

On t -Best Coapproximation in fuzzy anti-2-normed linear spaces

B. Surender Reddy

*Department of Mathematics,
University College of Science,
Saifabad, Osmania University,
Hyderabad-500004, AP, INDIA
E-mail: bsrmathou@yahoo.com*

Hemen Dutta

*Department of Mathematics, Gauhati University,
Kokrajhar Campus, Assam, INDIA
E-mail: hemen_dutta08@rediffmail.com*

Abstract

In this paper, we study the concept of t -best coapproximation in fuzzy anti-2-normed linear spaces. We introduce the notion of t -best coapproximation, t -coproximal sets, t -coChebyshev sets and t -orthogonality and prove some interesting theorems to characterization of t -best coapproximation elements.

AMS subject classification: 46S40, 41A50.

Keywords: Fuzzy anti-2-normed linear spaces, t -best coapproximation, t -coproximal sets, t -coChebyshev sets, t -quasi-coChebyshev sets, t -orthogonality.

1. Introduction

The concept of best coapproximation was introduced by Franchetti and Furi [3], in order to study some characteristic properties of real Hilbert spaces, and such problems were considered further by Papini and Singer [9] and Rao and Saravanan [10]. The concept of 2-norm on a linear space has been introduced and developed by Gähler in [4, 5] and Gunawan and Mashadi [5]. The idea of fuzzy norm was initiated by Katsaras in [8]. In [7] Iqbal H. Jebril and Samanta introduced fuzzy anti-norm on a linear space depending on the idea of fuzzy anti-norm was introduced by Bag and Samanta [2] and investigated

their important properties. In [11] Surender Reddy introduced the notion of convergent sequence and Cauchy sequence in fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space. Further, Surender Reddy studied the set of all t -best approximation and best simultaneous approximation on fuzzy anti-2-normed linear spaces in [12, 13]. Abrishami and Sistani [1] considered the set of all t -best coapproximations in fuzzy 2-normed linear spaces.

In this paper, we consider the set of t -best coapproximation in fuzzy anti-2-normed linear spaces and then prove several theorems pertaining to this set.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let X be a real linear space of dimension greater than one and let $\|\bullet, \bullet\|$ be a real valued function on $X \times X$ satisfying the following conditions

$2N_1$: $\|x, y\| = 0$ if and only if x and y are linearly dependent

$2N_2$: $\|x, y\| = \|y, x\|$

$2N_3$: $\|\alpha x, y\| = |\alpha| \|x, y\|$, for every $\alpha \in R$

$2N_4$: $\|x, y + z\| \leq \|x, y\| + \|x, z\|$

then the function $\|\bullet, \bullet\|$ is called a 2-norm on X and the pair $(X, \|\bullet, \bullet\|)$ is called a 2-normed linear space.

Example 2.2. Let $X = R^3$ be a real linear space. Define $\|\bullet, \bullet\| : X \times X \rightarrow R$ by $\|x, y\| = \max\{|x_1y_2 - x_2y_1|, |x_2y_3 - x_3y_2|, |x_3y_1 - x_1y_3|\}$, where $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ are in R^3 . Then $(X, \|\bullet, \bullet\|)$ is a 2-normed linear space.

Definition 2.3. Let X be a linear space over a real field F . A fuzzy subset N of $X \times X \times R$ is called a fuzzy 2-norm on X if the following conditions are satisfied for all $x, y, z \in X$.

(2 - N_1): For all $t \in R$ with $t \leq 0$, $N(x, y, t) = 0$,

(2 - N_2): For all $t \in R$ with $t > 0$, $N(x, y, t) = 1$ if and only if x, y are linearly dependent

(2 - N_3): $N(x, y, t)$ is invariant under any permutation of x, y

(2 - N_4): For all $t \in R$ with $t > 0$, $N(x, cy, t) = N\left(x, y, \frac{t}{|c|}\right)$ if $c \neq 0, c \in F$

(2 - N_5): For all $s, t \in R$, $N(x, y + z, s + t) \geq \min\{N(x, y, s), N(x, z, t)\}$

(2 - N_6): $N(x, y, t)$ is a non-decreasing function of $t \in R$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, y, t) = 1$.

