text{cl}^*(A))$ . Hence  $A$  is a fuzzy t-I-set.

**Theorem 31:** For an ideal space  $(X, \tau, I)$  if  $A \leq X$  is  $*$ -dense then the following are equivalent :

[1]  $A$  is fuzzy FIR-open.

[2]  $A$  is fuzzy R-I-open.

[3]  $A$  is fuzzy regular open.

Proof : (1) implies (2):  $A$  is FIR-open implies  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A^*)) = \text{int}(\text{cl}^*(A))$  [by Lemma 26]. Hence  $A$  is fuzzy R-I-open. (2) implies (3)  $A$  is fuzzy R-I-open

implies  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}^*(A)) = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$  [by Lemma 26]. (3) implies (1) also follows using Lemma 26.

**Theorem 32:** For an ideal space  $(X, \tau, I)$ , if  $A \leq X$  is  $*$ -perfect, then  $A$  is FIR- open, if and only if, it is fuzzy regular open.

Proof : Suppose  $A$  is FIR- open, then ,  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A^*))$  ,  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ , (since  $A$  is  $*$ -perfect),  $A$  is fuzzy regular open.

**Theorem 33:** For a space  $(X, \tau, I)$  and  $A \leq X$ , we have : [1] If  $I = \phi$ , then  $A$  is FIR- open, if and only if, it is fuzzy regular open.[2] If  $I = P(X)$ , then  $A$  is FIR- open if and only if  $A = \phi$ .

Proof : (1) Let  $I = \phi$  then  $A^*(I) = \text{cl}(A)$  for all  $A \leq X$ . Let  $A$  be fuzzy regular open, then  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$  implies  $A = \text{int}(A^*)$  implies  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A^*))$  implies  $A$  is FIR- open.

(2) Let  $I = P(X)$  then  $A^*(I) = \phi$ . Since  $A$  is  $IR^*$ - open if and only if  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A^*))$  ,  $A = \text{int}(\phi) = \phi$ ; we obtain the result.

**Theorem 34:** For an ideal space  $(X, \tau, I)$  if  $A \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$  and  $B \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$  then  $A \times B \in \text{IR}^*O(X \times Y)$  if  $A^* \times B^* = (A \times B)^*$ , where  $X \times Y$  is the product space.

Proof : Since  $A \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$  and  $B \in \text{FIRO}(X, \tau)$ , we have

$A \times B = [\text{int}(\text{cl}(A^*)) \times \text{int}(\text{cl}(B^*))]$ , by definition

$A \times B = [\text{int}(A^*) \times \text{int}(B^*)]$ , by property of local function

$A \times B = \text{int}(A^* \times B^*)$

$A \times B = \text{int}(A \times B)^*$ , from hypothesis

$A \times B = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A \times B)^*)$ , by assumption.

Hence  $A \times B \in \text{FIRO}(X \times Y)$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to the university grant commission for providing the financial support.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Abbas, C. Yildiz; On fuzzy regular- $I$ -closed sets, fuzzy semi- $I$ -regular sets, fuzzy  $ABI$ -set and decomposition of fuzzy regular- $I$ -continuity, fuzzy  $AI$ -continuity, *Gazi University Journal of Science*, **24**(4) (2011), 731-738.
- [2] K. K. Azad; On fuzzy semicontinuity, fuzzy almost continuity, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and applications*, **82** (1981), 14-23.



- [3] A. S. Bin Sahana; On fuzzy strong semi continuity and fuzzy pre continuity, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **44** (1991), 303-308.
- [4] G.Balasubramanian and P.Sundaram, On some generalization of fuzzy continuous functions, *fuzzy sets and systems* 86(1) 1997, 93 – 100.
- [5] Chang C.L., 1968. Fuzzy topological spaces, *Journal Mathematic Analysis Applied*, 24: 182-90.
- [6] Chankraborty M.K., Ahsanullah T.M.G., 1991. Fuzzy topology on fuzzy sets and tolerance topology, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 45: 189-97.
- [7] Janković D., Hamlett T.R., 1990. New topologies from old via ideals, *American Mathematic Monthly*, 97 (4): 295-310.
- [8] Pau P.M., Liu Y.M., 1980. Fuzzy topology I, neighbourhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence, *Journal Mathematic Analysis Applied*, 76 (2): 571-599.
- [9] Sarkar D., 1997. Fuzzy ideal theory, fuzzy local function and generated fuzzy topology, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 87: 117-23.
- [10] Mahmoud R.A., 1997. Fuzzy ideals, fuzzy local functions and fuzzy topology, *Journal FuzzyMathematic Los Angels*, 5 (1): 165-172.
- [11] A. A. Nasef, E. Hatir; On fuzzy pre- $I$ -open sets and a decomposition of fuzzy  $I$ -continuity, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, **40** (2007), 1185-1189.
- [12] Nasef and Mahmoud, “Some topological applications via fuzzy ideals”, *Chaos Solitions& Fractals*, 13(2002), 825-831.
- [13] S. Yuksel, E. Gursel Caylak, A. Acikgoz; On fuzzy  $\alpha$ - $I$ -continuous and fuzzy  $\alpha$ - $I$ -open functions, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, **41** (2009), 1691-1696.
- [14] S. Yuksel, S. Kara, A. Acikgoz; On fuzzy  $b$ - $I$ - continuous functions, *SDU Journal of Science*, **4**(1) (2009), 87-98.
- [15] Wong C.K., 1974. Fuzzy points and local properties of fuzzy topology, *Journal Mathematic Analysis Applied*, 46: 316-28.
- [16] Zadeh L.A., 1965. Fuzzy sets, *Inform Control*, 8: 338-53.

