

Stability of Jungck-Noor Iteration

Anjali Ojha^{1*}

*Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics,
Chandra Shekhar Azad Govt. P. G. College, Sehore, M.P., India
E-mail: anjaliojha78@gmail.com*

Anil Rajput²

*Professor and HOD, Department of Mathematics
Chandra Shekhar Azad Govt. P. G. College, Sehore, M.P., India
E-mail: dranilrajput@hotmail.com*

Abha Tenguria³

*Professor and HOD, Department of Mathematics,
Govt. M. L. B. Girls College, Bhopal, M.P., India
E-mail: ten_abha@yahoo.co.in*

(*Corresponding author: Anjali Ojha)

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to obtain the stability results of jungck-Noor and modified generalised jungck-Ishikawa iteration procedure satisfying some contractive condition.

Keywords: Stability of iterative procedure, modified generalised jungck-Ishikawa iteration, jungck-Noor iteration, fixed point, multi-valued mapping.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence defined by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ converges to a fixed point p . Then this sequence is called stable if there exist an approximate sequence $\{y_n\}$ because of rounding errors and numerical approximations of functions, such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = p$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$. Several

authors established the stability results using various contraction conditions (see, for example, Berinde [1], Czerwik [2], Harder and Hicks [3,4], Osilike [8,9], Rhoades [12,13], Singh et al [14,15]). Ostrowski [10] was the first who obtained stability results in metric space. Harder and Hicks [3, 4] obtained stability results for general iterative procedure. Singh et al [15] discussed the stability results of Jungck and Jungck –Mann iterative procedures for a pair of Jungck-Osilike type maps in metric space, which is as follows:

Theorem 1.1 [15] Let S and T be maps on an arbitrary set Y with values in X such that $TY \subseteq SY$ and SY or TY is a complete subspace of X . Let z be a coincidence point of T and S , that is,

$Sz = Tz = p$ (say). Let $x_0 \in Y$ and let the sequence $\{Sx_n\}$ generated by $Sx_{n+1} = Tx_n, n = 0, 1, \dots$ converge to p . Let $\{Sy_n\} \subset X$ and define $\epsilon_n = d(Sy_{n+1}, Ty_n), n = 0, 1, \dots$. If the pair (S, T) is a

J -contraction, that is, S and T satisfy

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(Sx, Sy), 0 \leq k < 1,$$

for all $x, y \in Y$, then

(I) $d(p, Sy_{n+1}) \leq d(p, Sx_{n+1}) + k^{n+1}d(Sx_0, Sy_0) + \sum_{i=0}^n k^{n-i}\epsilon_i$

further,

(II) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = p$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$.

In this paper, we discuss the stability of Jungck-Noor iteration procedure and modified Jungck-Ishikawa iteration procedure for multivalued mapping using some contraction condition. Our work is motivated by Singh et al [15].

2. PRELIMINARIES

We use following definitions in our paper.

Definition 2.1 [5] For any $x_0 \in X$, the Jungck-Picard iteration $\{Sx_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is defined by

$$Sx_{n+1} = TSx_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

This procedure becomes Picard iteration when $S = id$ where id is the identity map in X .

Definition 2.2 [15] For any $x_0 \in X$, the Jungck-Mann iteration $\{Sx_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is defined by

$$Sx_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)Sx_n + \alpha_nTx_n \quad (2)$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are real sequences in $[0, 1)$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$.

Definition 2.3[7] For any $x_0 \in X$, the Jungck-Ishikawa iteration $\{Sx_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Sx_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)Sx_n + \alpha_nTy_n \\ Sy_n &= (1 - \beta_n)Sx_n + \beta_nTx_n \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Where $n=0,1,2,\dots$ and $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfies (i) $\alpha_0 = 1$ (ii) $0 \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n \leq 1, n \geq 0$
 (iii) $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n = \infty$ (iv) $\sum_{j=0}^n \prod_{i=j+1}^n \{1 - \alpha_i + \alpha\alpha_i\}$ converges.

