

A Related Common Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy 3-Metric Spaces

S.S. Thakur and Dinesh Singh Yadav

*Department of Applied Mathematics
Jabalpur Engineering College,
Jabalpur (M. P.) 482011 India*

Email: samajh_singh@rediffmail.com

*Department of Applied Mathematics
Takshshila institute of Engineering and Technology
Jabalpur (M. P.) 482001 India
Email: dinyadav1976@gmail.com*

Abstract

In this paper we establish the existence of a related fixed point theorem for two pairs of mappings with different contraction in fuzzy 3- metric spaces.

Keywords: Fuzzy 3-metric space, Fixed Point, Related Fixed Point.

Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets was initially investigated by Zadeh [11] in 1965 as new way to represent imprecise facts of uncertainties of vagueness in everyday life. Subsequently, it was developed extensively by many authors and used in population dynamics, chaos control, computer programming, medicine, etc. in 1975 Krammosil and Michalek [4] introduce the concept of fuzzy metric spaces . Later on it is modified and a few concepts of mathematical analysis have been developed by George and Veeramani [3] .In 1982 Fisher [2] studied some related fixed point theorems on two metric spaces. Since than many authors such as Aliouche and Fisher [1], R.K.Namdeo, S.Jain and B. Fisher [5] ,Telci [10] , Rao and Rao [6],Rao,Aliouche and Ravi Babu [7] and others proved some related fixed point theorems in two metric and fuzzy metric spaces. Recently , Samant, Mohinta and Jebril [9] established some related fixed point theorems for two pairs of mappings in fuzzy metric spaces .In this paper we extend the theorem 3.1 of Samant, Mohinta and Jebril [11] in fuzzy 3- metric spaces.

2.Preliminaries

We quote some definitions and statements of a few theorems which will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 2.1: A binary operation $*$: $[0,1] \times [0,1] \times [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a t-norm of $\{[0,1], *\}$ is an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that $a_1 * b_1 * c_1 * d_1 \leq a_2 * b_2 * c_2 * d_2$ whenever $a_1 \leq a_2, b_1 \leq b_2, c_1 \leq c_2, d_1 \leq d_2$ for all $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \in [0,1]$.

Definition 2.2: The 3-triple $(X, \mu, *)$ is said to be fuzzy 3- metric space if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set in $X^4 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

For all $x, y, z, w \in X$ and $s, t > 0$

[FM-1] $\mu(x, y, z, w, 0) = 0$,

[FM-2] $\mu(x, y, z, w, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ only when the three simplex $\langle x, y, z, w \rangle$ degenerate,

[FM-3] $\mu(x, y, z, w, t) = \mu(y, z, w, x, t) = \mu(z, w, x, y, t) = \mu(x, w, z, y, t) \dots \dots$
symmetry about three variables,

[FM-4] $\mu(x, y, z, u, t_1) * \mu(x, y, u, w, t_2) * \mu(x, u, z, w, t_3) * \mu(u, y, z, w, t_4) \leq \mu(x, y, z, t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4), \forall x, y, z, w, u \in X$ and $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 > 0$

[FM-5] $\mu(x, y, z, w, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous ,

[FM-6] $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x, y, z, w, t) = 1$

The function value $\mu(x, y, z, w, t)$ may be interpreted as the probability that the volume of tetrahedron is less than t .

Definition 2.3: Let $(X, \mu, *)$ be a fuzzy 3-metric space.

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in fuzzy 3-metric space X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, x, a, b, t) = 1 \text{ for all } a, b \in X \text{ and } t > 0$$

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in fuzzy 3-metric space X is called Cauchy sequence if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_{n+p}, x_n, a, b, t) = 1 \text{ for all } a, b \in X \text{ and } t > 0, p > 0$$

Let $(X, \mu, *)$ be a Fuzzy 3-metric spaces if $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $x, y, a, b \in X$,

$$\mu(x, y, a, b, kt) \geq \mu(x, y, a, b, t), \forall t > 0 \text{ then } x = y$$

3.Main Result

Theorem 3.1 : Let $(X, \mu, *)$ and $(X, \sigma, *)$ be complete fuzzy 3-metric spaces. If T is a continuous mapping of X into Y and S is a mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities,

$$k\mu(STx,STx',a,b,t) \geq \min \{ \mu(x,x',a,b,t), \mu(x,STx,a,b,t), \mu(x,STx',a,b,t), \mu(x',STx',a,b,t), \sigma(Tx,Tx',a,b,t) \} \tag{i}$$

$$k\sigma(TSy,TSy',a,b,t) \geq \min \{ \sigma(y,y',a,b,t), \sigma(y,TSy,a,b,t), \sigma(y,TSy',a,b,t), \sigma(y',TSy',a,b,t), \mu(Sy,Sy',a,b,t) \} \tag{ii}$$