Then the pair (X, N) is called a fuzzy 2-normed linear space (briefly F-2-NLS).

Example 2.4. Let $(X, \|\bullet, \bullet\|)$ be a 2-normed linear space. Define

$$\begin{aligned} N(x, y, t) &= \frac{t}{t + \|x, y\|}, \text{ if } t > 0, t \in R, x, y \in X \\ &= 0, \text{ if } t \leq 0, t \in R, x, y \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Then (X, N) is a fuzzy 2-normed linear space.

Definition 2.5. Let X be a linear space over a real field F . A fuzzy subset N of $X \times X \times R$ is called a fuzzy anti-2-norm on X if the following conditions are satisfied for all $x, y, z \in X$

($a - 2 - N_1$): For all $t \in R$ with $t \leq 0$, $N(x, y, t) = 1$,

($a - 2 - N_2$): For all $t \in R$ with $t > 0$, $N(x, y, t) = 0$ if and only if x, y are linearly dependent

($a - 2 - N_3$): $N(x, y, t)$ is invariant under any permutation of x, y

($a - 2 - N_4$): For all $t \in R$ with $t > 0$, $N(x, cy, t) = N\left(x, y, \frac{t}{|c|}\right)$ if $c \neq 0, c \in F$

($a - 2 - N_5$): For all $s, t \in R$, $N(x, y + z, s + t) \leq \max\{N(x, y, s), N(x, z, t)\}$

($a - 2 - N_6$): $N(x, y, t)$ is a non-increasing function of $t \in R$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, y, t) = 0$.

Then the pair (X, N) is called a fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space (briefly Fa-2-NLS).

Remark 2.6. From ($a - 2 - N_3$), it follows that in Fa-2-NLS,

($a - 2 - N_4$): For all $t \in R$ with $t > 0$, $N(cx, y, t) = N\left(x, y, \frac{t}{|c|}\right)$ if $c \neq 0, c \in F$

($a - 2 - N_5$): For all $s, t \in R$, $N(x + z, y, s + t) \leq \max\{N(x, y, s), N(z, y, t)\}$.

Example 2.7. Let $(X, \|\bullet, \bullet\|)$ be a 2-normed linear space. Define

$$\begin{aligned} N(x, y, t) &= \frac{\|x, y\|}{t + \|x, y\|}, \text{ if } t > 0, t \in R, x, y \in X \\ &= 1, \text{ if } t \leq 0, t \in R, x, y \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Then (X, N) is a Fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space.

Definition 2.8. A sequence $\{x_k\}$ in a fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space (X, N) is said to be t -converges to $x \in X$ if given $t > 0, 0 < r < 1$, there exists an integer $n_0 \in N$ such that $N(x_1, x_k - x, t) < r$, for all $k \geq n_0$.

Theorem 2.9. In a fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space (X, N) , a sequence $\{x_k\}$ is t -converges to $x \in X$ if and only $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_k - x, t) = 0, \forall t > 0$.

Definition 2.10. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space. Let $\{x_k\}$ be a sequence in X then $\{x_k\}$ is said to be t -Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_{k+p} - x_k, t) = 0, \forall t > 0$ and $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

A fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space (X, N) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent. A complete fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space (X, N) is called a fuzzy anti-2-Banach space. The open ball $B(x, r, t)$ and the closed ball $B[x, r, t]$ with the center $x \in X$ and radius $0 < r < 1, t > 0$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B(x, r, t) &= \{y \in X : N(x_1, x - y, t) < r\} \\ B[x, r, t] &= \{y \in X : N(x_1, x - y, t) \leq r\}. \end{aligned}$$

A subset A of X is said to be t -open if there exists $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $B(x, r, t) \subset A$ for all $x \in A$ and $t > 0$. A subset A of X is said to be t -closed if for any sequence $\{x_k\}$ in A converges to $x \in A$. i.e., $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_k - x, t) = 0$, for all $t > 0$ implies that $x \in A$. A subset A of X is said to be t -compact if for every sequence $\{x_k\}$ in A has a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ which t -converges to an element $x_0 \in A$.