Definition 2.4 [6] For any $x_0 \in X$, the Jungck-Noor iteration $\{Sx_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Sx_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)Sx_n + \alpha_nTz_n \\ Sz_n &= (1 - \beta_n)Sx_n + \beta_nTt_n \\ St_n &= (1 - \gamma_n)Sx_n + \gamma_nTx_n \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Where $n= 0,1,2,\dots$ and $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfies (i) $\alpha_0 = 1$ (ii) $0 \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \leq 1,$
 $n \geq 0$ (iii) $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n = \infty$ (iv) $\sum_{j=0}^n \prod_{i=j+1}^n \{1 - \alpha_i + \alpha\alpha_i\}$ converges.

Here we define a new modified generalised Jungck-Ishikawa iteration procedure $\{Sx_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ as

For any $x_0 \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} Sx_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)Sx_n + \beta_nTx_n + (\alpha_n - \beta_n)Tz_n \\ Sz_n &= (1 - \gamma_n)Sx_n + \gamma_nTx_n \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Where $n= 0,1,2,\dots$ and $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfies

- (i) $\alpha_0 = 1$
- (ii) $0 \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n, (\alpha_n - \beta_n), \gamma_n \leq 1, n \geq 0$
- (iii) $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n = \infty$
- (iv) $\sum_{j=0}^n \prod_{i=j+1}^n \{1 - \alpha_i + \alpha\alpha_i\}$ converges.

Note: 1) If $\beta_n = 0$ we get the Jungck-Ishikawa iteration

2) If $\beta_n = \gamma_n = 0$ we get the Jungck-Mann iteration

Definition 2.5 [11] Let (X, d) be a metric space and Y be an arbitrary nonempty set.

$CL(X) = \{A; A \text{ is a non empty closed subset of } X\}$,

$N(X) = \{A; A \text{ is a non-empty subset of } X\}$,

For $x \in X$ and $B \in N(X)$ is defined as

$D(a, B) = \inf\{d(a, b); b \in B\}$

$H(A, B) = \max\{\sup D(a, B); a \in A, \sup D(A, b); b \in B\}$

H is called a generalized Hausdorff on $CL(X)$.

Definition 2.6 [14] Let (X, d) be a metric space and $Y \subseteq X$. Let $T: Y \rightarrow CL(X), S: Y \rightarrow X$ and SY or TY is a complete subspace of X . Let T and S have a common fixed point p . For any $x_0 \in Y$ there exist a sequence $\{Sx_n\}$ generated by $Sx_{n+1} \in Tx_n, n = 1, 2 \dots$ converges to p . Let $\{Sy_n\} \subseteq X$ and set $\epsilon_n = H(Sy_{n+1}, Ty_n), n = 0, 1, 2 \dots$ then this iterative procedure is (S, T) stable if

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$ implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = p$.

3. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 3.1: Let (X, d) be a metric space and $Y \subseteq X$. Let $T: Y \rightarrow CL(X), S: Y \rightarrow X$ and SY or TY is a complete subspace of X . Let T and S have a common fixed point p . For any $x_0 \in Y$ there exist a sequence defined in (definition 2.4) converges to p . Let $\{Sy_n\} \subseteq X$ such that

$$Sy_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)Sy_n + \alpha_nTv_n$$

$$Sv_n = (1 - \beta_n)Sy_n + \beta_nTs_n$$

$$Ss_n = (1 - \gamma_n)Sy_n + \gamma_nTy_n$$

And set

$$\epsilon_n = H(Sy_{n+1}, (1 - \alpha_n)Sy_n + \alpha_nTv_n)$$

satisfying the condition

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(Sx, Sy) + LD(Sx, Tx) \quad (6)$$

For $a \in (0, 1)$ and $L \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{A) } d(p, Sy_{n+1}) &\leq d(p, Sx_{n+1}) + \prod_{i=0}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) d(Sx_0, Sy_0) \\ &\quad + La^2 \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \beta_j \gamma_j \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) D(Sx_i, Tx_i) \\ &\quad + La \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \beta_j \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) D(Ss_i, Ts_i) \\ &\quad + L \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) D(Sz_i, Tz_i) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^n \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) \epsilon_j \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Where the product is 1 when $j = n$. Also

B) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = p$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$

Proof: By the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(p, Sy_{n+1}) &\leq d(p, Sx_{n+1}) + d(Sx_{n+1}, Sy_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq d(p, Sx_{n+1}) + H((1 - \alpha_n)Sx_n + \alpha_n Tz_n, Sy_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq d(p, Sx_{n+1}) + H[(1 - \alpha_n)Sx_n + \alpha_n Tz_n, (1 - \alpha_n)Sy_n + \alpha_n Tv_n] + \\
 &\quad H[(1 - \alpha_n)Sy_n + \alpha_n Tv_n, Sy_{n+1}] \\
 &\leq d(p, Sx_{n+1}) + (1 - \alpha_n)d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \alpha_n H(Tz_n, Tv_n) + \epsilon_n
 \end{aligned}$$

From (6),

$$\leq d(p, Sx_{n+1}) + (1 - \alpha_n)d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \alpha_n [ad(Sz_n, Sv_n) + LD(Sz_n, Tz_n)] + \epsilon_n \quad (8)$$

But,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Sz_n, Sv_n) &= H[(1 - \beta_n)Sx_n + \beta_n Tt_n, (1 - \beta_n)Sy_n + \beta_n Ts_n] \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \beta_n H(Tt_n, Ts_n) \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \beta_n [ad(St_n, Ss_n) + LD(St_n, Tt_n)] \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \beta_n ad(St_n, Ss_n) + \beta_n LD(St_n, Tt_n) \quad (9)
 \end{aligned}$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(St_n, Ss_n) &= H[(1 - \gamma_n)Sx_n + \gamma_n Tx_n, (1 - \gamma_n)Sy_n + \gamma_n Ty_n] \\
 &\leq (1 - \gamma_n)d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \gamma_n H(Tx_n, Ty_n) \\
 &\leq (1 - \gamma_n)d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \gamma_n [ad(Sx_n, Sy_n) + LD(Sx_n, Tx_n)] \\
 &\leq (1 - \gamma_n)d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \gamma_n ad(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \gamma_n LD(Sx_n, Tx_n) \\
 &\leq d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \gamma_n LD(Sx_n, Tx_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now equation (9) becomes,

$$\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \beta_n a[d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \gamma_n LD(Sx_n, Tx_n)] + \beta_n LD(St_n, Tt_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq d(Sx_n, Sy_n) - \beta_n d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + a\beta_n d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \beta_n \gamma_n a LD(Sx_n, Tx_n) + \\ &\beta_n LD(St_n, Tt_n) \\ &\leq d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \beta_n \gamma_n a LD(Sx_n, Tx_n) + \beta_n LD(St_n, Tt_n) \end{aligned}$$

