

## A Study on Fuzzy (i,j)- $\beta$ -compact spaces

P.Rajalakshmi<sup>1</sup>, S.Selvam<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, R.D.Govt.Arts College, Sivagangai – 630561, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Govt. Arts and Science College, Tiruvadanai – 623407, India.

### Abstract

The aim of this paper is introducing fuzzy (i,j)- $\beta$ -compact spaces with respect to fuzzy ideals. First we investigate some properties of (i,j)- $\beta$ -open fuzzy sets and finally we prove some theorems related to fuzzy (i,j)- $\beta$ -compact spaces.

**Keywords:** fuzzy bitopological spaces, fuzzy ideals, (i,j)- $\beta$ -open fuzzy sets, fuzzy (i,j)- $\beta$ -compact sets.

**2000 AMS Classification:** 54A40.

### INTRODUCTION

Zadeh[11] introduced the definition of fuzzy sets and their properties. Lowen[5] defined the notion of fuzzy topology. Mahomoud[6] studied and investigated about the concept of fuzzy ideal and fuzzy local functions. Nouh[8] initiated the notion of fuzzy bitopological spaces. A fuzzy bitopological space[2] (shortly fbts) is a triple  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  where  $X$  is a non-empty set,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are any two fuzzy topologies on  $X$ . If  $A$  is fuzzy set on a fbts  $X$ , we denote the closure of  $A$ ,  $cl_i(A)$  and the interior of  $A$ ,  $int_j(A)$  with respect to the two topologies,  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_j$  where  $i \neq j \in \{1,2\}$ . In this paper we follow the definition of fuzzy topology in Lowen's[5] sense. In Selvam[10] various concepts and properties of fuzzy topological spaces in Lowen's sense were studied, particularly the notion of fuzzy  $\beta$ -compact spaces with respect to fuzzy ideals were investigated. The idea of (i,j)- $\beta$ -compact spaces was found in [3]. In this paper we study the properties of (i,j)- $\beta$ -compact spaces with respect to fuzzy ideals.

### PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1.**[3] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a fbts and  $A \in I^X$ . Then  $A$  is called an (i,j)- $\beta$ -open fuzzy set if  $A \leq cl_j \left( int_i \left( cl_i(A) \right) \right)$

**Definition 2.2.**[10] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a fbts with fuzzy ideal  $\mathcal{J}$ . A fuzzy set  $u$  is said to be fuzzy  $\mathcal{J}\beta$ -compact relative to  $X$ , iff for every family  $\mu$  of  $\beta$ -open fuzzy sets with  $\bigvee_{A \in \mu} A \geq u$  there is a finite subfamily  $\eta \subseteq \mu$  such that  $(u - \bigvee_{A \in \eta} A) \in \mathcal{J}$ .

### On (i,j)- $\beta$ -open fuzzy sets

If a fuzzy set  $B \in (\tau_1 \cap \tau_2)$  then  $B$  is a (i,j)- $\beta$ -open fuzzy set is both  $\tau_1$ -open and  $\tau_2$ -open.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a fbts where  $\tau_1 = \{f : X \rightarrow [0,1] / |f(x) - f(y)| \leq a \text{ for } x,y \in X \text{ and } a \text{ is a fixed real number}$

with  $0 < a < 1\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{f \in I^X / f : X \rightarrow [0,1]\}$ . Note that if  $f \in \tau_2$  then  $f$  is both  $\tau_2$ -open and  $\tau_2$ -closed fuzzy set.  $B$  is a  $\tau_1$ -closed fuzzy set iff  $(1-B)$  is  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy open iff  $|(1-B)(x) - (1-B)(y)| \leq a \forall x,y \in X$  iff  $|B(x) - B(y)| \leq a \forall x,y \in X$  iff  $B$  is  $\tau_1$ -open. Let  $h \in I^X$  and  $g(x) = \min\{h(x), \inf(h)+a\} \forall x \in X$ . Then  $g \leq h$ . As  $|g(x) - g(y)| \leq a$ ,  $g$  is  $\tau_1$ -open fuzzy set. If  $g'$  is  $\tau_1$ -open and  $g' \leq h$  then  $|g'(x) - g'(y)| \leq a \forall x,y \in X$  and  $g'(x) \leq h(x) \forall x \in X$ . So  $\inf(g') \leq \inf(h)$  and  $g'(x) \leq \inf(h) + a$ . Thus  $g'(x) \leq h(x) \wedge (\inf(h)+a) = g(x)$ . Therefore  $g = int_1(h)$ . Therefore  $cl_2(int_1(h)) = cl_2(g) = g \leq h$  as  $g$  is  $\tau_1$ -closed and  $\tau_1$ -open. Thus if  $h \notin (\tau_1 \cap \tau_2)$  then  $cl_2(int_1(h)) < h$ . Therefore  $h$  is not a (i,j)- $\beta$ -open fuzzy set [if  $h \in (\tau_1 \cap \tau_2)$ , then  $g = h$  and  $h = cl_1(int_2(h))$ ].