For all $x,x',a,b \in X$ and $y,y',a',b' \in Y$ where $k \in (0,1]$ then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y further $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Proof: Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X and

$$Tx_0 = y_1, Tx_1 = y_2, Tx_2 = y_3, \dots, Tx_{n-1} = y_n, \\ Sy_1 = x_1, Sy_2 = x_2, Sy_3 = x_3, \dots, Sy_n = x_n.$$

Using inequality (i) we get

$$k\mu(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}, a, b, t) = k\mu(STx_{n+1}, STx_n, a, b, t) \\ \geq \min \{ \mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, a, b, t), \mu(x_{n+1}, STx_{n+1}, a, b, t), \mu(x_{n+1}, STx_n, a, b, t), \mu(x_n, STx_n, a, b, t), \sigma(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n, a, b, t) \}$$

$$\geq \min \{ \mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, a, b, t), \mu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a, b, t), \mu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, a, b, t), \mu(x_n, x_{n+1}, a, b, t), \sigma(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, a', b', t) \} \tag{1}$$

$$\mu(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}, a, b, t) \geq \frac{1}{k} \min \{ \mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, a, b, t), \mu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a, b, t), \sigma(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, a', b', t) \} \dots \tag{2}$$

putting the value from (2) in (1)

$$k\mu(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}, a, b, t) \geq \min \{ \mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, a, b, t), \sigma(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, a', b', t), \frac{1}{k} \mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, a, b, t), \frac{1}{k} \mu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a, b, t), \frac{1}{k} \sigma(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, a', b', t) \} \\ = \min \{ \mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, a, b, t), \sigma(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, a', b', t), \frac{1}{k} \mu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a, b, t) \}$$

Doing m times we get

$$k\mu(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}, a, b, t) \geq \min \{ \mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, a, b, t), \sigma(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, a', b', t), \frac{1}{k^m} \mu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a, b, t) \}$$

Taking \lim as $m \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$k\mu(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}, a, b, t) \geq \min \{ \mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, a, b, t), \sigma(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, a', b', t) \} \tag{3}$$

Again

$$k\sigma(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, a', b', t) = k\sigma(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n, a', b', t) \\ = k\sigma(TSTx_n, TSTx_{n-1}, a', b', t) \\ = k\sigma(TSy_{n+1}, TSy_n, a', b', t) \\ \geq \min \{ \sigma(y_{n+1}, y_n, a', b', t), \sigma(y_{n+1}, TSy_{n+1}, a', b', b', t), \sigma(y_{n+1}, TSy_n, a', t), \sigma(y_n, TSy_n, a', b', t), \mu(Sy_{n+1}, Sy_n, a, b, t) \}$$

$$\geq \min \{ \sigma(y_{n+1}, y_n, a', b', t), \sigma(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, a', b', t), \sigma(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, a', b', t), \sigma(y_n, y_{n+1}, a', b', t), \mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, a, b, t) \} \\ k\sigma(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, a', b', t) \geq \min \{ \sigma(y_{n+1}, y_n, a', b', t), \mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, a, b, t) \} \tag{4}$$

By (3)

$$k\mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, a, b, t) \geq \min \{ \mu(x_n, x_{n-1}, a, b, t), \sigma(y_{n+1}, y_n, a', b', t) \}$$

$$\mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, a, b, t) \geq \frac{1}{k} \min \{ \mu(x_n, x_{n-1}, a, b, t), \sigma(y_{n+1}, y_n, a', b', t) \}$$

putting this value in (4)

$$k\sigma(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, a', b', t) \geq \frac{1}{k} \min \{ \sigma(y_{n+1}, y_n, a', b', t), \mu(x_n, x_{n-1}, a, b, t), \sigma(y_{n+1}, y_n, a', b', t) \}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{k} \min \{ \sigma(y_{n+1}, y_n, a', b', t), \mu(x_n, x_{n-1}, a, b, t) \}$$

$$\vdots \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{k^n} \min \{ \sigma(y_1, y_2, a', b', t), \mu(x, x_1, a, b, t) \}$$

We now verify that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence Let $t_1 = \frac{t}{p}$, then

$$\mu(x_n, x_{n-p}, a, b, t) \geq \mu(x_n, x_{n-1}, a, b, t_1) * \mu(x_{n+1}, x_{n-2}, a, b, t_1) * \dots * \mu(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}, a, b, t_1)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{k^n} \min \{ \mu(x, x_1, a, b, t) * \sigma(y_1, y_2, a', b', t) * \dots * \frac{1}{k^{n+p-1}} \min \{ \mu(x, x_1, a, b, t), \sigma(y_1, y_2, a', b', t) \} \}$$

$$1 \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, x_{n+p}, a, b, t)$$

$$\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} \min \{ \mu(x, x_1, a, b, t), \sigma(y_1, y_2, a', b', t) \} > 1$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, x_{n+p}, a, b, t) = 1$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence with limit z in X and similarly $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence with limit w in Y