Equation (8) becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} d(p, Sy_{n+1}) &\leq d(p, Sx_{n+1}) + (1 - \alpha_n) d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \alpha_n a [d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \\ &\beta_n \gamma_n a LD(Sx_n, Tx_n) + \beta_n LD(St_n, Tt_n) + \alpha_n LD(Sz_n, Tz_n) + \epsilon_n \\ &\leq d(p, Sx_{n+1}) + (1 - \alpha_n + a\alpha_n) d(Sx_n, Sy_n) + \\ &a^2 \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n LD(Sx_n, Tx_n) + a\alpha_n \beta_n LD(St_n, Tt_n) + \alpha_n LD(Sz_n, Tz_n) + \epsilon_n \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sx_n, Sy_n) &= H[(1 - \alpha_{n-1})Sx_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1}Tz_{n-1}, Sy_n] \\ &\leq H[(1 - \alpha_{n-1})Sx_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1}Tz_{n-1}, (1 - \alpha_{n-1})Sy_{n-1} + \\ &\alpha_{n-1}Tv_{n-1}] + H[(1 - \alpha_{n-1})Sy_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1}Tv_{n-1}, Sy_n] \\ &\leq H[(1 - \alpha_{n-1})Sx_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1}Tz_{n-1}, (1 - \alpha_{n-1})Sy_{n-1} + \\ &\alpha_{n-1}Tv_{n-1}] + \epsilon_{n-1} \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + \alpha_{n-1}H(Tz_{n-1}, Tv_{n-1}) + \epsilon_{n-1} \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + \alpha_{n-1}[ad(Sz_{n-1}, Sv_{n-1}) + \\ &LD(Sz_{n-1}, Tz_{n-1})] + \epsilon_{n-1} \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + \alpha_{n-1}ad(Sz_{n-1}, Sv_{n-1}) + \\ &\alpha_{n-1}LD(Sz_{n-1}, Tz_{n-1}) + \epsilon_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sz_{n-1}, Sv_{n-1}) &= H[(1 - \beta_{n-1})Sx_{n-1} + \beta_{n-1}Tt_{n-1}, (1 - \beta_{n-1})Sy_{n-1} + \\ &\beta_{n-1}Ts_{n-1}] \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + \beta_{n-1}H(Tt_{n-1}, Ts_{n-1}) \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + \beta_{n-1}[ad(St_{n-1}, Ss_{n-1}) + \\ &LD(St_{n-1}, Tt_{n-1})] \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + \beta_{n-1}ad(St_{n-1}, Ss_{n-1}) + \beta_{n-1}LD(St_{n-1}, Tt_{n-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(St_{n-1}, Ss_{n-1}) &= H[(1 - \gamma_{n-1})Sx_{n-1} + \gamma_{n-1}Tx_{n-1}, (1 - \gamma_{n-1})Sy_{n-1} + \gamma_{n-1}Ty_{n-1}] \\
 &\leq (1 - \gamma_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + \gamma_{n-1}H(Tx_{n-1}, Ty_{n-1}) \\
 &\leq (1 - \gamma_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + \gamma_{n-1}[ad(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + \\
 &LD(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})] \\
 &\leq (1 - \gamma_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + \gamma_{n-1}ad(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + \\
 &\gamma_{n-1}LD(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) \\
 &\leq d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + \gamma_{n-1}LD(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

Now equation (10) becomes,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Sz_{n-1}, Sv_{n-1}) &\leq (1 - \beta_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad + \beta_{n-1}a[d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + \gamma_{n-1}LD(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})] \\
 &\quad \quad \quad + \beta_{n-1}LD(St_{n-1}, Tt_{n-1}) \\
 &\leq d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) - \beta_{n-1}d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + a\beta_{n-1}d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad + \beta_{n-1}\gamma_{n-1}aLD(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + \beta_{n-1}LD(St_{n-1}, Tt_{n-1}) \\
 &\leq d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + \beta_{n-1}\gamma_{n-1}aLD(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + \\
 &\beta_{n-1}LD(St_{n-1}, Tt_{n-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Sx_n, Sy_n) &\leq (1 - \alpha_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad + \alpha_{n-1}a[d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + \beta_{n-1}\gamma_{n-1}aLD(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad + \beta_{n-1}LD(St_{n-1}, Tt_{n-1})] + \alpha_{n-1}LD(Sz_{n-1}, Tz_{n-1}) + \epsilon_{n-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(p, Sy_{n+1}) &\leq d(p, Sx_{n+1}) + \\
 &(1 - \alpha_n + a\alpha_n)[(1 - \alpha_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) + a\alpha_{n-1}d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad + a^2\alpha_{n-1}\beta_{n-1}\gamma_{n-1}LD(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + a\alpha_{n-1}\beta_{n-1}LD(St_{n-1}, Tt_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad + \alpha_{n-1}LD(Sz_{n-1}, Tz_{n-1}) + \epsilon_{n-1}] + a^2\alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_nLD(Sx_n, Tx_n) \\
 &\quad + a\alpha_n\beta_nLD(St_n, Tt_n) + \alpha_nLD(Sz_n, Tz_n) + \epsilon_n \\
 &\leq d(p, Sx_{n+1}) + (1 - \alpha_n + a\alpha_n)(1 - \alpha_{n-1} + a\alpha_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n + a\alpha_n)(a^2\alpha_{n-1}\beta_{n-1}\gamma_{n-1}L)D(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (1 - \alpha_n + a\alpha_n)(a\alpha_{n-1}\beta_{n-1}L)D(St_{n-1}, Tt_{n-1}) \\
& + (1 - \alpha_n + a\alpha_n)\alpha_{n-1}LD(Sz_{n-1}, Tz_{n-1}) + (1 - \alpha_n + a\alpha_n)\epsilon_{n-1} \\
& \quad + a^2\alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_nLD(Sx_n, Tx_n) + a\alpha_n\beta_nLD(St_n, Tt_n) + \\
& \alpha_nLD(Sz_n, Tz_n) + \epsilon_n
\end{aligned}$$