3. t -Best Coapproximation

Definition 3.1. Let A be a nonempty subset of fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space (X, N) and $t > 0$. For $x \in X$, an element $y_0 \in A$ is said to be a t -best coapproximation of x from A if $N(x_1, y_0 - y, t) \leq N(x_1, x - y, t)$, for all $y \in A$.

The set of all elements of t -best coapproximation of x from A is denoted by $R_A^t(x)$ and is defined as

$$R_A^t(x) = \{y_0 \in A : N(x_1, y_0 - y, t) \leq N(x_1, x - y, t), \forall y \in A\}$$

For $t > 0$ putting

$$\check{A}_x^t = \{x \in X : N(x_1, y, t) \leq N(x_1, y - x, t), \forall y \in A\} = (R_A^t)^{-1}(\{0\}).$$

It is clear $y_0 \in R_A^t(x)$ if and only $x - y_0 \in \check{A}_x^t$.

Definition 3.2. Let A be a non empty subset of a fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space (X, N) . If for $t > 0$ and each $x \in X$ has at least (respectively exactly) one t -best coapproximation in A , then A is called a t -coproximal (respectively t -coChebyshev) set. Also A is called t -quasi-coChebyshev set if $R_A^t(x)$ is a t -compact set.

Theorem 3.3. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space. and A be a subspace of X and $t > 0$. Then for each $x \in X$

- (i) A is a t -coproximal if and only if $X = A + \check{A}_x^t$.
- (ii) A is a t -coChebyshev subspace if and only if $X = A \oplus \check{A}_x^t$.

Proof. (i) (\Rightarrow) Assume that A is t -coproximal, $x \in X$ and $y_0 \in R_A^t(x)$. Then $x - y_0 \in \check{A}_x^t$. Now, $x = y_0 + (x - y_0) \in A + \check{A}_x^t$. Hence $X = A + \check{A}_x^t$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $x \in X = A + \check{A}_x^t$. Then $x = y_0 + \check{y}$, $y_0 \in A$, $\check{y} \in \check{A}_x^t$ and so $0 \in R_A^t(\check{y}) = R_A^t(x - y_0)$. Since, $N(x_1, 0 - (x - y_0), t) \leq N(x_1, y - (x - y_0), t)$, so $N(x_1, y_0 - x, t) \leq N(x_1, (y + y_0) - x, t)$, where $y + y_0 \in A$; hence $y_0 \in R_A^t(x)$. Therefore A is t -coproximal.

(ii) (\Rightarrow) Suppose that A is t -coChebyshev subspace, $x \in X$, and $x = y_1 + \check{y}_1 = y_2 + \check{y}_2$, where $y_1, y_2 \in A$ and $\check{y}_1, \check{y}_2 \in \check{A}_x^t$. We show that $y_1 = y_2$ and $\check{y}_1 = \check{y}_2$. Since $x = y_1 + \check{y}_1 = y_2 + \check{y}_2$, then $x - y_1 = \check{y}_1$, $x - y_2 = \check{y}_2$, this implies that $y_1, y_2 \in R_A^t(x)$. Therefore $y_1 = y_2$, it follows that $\check{y}_1 = \check{y}_2$. Thus $X = A \oplus \check{A}_x^t$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $X = A \oplus \check{A}_x^t$, and suppose for $x \in X$, there exists $y_1, y_2 \in R_A^t(x)$. Then $x - y_1,$

$x - y_2 \in \check{A}_x^t$ and therefore $x = y_1 + \check{y}_1 = y_2 + \check{y}_2$, where $\check{y}_1 = x - y_1$ $\check{y}_2 = x - y_2$. It follows that $y_1 = y_2$ and $\check{y}_1 = \check{y}_2$. ■