Next we give an example for a (i,j)- $\beta$ -open fuzzy set which is not both  $\tau_i$ -open and  $\tau_j$ -open.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $Y = \mathcal{R}$  (real line) with standard topology  $\sigma$ . Let  $\tau_1 = \{\bar{\alpha} \in I^Y / 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{g : Y \rightarrow [0,1] / \text{to each } \lambda \in [0,1], \{y \in Y / g(y) > \lambda\} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-open set in } \mathcal{R}\}$ . Note that  $f \in I^Y$  is  $\tau_1$ -closed iff  $(1-f)$  is  $\tau_1$ -open. Also  $f$  is  $\tau_2$ -closed iff  $(1-f)$  is  $\tau_2$ -open iff to each  $\lambda, \{y \in Y / (1-f)(y) > \lambda\}$  is an open set in  $Y$  iff to each  $\lambda, \{y / (1-\lambda) > f(y)\}$  is an open set in  $Y$ .

Let  $f \in I^Y$  defined by  $f(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 < y \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Let  $f' = \Psi_{[0,1]}$ . So to each  $\lambda \in [0,1], \{y \in Y / (1-\lambda) > f'(y)\} = (-\infty, 0) \cup (1, \infty)$  and  $f'$  is  $\tau_2$ -

closed. Let  $k'$  be a  $\tau_2$ -closed set and  $f \leq k'$ . Then to each  $\lambda$  in  $[0,1]$ ,  $B = \{y / (1-\lambda) > k'(y)\} \cap (0,1) = \Phi$  (crisp empty set). Since  $B = \{y / (1-\lambda) > k'(y)\}$  is an open set in  $\mathcal{R}$ , we get that  $0 \notin B$ . Thus  $k'(0) = 1$  and  $\Psi_{[0,1]} \leq k'$ . Now we have  $cl_2(f) = f' = \Psi_{[0,1]}$ .  $int_1(cl_2(f)) = \Psi_{(0,1)}$  and  $cl_2(int_1(cl_2(f))) = \Psi_{[0,1]} = f'$ . Therefore  $f \leq cl_2(int_1(cl_2(f)))$ . Thus  $f$  is a (i,j)- $\beta$ -open fuzzy set, but  $f$  is not both  $\tau_1$ -open and  $\tau_2$ -open.

### FUZZY A (i,j)- $\beta$ -COMPACT SPACES WITH FUZZY IDEALS

**Definition 4.1.** A fuzzy set  $f$  in a fuzzy bitopological space  $X$  together with fuzzy ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  is said to be fuzzy (i,j)- $\mathcal{J}\beta$ -compact relative to  $X$ , iff for every family  $\mu$  of (i,j)- $\beta$ -open fuzzy sets with  $\bigvee_{A \in \mu} A \geq f$  there is a finite subfamily  $\eta \subseteq \mu$  such that  $(f - \bigvee_{A \in \eta} A) \in \mathcal{J}$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** A fuzzy bitopological space  $X$  is fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $J\beta$ -compact iff for every collection  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  of  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -closed fuzzy sets of  $X$  with  $\bigwedge_{i \in F} A_i \notin \mathcal{J}$  for every finite subfamily  $F \subseteq I$ , then  $\bigwedge_{i \in I} A_i \neq \bar{0}$ .