Repeating (n-1) times, yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq d(p, Sx_{n+1}) + \prod_{i=0}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i)d(Sx_0, Sy_0) \\
& \quad + La^2 \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \beta_j \gamma_j \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) D(Sx_i, Tx_i) \\
& \quad + La \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \beta_j \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) D(St_i, Tt_i) \\
& \quad + L \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) D(Sz_i, Tz_i) \\
& \quad + \sum_{j=0}^n \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) \epsilon_j
\end{aligned}$$

Part (A) proved.

To prove (B),

Suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = p$ then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_n &= H(Sy_{n+1}, (1 - \alpha_n)Sy_n + \alpha_n Tv_n) \\
&\leq d(Sy_{n+1}, p) + H(p, (1 - \alpha_n)Sy_n + \alpha_n Tv_n) \\
&\leq d(Sy_{n+1}, p) + (1 - \alpha_n)d(p, Sy_n) + \alpha_n H(Tp, Tv_n) \\
&\leq d(Sy_{n+1}, p) + (1 - \alpha_n)d(p, Sy_n) + \alpha_n [ad(Sp, Sv_n) + LD(Sp, Tp)] \\
&\quad \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$. Let A denotes the lower triangular matrix with entries

$$a_{nj} = \alpha_j \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i)$$

Then A is multiplicative so that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) D(Sz_i, Tz_i) = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} La \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \beta_j \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) D(St_i, Tt_i) = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} La^2 \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \beta_j \gamma_j \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) D(Sx_i, Tx_i) = 0$$

Let B be the lower triangular matrix with entries

$$b_{nj} = \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i)$$

Condition (iv) implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) = 0$

This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.1: Let (X, d) be a metric space and $Y \subseteq X$. Let $T, S: Y \rightarrow X$ and SY or TY is a complete subspace of X . Let T and S have a common fixed point p . For any $x_0 \in Y$ there exist a sequence defined in (definition 2.4) converges to p . Let $\{Sy_n\} \subseteq X$ and set $\epsilon_n = d(Sy_{n+1}, (1 - \alpha_n)Sy_n + \alpha_nTv_n)$ satisfying the condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(Sx, Sy) + Ld(Sx, Tx)$$

For $a \in (0,1)$ and $L \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{A) } d(p, Sy_{n+1}) &\leq d(p, Sx_{n+1}) + \prod_{i=0}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) d(Sx_0, Sy_0) \\ &\quad + La^2 \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \beta_j \gamma_j \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) d(Sx_i, Tx_i) \\ &\quad + La \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \beta_j \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) d(St_i, Tt_i) \\ &\quad + L \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) d(Sz_i, Tz_i) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^n \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) \epsilon_j \end{aligned}$$

Where the product is 1 when $j = n$. Also

$$\text{B) } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = p \text{ if and only if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0.$$

Proof: If T and S are single-valued mappings then we replace $\epsilon_n = H(Sy_{n+1}, (1 - \alpha_n)Sy_n + \alpha_nTv_n)$ by $\epsilon_n = d(Sy_{n+1}, (1 - \alpha_n)Sy_n + \alpha_nTv_n)$, $H(Tx, Ty)$ by $d(Tx, Ty)$ and $D(Sx, Ty)$ by $d(Sx, Ty)$.