Theorem 3.4. Let A be a non empty subset of a fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space (X, N) . Then for $t > 0$ and each $x \in X$,

- (i) $R_{A+y}^t(x + y) = R_A^t(x) + y$, for every $x, y \in X$.
- (ii) $R_{\alpha A}^{|\alpha|t}(\alpha x) = \alpha R_A^t(x)$, for every $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in R \setminus \{0\}$.
- (iii) A is t -coproximal (respectively t -coChebyshev) if and only if $A + y$ is t -coproximal (respectively t -coChebyshev), for any $y \in X$.
- (iv) A is t -coproximal (respectively t -coChebyshev) if and only if αA is $|\alpha|t$ -coproximal (respectively $|\alpha|t$ -coChebyshev), for any given $\alpha \in R \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. (i) For any $x, y \in X, t > 0, y_0 \in R_{A+y}^t(x+y)$ if and only if $N(x_1, y_0 - (a+y), t) \leq N(x_1, x + y - (a+y), t)$ for all $(a+y) \in A + y$ if and only if, $N(x_1, (y_0 - y) - a, t) \leq N(x_1, x - a, t)$ for all $a \in A$, if and only if, $(y_0 - y) \in R_A^t(x)$, i.e., $y_0 \in R_A^t(x) + y$.

(ii) For any $x \in X, \alpha \in R \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0, y_0 \in R_{\alpha A}^{|\alpha|t}(\alpha x)$ if and only if, $N(x_1, (y_0 - \alpha a), |\alpha|t) \leq N(x_1, (\alpha x - \alpha a), \alpha t)$ for all $a \in A$ if and only if

$$N\left(x_1, \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}y_0 - a\right), |\alpha|t\right) \leq N(x_1, (x - a), t)$$

for all $a \in A$ if and only if $\frac{1}{\alpha}y_0 \in R_A^t(x)$ if and only if $y_0 \in \alpha R_A^t(x)$. Therefore

$$R_{\alpha A}^{|\alpha|t}(\alpha x) = \alpha R_A^t(x)$$

(iii) The proof is an immediate consequence of (i).

(iv) The proof is an immediate consequence of (ii). ■

Corollary 3.5. Let M be a nonempty subspace of a fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space (X, N) . Then for $t > 0$ and each $x \in X$,

- (i) $R_M^t(x + y) = R_M^t(x) + y$, for every $x, y \in X$,
- (ii) $R_M^{|\alpha|t}(\alpha x) = \alpha R_M^t(x)$, for every $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in R \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. The proof is an immediate consequence of theorem 3.4 and this fact that $M + y = M$ and $\alpha M = M$ for all $y \in M$ and $\alpha \in R \setminus \{0\}$. ■

Definition 3.6. For $x \in X, a \in A, 0 < r < 1$, and $t > 0$, define $e_a^t(x) = N(x_1, x - a, t)$.

Theorem 3.7. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space, A be a subset of $X, x \in X \setminus \bar{A}$ and $t > 0$. Then we have $R_A^t(x) = \left[\bigcap_{a \in A} B[a, e_a^t(x), t] \right] \bigcap A$.

Proof. By definition of $R_A^t(x)$, for each $a \in A$ we have $R_A^t(x) \subseteq [B[a, e_a^t(x), t]] \cap A$. Therefore $R_A^t(x) \subseteq [\bigcap_{a \in A} B[a, e_a^t(x), t]] \cap A$. Conversely, let $y \in [\bigcap_{a \in A} B[a, e_a^t(x), t]] \cap A$, then we have $y \in A$, and for each $a \in A$, $N(x_1, a - y, t) \leq e_a^t(x) = N(x_1, x - a, t)$, which implies that $y \in R_A^t(x)$. So $[\bigcap_{a \in A} B[a, e_a^t(x), t]] \cap A \subseteq R_A^t(x)$, which completes the proof. ■

Corollary 3.8. Let (X, N) be fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space, A be a subset of X , $x \in X \setminus A$ and $t > 0$. Then

- (i) The set $R_A^t(x)$ is t -bounded.
- (ii) If A is t -closed then $R_A^t(x)$ is t -closed.