**Proof** Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a collection of  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -closed fuzzy sets with  $\bigwedge_{i \in F} A_i \notin \mathcal{J}$  for every finite subfamily  $F \subseteq I$ . Suppose  $A_i = \bar{0}$ . Then  $\bigvee_{i \in I} (1 - A_i) = 1$ . That is  $\bigvee_{i \in I} A'_i = 1$  since  $\{A'_i : i \in I\}$  is a collection of  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$  fuzzy sets of  $X$  with covers  $1_X$ , as  $X$  is fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $J\beta$ -compact, there is a finite subfamily  $M \subseteq I$  such that  $(1 - \bigvee_{i \in M} A'_i) \in \mathcal{J}$ . That is, this is a contradiction. Therefore  $\bigwedge_{i \in I} A_i \neq \bar{0}$ . Conversely, let  $\{A'_i : i \in I\}$  be a collection of  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -open fuzzy sets cover of  $X$ . That is  $\bigvee_{i \in I} A'_i = 1$ . Suppose that for every finite subset  $F \subseteq I$ , we have  $(1 - \bigvee_{i \in F} A'_i) \notin \mathcal{J}$ . That is  $\bigwedge_{i \in F} A_i \notin \mathcal{J}$ . We have  $\bigwedge_{i \in F} A_i \neq \bar{0}$ , which implies that  $1 - \bigwedge_{i \in I} A_i \neq 1$ . This is  $\bigvee_{i \in I} A'_i \neq 1$ . This is a contradiction to the fact that  $\{A'_i : i \in I\}$  is a  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$  open cover of  $X$ . Thus  $X$  is a fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $J\beta$ -compact.

**Definition 4.3.** A collection of fuzzy sets  $\zeta$  of a fuzzy bitopological space is said to form a fuzzy  $J$ -filter bases iff for every finite collection  $\{A_i : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$  of  $\zeta$ ,  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n A_i \notin \mathcal{J}$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** A fuzzy bitopological space  $X$  is fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $J\beta$ -compact iff every fuzzy  $J$ -filter bases  $\zeta$  in  $X$ ,  $\bigwedge_{G \in \zeta} (i, j) - \beta cl(G) \neq \bar{0}$ .

**Proof** Suppose for every fuzzy  $J$ -filter bases  $\zeta$  in  $X$ ,  $\bigwedge_{G \in \zeta} (i, j) - \beta cl(G) \neq \bar{0}$ . Let  $\mu$  be a  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -open fuzzy sets covering of  $X$ . Then for every finite subcollection  $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n\}$  of  $\mu$ ,  $(1 - \bigvee_{i=1}^n B_i) \notin \mathcal{J}$ . That is  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n B'_i \notin \mathcal{J}$ . Therefore  $\{B'_i : B_i \in \mu\} = \zeta$  forms a fuzzy  $J$ -filter bases in  $X$ . Since  $\mu$  is a  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$  open fuzzy set cover of  $X$ ,  $\bigvee_{B_i \in \mu} B_i = 1$ . Therefore  $\bigwedge_{B'_i \in \zeta} (i, j) - \beta cl(B'_i) = \bigwedge_{B'_i \in \mu} (i, j) - \beta cl(B'_i) = \bigwedge_{B_i \in \mu} B'_i = \bar{0}$ . That is  $\bigwedge_{B'_i \in \zeta} (i, j) - \beta cl(B'_i) = 0$ , this gives a contradiction. Thus if  $\mu$  is a  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -open fuzzy set covering of  $X$ , there exists a finite subcovering  $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n\}$  of  $\mu$  such that  $(1 - \bigvee_{i=1}^n B_i) \in \mathcal{J}$ . Therefore  $X$  is fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $J\beta$ -compact.

Conversely assume that  $X$  is fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $J\beta$ -compact space. If there exists a fuzzy  $J$ -filter bases  $\zeta$  such that  $\bigwedge_{G \in \zeta} (i, j) - \beta cl(G) = \bar{0}$ . Then  $\bigvee_{G \in \zeta} ((i, j) - \beta cl(G))' = 1$ . Now  $\mu = \{((i, j) - \beta cl(G))' : G \in \zeta\}$  is a  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -open fuzzy set cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is a fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $J\beta$ -compact, there exists a finite subcollection  $\{((i, j) - \beta cl(G_i))' : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $(1 - \bigvee_{i=1}^n ((i, j) - \beta cl(G_i))') \in \mathcal{J}$ . That is  $(1 - \bigvee_{i=1}^n G'_i) \in \mathcal{J}$ . That is  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n G_i \in \mathcal{J}$ , which gives a contradiction to the fact that  $G_i$  are the elements of fuzzy  $J$ -filter bases  $\zeta$ . Therefore  $\bigwedge_{G \in \zeta} (i, j) - \beta cl(G) \neq \bar{0}$  for every fuzzy  $J$ -filter bases  $\zeta$ .