Theorem 3.2: Let (X, d) be a metric space and $Y \subseteq X$. Let $T: Y \rightarrow CL(X)$, $S: Y \rightarrow X$ and SY or TY is a complete subspace of X . Let T and S have a common fixed point p . For any $x_0 \in Y$ there exist a sequence defined in (5) converges to p . Let $\{Sy_n\} \subseteq X$ and set

$$\epsilon_n = H(Sy_{n+1}, (1 - \alpha_n)Sy_n + \alpha_nTv_n) \text{ satisfying the condition}$$

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(Sx, Sy) + LD(Sx, Tx)$$

For $a \in (0,1)$ and $L \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{A) } d(p, Sy_{n+1}) &\leq d(p, Sx_{n+1}) + \prod_{i=0}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) d(Sx_0, Sy_0) \\ &\quad + L \sum_{j=0}^n (\beta_j + (\alpha_j - \beta_j)a\gamma_j) \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) D(Sx_i, Tx_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$+L \sum_{j=0}^n (\alpha_j - \beta_j) \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) D(Sz_i, Tz_i) \\ + \sum_{j=0}^n \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_i + a\alpha_i) \epsilon_j$$

where the product is 1 when $j = n$. Also

$$B) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S y_n = p \text{ if and only if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0.$$

Proof: The proof of this theorem is similar as of theorem 1.1

REFERENCES

- [1] Berinde V., Iterative Approximation of Fixed Points, Springer Verlag, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, 2007.
- [2] Czerwik S., Dlutek K. and Singh S. L., Roundoff stability of iteration procedures for set-valued operators in b-metric spaces, J. Natur. Phys. Sci., Vol. 15, No. 1-2, 2001, pp. 1–8.
- [3] Harder A. M. and Hicks T. L., A stable iteration procedure for nonexpansive mappings, Math. Japonica. Vol. 33, 1988, pp. 687–692.
- [4] Harder A. M. and Hicks T. L., Stability results for fixed point iteration procedures, Math. Japonica, Vol. 33, 1988, pp. 693-706.
- [5] Jungck G., Commuting mappings and fixed points, The American Mathematical Monthly, vol. 83, no. 4, 1976, pp. 261–263.
- [6] Olatinwo M. O., A generalization of some convergence results using a Jungck-Noor three-step iteration process in arbitrary Banach space, Fasciculi Mathematici, no. 40, 2008, pp. 37–43.
- [7] Olatinwo M. O. and Imoru C. O., Some convergence results for the Jungck-Mann and Jungck-Ishikawa processes in the class of generalized Zamfirescu operators, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, Vol. LXXVII, No. 2, 2008, pp. 299-304.
- [8] Osilike M. O., Stability results for fixed point iteration procedures, J. Nigerian Math. Soc., Vol.14/15, 1995/96, pp. 17–29.
- [9] Osilike M. O., A stable iteration procedure for quasi-contractive maps, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 27, No. 1, 1996, pp. 25–34.

- [10] Ostrowski M., The round – off stability of iterations, *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.*, Vol. 47, No. 1, 1967, pp. 77-81.
- [11] Popa V, Fixed point theorems for implicit contractive mappings, *Stud. Cercet. Stiint., Ser. Mat., Univ. Bacău*, vol. 7, 1997, pp. 127–133.
- [12] Rhoades B. E., Fixed point theorems and stability results for fixed point iteration procedures, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 1990, pp. 1–9.
- [13] Rhoades B. E., Fixed point theorems and stability results for fixed point iteration procedures. II, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, Vol. 24, No. 11, 1993, pp. 691–703.
- [14] Singh S. L., and Prasad Bhagwati, Some coincidence theorems and stability of iterative procedures, *Comput. Math. Appl.* Vol. 55, No. 11, 2008, pp. 2512-2520.
- [15] Singh S. L., Bhatnagar Charu and Mishra S. N., Stability of Jungck-type iterative procedures, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, No. 19, 2005, pp. 3035-3043.