Theorem 3.9. Let (X, N) be fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space. For each $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, if A is a convex subset of X , then $R_A^t(x)$ is a convex subset of A (for $R_A^t(x) \neq \emptyset$).

Proof. Let $z_1, z_2 \in R_A^t$, then for $t > 0$ and each $x \in X$, $N(x_1, y - z_1, t) \leq N(x_1, x - y, t)$ and $N(x_1, y - z_2, t) \leq N(x_1, x - y, t)$ for all $y \in A$. Now for each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(x_1, y - (\lambda z_1 + (1 - \lambda)z_2), t) &= N(x_1, \lambda y - \lambda z_1 + y - \lambda y - z_2 + \lambda z_2, t) \\ &= N(x_1, \lambda(y - z_1) + (1 - \lambda)(y - z_2), \lambda t + (1 - \lambda)t) \\ &\leq \max \left\{ N \left(x_1, y - z_1, \frac{\lambda t}{\lambda} \right), N \left(x_1, y - z_2, \frac{(1 - \lambda)t}{1 - \lambda} \right) \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ N \left(x_1, x - y, \frac{\lambda t}{\lambda} \right), N \left(x_1, x - y, \frac{(1 - \lambda)t}{1 - \lambda} \right) \right\} \\ &\leq N(x_1, x - y, t). \end{aligned}$$

So $\lambda z_1 + (1 - \lambda)z_2 \in R_A^t(x)$ and $R_A^t(x)$ is convex. ■

Theorem 3.10. For $t > 0$ and each $x \in X$. Let A be a t -coproximal subspace of fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space (X, N) . Then

- (i) If \check{A}_x^t is a t -compact set then A is t -quasi-coChebyshev.
- (ii) If \check{A}_x^t is a t -closed set then $R_A^t(x)$ is t -closed, for every $x \in X$.

Proof. (i) Suppose $x \in X$ and $\{y_n\}$ is a sequence in $R_A^t(x)$. Since $x - y_n \in \check{A}_x^t$ and \check{A}_x^t is a t -compact set, there exists a subsequence $\{x - y_{n_k}\}$ that t -convergent to $x - y_0 \in \check{A}_x^t$. Consequently, $\{y_n\}$ has a subsequence $y_{n_k} \rightarrow y_0 \in R_A^t(x)$ and hence A is t -quasi-coChebyshev.

(ii) The proof is similar to (i). ■

Definition 3.11. A subset A of a fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space (X, N) is said to be t -boundedly compact if every t -bounded sequence in A has a subsequence t -converging to an element of X .

Theorem 3.12. Suppose for some $t > 0$ and each $x \in X$, A is a t -boundedly compact and t -closed subset of a fuzzy anti-2-normed linear sapce (X, N) then A is t -quasi-coChebyshev.

Proof. Let $\{y_n\}$ be any sequence in $R_A^t(x)$. Then $N(x_1, y_n - y, t) \leq N(x_1, x - y, t)$ for every $y \in A$. Since $R_A^t(x)$ is t -bounded, $\{y_n\}$ is a t -bounded sequence in A , and so $\{y_n\}$ has a t -convergent subsequence $\{y_{n_k}\}$, let $y_{n_k} \rightarrow y_0 \in A$, as A is t -closed. Consider

$$N(x_1, y_0 - y, t) = \lim_k N(x_1, y_{n_k} - y, t) \leq N(x_1, x - y, t), \text{ for every } y \in A,$$

So $y_0 \in R_A^t(x)$, which implies that A is t -quasi-coChebyshev. ■

Definition 3.13. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space and A be a subset of X . For $t > 0$ and an element $x \in X$ is said to be t -orthogonal to an element $y \in X$ and we denote it by $x \perp_x^t y$, if $N(x_1, x + \lambda y, t) \geq N(x_1, x, t)$ for all scalar $\lambda \in R, \lambda \neq 0$. We say $A \perp_x^t y$ if $x \perp_x^t y$ for every $x \in A$.