**Definition 4.5.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -continuous (resp. fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $M\beta$ -continuous) if the inverse image of every both  $\tau_1$ -open and  $\tau_2$ -open fuzzy set in  $Y$  (resp.  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -open fuzzy set) is  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -open fuzzy set in  $X$ .

**Definition 4.6.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be fuzzy  $(i, j)$ -open if  $u \in (\tau_1 \cap \tau_2)$  then  $f(u) \in (\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2)$

**Definition 4.7.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $M\beta$  open if  $u$  is a fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$  open set in  $X$  then  $f(u)$  is a fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$  open set in  $Y$ .

**Theorem 4.8.** If a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $M\beta$ -continuous and  $u$  is a fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $J\beta$ -compact relative to  $X$ , then so is  $f(u)$ .

**Proof** Let  $\{B_i : i \in I\}$  be a  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -open fuzzy covering for  $f(u)$ . As  $f$  is fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $M\beta$ -continuous, we have  $\{f^{-1}(B_i) : i \in I\}$  is a  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$  open fuzzy covering of  $u$ . Since  $u$  is fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $J\beta$ -compact relative to  $X$ ; there exists a finite subfamily  $\{f^{-1}(B_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $(u - \bigvee_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(B_i)) \in \mathcal{J}$ . That is  $u - f^{-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^n B_i) \in \mathcal{J}$ . Now  $f(u - f^{-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^n B_i)) \in f(\mathcal{J})$ . Then  $f(u) - f(f^{-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^n B_i)) \in f(\mathcal{J})$ . That is  $(f(u) - \bigvee_{i=1}^n B_i) \in f(\mathcal{J})$ . Therefore  $f(u)$  is fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $f(\mathcal{J})\beta$ -compact relative to  $Y$ .

**Theorem 4.9.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $M\beta$ -open bijective function and  $Y$  is fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $f(\mathcal{J})\beta$ -compact then  $X$  is fuzzy  $(i, j)$ - $J\beta$ -compact.

**Proof** Let  $\{B_i : i \in I\}$  be a collection  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$  open fuzzy sets covering of  $X$ , then  $\{f(B_i) : i \in I\}$  is a  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -open fuzzy set covering of  $Y$ . As  $Y$  is fuzzy  $f(\mathcal{J})\beta$ -compact, there exists a finite subset  $F \subseteq I$  such that  $(1_Y - \bigvee_{i \in F} f(B_i)) \in f(\mathcal{J})$ . Since  $f$  is a bijective function,  $f^{-1}(1_Y - \bigvee_{i \in F} f(B_i)) \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $f^{-1}(f(B_i)) = B_i$ . Therefore  $(1_X - \bigvee_{i \in F} B_i) \in \mathcal{J}$ .

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

It is a great pleasure to thank the referees for their comments which resulted in the improved presentation of this paper.

**REFERENCES**

- [1] M.E.Abd El-Monsef et.al., *Fuzzy bitopological ideals spaces*, IOSR Journal of Computer Engineering, Volume 6, Issue 4, 1 – 5.
- [2] V.Chandrasekar et.al., *Weaker forms of connectedness and stronger forms of disconnectedness in fuzzy bitopological spaces*, Indian J. pure appl Math.,33(7), July 2002, 955 – 965.
- [3] R.Femina.et.al., *Properties of (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact spaces*. International journal of Mathematics and its applications, Volume5, Issue 3-A(2017), 47-52.
- [4] J.C.Kelly, *Bitopological spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 13(1963) 71-89.
- [5] R.Lowen, *Fuzzy topological spaces and fuzzy compactness*, J. Math. Appl., 56(1976), 621 – 633.
- [6] R.A.Mahmoud, *Fuzzy ideals, fuzzy local functions and fuzzy topology*, J.Fuzzy Math Los Angels, 5(1)(1997), 165 – 172.

- [7] R.A.Mahmoud et.al., *Some topological applications via fuzzy ideals*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 13(2002), 825 – 831.
- [8] A.A.Nouh, *On separation axioms in fuzzy bitopological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and systems, 80(1996), 225 – 236.
- [9] P.Rajalakshmi.et.al., *New pairwise fuzzy topology through fuzzy ideal''*, International journal of statistics and applied Mathematics, 2018;3(4);12-15.
- [10] S.Selvam., *A study on fuzzy topologies*, ph.D. thesis., Alagappa University, Karaikudi, India, (2008).
- [11] L.A.Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and Control, 8(1965), 338 – 353.