We have on using the continuity of T

$$w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n = Tz$$

further

$$k\mu(STz, x_{n+1}, a, b, t) = k\mu(STz, STx_{n-1}, a, b, t)$$

$$\geq \min \{ \mu(z, x_{n-1}, a, b, t), \mu(z, STz, a, b, t), \mu(z, STx_{n-1}, a, b, t), \mu(x_{n-1}, STx_{n-1}, a, b, t), \sigma(Tz, Tx_{n-1}, a', b', t) \}$$

$$\geq \min \{ \mu(z, x_{n-1}, a, b, t), \mu(z, STz, a, b, t), \mu(z, x_n, a, b, t), \mu(x_{n-1}, x_n, a, b, t), \sigma(Tz, Tx_{n-1}, a', b', t) \}$$

As $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$

$$k\mu(STz, z, a, b, t) \geq \min \{ \mu(z, STz, a, b, t), \sigma(Tz, Tx_{n-1}, a', b', t) \}$$

$$k\mu(STz, z, a, b, t) \geq \min \{ \mu(z, STz, a, b, t), \sigma(Tz, w, a', b', t) \}$$

$$k\mu(STz, z, a, b, t) = \mu(z, STz, a, b', t)$$

we follows that $STz = z$

hence $STz = Sw = z$

now suppose that ST has second fixed point z' then

$$k\mu(z, z', a, b, t) = k\mu(STz, STz', a, b, t)$$

$$\geq \min \{ \mu(z, z', a, b, t), \mu(z, STz, a, b, t), \mu(z, STz', a, b, t), \mu(z', STz', a, b, t), \sigma(Tz, Tz', a', b', t) \}$$

$$\geq \min \{ \mu(z, z', a, b, t), 1, \mu(z, z', a, b, t), \mu(z', z', a, b, t), \sigma(Tz, Tz', a', b', t) \}$$

$$\geq \sigma(Tz, Tz', a', b', t)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
 k\sigma(Tz, Tz', a', b', t) &= \sigma(TSTz, TSTz', a', b', t) \\
 &\geq \min\{ \sigma(Tz, Tz', a', b', t), \sigma(Tz, TSTz', a', b', t), \sigma(Tz, TSTz', a', b', t), \sigma(Tz', \\
 &TSTz', a', b', t), \mu(STz, STz', a, b, t) \} \\
 &\geq \min\{ \sigma(Tz, Tz', a', b', t), \sigma(Tz, Tz', a', b', t), \sigma(Tz, Tz', a', b', t), \sigma(Tz', Tz', a', b', t), \\
 &\mu(z, z', a, b, t) \} \\
 \sigma(Tz, Tz', a', b', t) &\geq \frac{1}{k} \mu(z, z', a, b, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu(z, z', a, b, t) &\geq \frac{1}{k} \mu(z, z', a, b, t) \geq \frac{1}{k^2} \mu(z, z', a, b, t) \dots \geq \frac{1}{k^n} \mu(z, z', a, b, t) \\
 &\rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\
 &\geq \mu(z, z', a, b, t) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} \mu(z, z', a, b, t) > 1 \\
 \mu(z, z', a, b, t) &= 1 \\
 z &= z'
 \end{aligned}$$

similarly w is the unique fixed point of TS

References

- [1] A. Aliouche and B. Fisher, Fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying implicit relation on two complete metric spaces, Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, 27 (9) (2006), 1217-1222.
- [2] B. Fisher. Related Fixed Point On two metric spaces, Mathematics seminar notes, Vol 10 (1982) 17-26
- [3] A. George and P. Veeramani. On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems Vol 64 (1994) 395-399.
- [4] O. Kramosil, J. Michalek, fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika 11 (1975) 326-334.
- [5] R. K. Namdeo, S. Jain and B. Fisher, A Related Fixed point Theorem for Two pairs of mapping On two complete Metric space, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, Vol. 32 (2003) 07-11.
- [6] K. P. R. Rao, N. Shrivasa Rao T. Ranga Rao and J. Rajendra Prasad , Fixed and related fixed point theorems in sequentially compact fuzzy metric spaces, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 2, 2008, no. 28, 1353-1359 1
- [7] K. P. R. Rao, Abdelkrim Aliouche and G. Ravi Babu, related fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, The Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and its Application, 1 (3) (2008), 194-202.
- [8] Schweizer, A. Sklar , Statistical metric space, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 10 (1960) 314-334
- [9] T. K. Samanta, Sumit Mohinta and Iqbal H. Jebril, Related Fixed Point Theorems for Two Pairs Of Mappings In Fuzzy Metric Spaces,

- International Journal of Open Problems in Computer Science and Mathematics
,Vol 5 (2) (2012),arXiv:1205.2558v1 [math.GM]
- [10] M. Telci, Fixed points on two complete and compact metric spaces, Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, 22 (5) (2001) , 564-568.
- [11] L. A. Zadeh Fuzzy sets, Information and control 8 (1965) 338-353.