Theorem 3.14. For $t > 0$ and each $x \in X$ and $y_0 \in A$, let (X, N) be a fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space and A be a subspace of X . If $A \perp_x^t x - y_0$ then $y_0 \in R_A^t(x)$.

Proof. Suppose $t > 0, x \in X$ and $A \perp_x^t x - y_0$. Then $N(x_1, a + \lambda(x - y_0), t) \geq N(x_1, a, t)$ for all $a \in A$ and all scalar $\lambda \in R, \lambda \neq 0$. Then $N\left(x_1, x - y_0 + \lambda^{-1}a, \frac{t}{|\lambda|}\right) \geq N\left(x_1, \lambda^{-1}a, \frac{t}{|\lambda|}\right)$. Hence $N\left(x_1, x - a', \frac{t}{|\lambda|}\right) \geq N\left(x_1, y_0 - a', \frac{t}{|\lambda|}\right)$, where $a' = y_0 - \lambda^{-1}a$. Now if $\lambda = 1$ then, $N(x_1, y - y_0, t) \geq N(x_1, x - y, t)$ for all $y \in A$ and so $y_0 \in R_A^t(x)$. ■

4. F -Best coapproximation

Definition 4.1. Let A be a nonempty subset of a fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space (X, N) . An element $y_0 \in A$ is said to be an F -best coapproximation of $x \in X$ from A if it is a t -best coapproximation of x from A , for every $t > 0$, i.e., $y_0 \in \bigcap_{t \in (0, \infty)} R_A^t(x)$.

The set of all elements of F -best coapproximation of X from A is denoted by $FR_A^t(x)$, i.e., $FR_A^t(x) = \bigcap_{t \in (0, \infty)} R_A^t(x)$.

If each $x \in X$ has at least (respectively exactly) one F -best coapproximation in A , then A is called F -coproximinal (respectively F -coChebyshev) set.

Example 4.2. Let $X = R^3$. Define $N : X \times X \times X \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$N(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = \frac{\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|}{t}, \text{ if } t > 0, t \in R, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$$

$$= 1, \text{ if } t \leq 0, t \in R, t \in R, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X,$$

where $\|x_1, x_2, x_3\| = \min_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \sum_{j=1}^3 |x_{ij}|$. Then (X, N) is a fuzzy anti-3-normed linear space.

Let

$$A = \{(a, b, c) \in R^3 : a^2 + b^2 \leq 1, 0 \leq c \leq a^2 + b^2\}$$

and $x_1 = (1, 0, 0), x_2 = (0, 1, 0), x = (0, 0, 4)$ are in X . Let $a_0 = (0, -1, 1)$ and $a_1 = (0, 1, 1)$ are in A . Hence $a_0 = (0, -1, 1)$ and $a_1 = (0, 1, 1)$ are F -best coapproximations of $x = (0, 0, 4)$ from A . Then $(0, -1, 1), (0, 1, 1) \in FR_A^t(0, 0, 4)$. So, A is not a F -coChebyshev set.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\{\|\bullet, \bullet\|_\alpha^* : \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$ be a descending family of α -2-norm on X corresponding to the fuzzy anti-2-norm on X . Then $y_0 \in A$ is a best coapproximation to $x \in X$ in the descending family of α -2-norm on X corresponding to the fuzzy anti-2-norm on X if and only if y_0 is a F -best coapproximation to x in the fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space (X, N) .

Proof. For each $x \in X, y_0$ is a best coapproximation to $x \in X$ in the descending family of α -2-norm on X corresponding to the fuzzy anti-2-norm on X if and only if $\|x_1, y - y_0\|_\alpha^* \leq \|x_1, x - y\|_\alpha^*$, for every $y \in A$, if and only if

$$\frac{t}{t + \|x_1, y - y_0\|_\alpha^*} \geq \frac{t}{t + \|x_1, x - y\|_\alpha^*}$$

for every $y \in A$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$, if and only if $N(x_1, y - y_0, t) \leq N(x_1, x - y, t)$ for every $y \in A$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$ if and only if $y_0 \in FR_A^t(x)$. ■

Definition 4.4. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space and A be a subset of X . For each element $x \in X$ is said to be F -orthogonal to an element $y \in X$ and we denote it by $x \perp_x^F y$, if for every $t > 0, x \perp_x^t y$. We say $A \perp_x^F y$ if $x \perp_x^F y$ for every $x \in A$.

Theorem 4.5. Let $\{\|\bullet, \bullet\|_\alpha^* : \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$ be a descending family of α -2-norm on X corresponding to the fuzzy anti-2-norm on X . Then $x \in X$ is Brikhoff orthogonal to $y \in X$ in the descending family of α -2-norm on X corresponding to the fuzzy anti-2-norm on X if and only if x is a F -orthogonal to y in the fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space (X, N) .

Proof. For each $x \in X, x$ is a Brikhoff orthogonal to $y \in X$ in the descending family of α -2-norm on X corresponding to the fuzzy anti-2-norm on X if and only if $\|x_1, x\|_\alpha^* \leq \|x_1, x + \lambda y\|_\alpha^*$, for every $\lambda \in R \setminus \{0\}$, if and only if

$$\frac{t}{t + \|x_1, x\|_\alpha^*} \geq \frac{t}{t + \|x_1, x + \lambda y\|_\alpha^*}$$

for every $\lambda \in R \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$, if and only if $N(x_1, x, t) \leq N(x_1, x + \lambda y, t)$ for every $\lambda \in R \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$ if and only if $x \perp_x^F y$. ■

Remark 4.6. The converse of theorem 3.14 is true, if we replace t -orthogonality with F -orthogonality.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced the concept of t -best coapproximation and F -best coapproximation in fuzzy anti-2-normed linear spaces and then prove several theorems pertaining to this sets.

References

- [1] M. Abrishami Moghaddam and T. Sistani, On t -best coapproximation in fuzzy 2-normed spaces, *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 5(9):2241–2248, 2011.
- [2] T. Bag and T.K. Samanta, A comparative study of fuzzy norms on a linear space, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 159:670–684, 2008.
- [3] C. Franchetti and M. Furi, Some characteristic properties of real Hilbert spaces, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, 17:1045–1048, 1972.
- [4] S.Gähler, Lineare 2-normierte Räume, *Math. Nachr.*, 28:1–43, 1964.
- [5] S. Gähler, Unter Suchungen über verallgemeinerte m -metrische raume I, *Math. Nachr.*, 40:165–189, 1969.
- [6] H. Gunawan and Mashadi, On finite Dimensional 2-normed spaces, *Soochow J. Math.*, 27:631–639, 2001.
- [7] Iqbal H. Jebril and T.K. Samanta, Fuzzy Anti-Normed space, *Journal of Mathematics and Technology*, February, 66–77, 2010.
- [8] A.K. Katsaras, Fuzzy topological vector spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 12:143–154, 1984.
- [9] P.L. Papini and I. Singer, Best coapproximation in normed linear spaces, *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 88:27–44, 1979.
- [10] G.S. Rao and R. Saravanan, Characterization of best uniform coapproximation, *Approx. Theory and its Appl.*, 15:23–37, 1999.
- [11] B. Surender Reddy, Fuzzy anti-2-normed linear space, *Journal of Mathematics Research*, 3(2):137–144, May 2011.

- [12] B. Surender Reddy, Some results on t -best approximation in fuzzy anti-2-normed linear spaces, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 74(4):497–507, 2012.
- [13] B. Surender Reddy, Best simultaneous approximation in fuzzy anti-2-normed linear spaces, *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, 2(9):1747–1757, September 2011.