

A NOTE ON AN ORDER BETWEEN OBJECT-ORIENTED SOFT CONCEPTS IN A SOFT CONTEXT

Won Keun Min

Department of Mathematics, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon 24341, Korea.

E-mail: wkmin@kangwon.ac.kr ORCID: 0000-0002-3439-2255

Abstract:

The purpose of this work is to study the algebraic structure in the family of all the m -concepts, so we introduce the notion of an order in the set of all m -concepts and show that the ordered set is a complete lattice. And, we discover what is the condition for the isomorphic relation between two m -concept lattices in a given soft context.

1. INTRODUCTION

Formal concept analysis [10] was introduced by Wille, which is an important theory for the research of information structures induced by a binary relation between the set of attributes and objects attributes. The three basic notions of FCA are formal context, formal concept, and concept lattice. A formal context is a kind of information system, which is a tabular form of an object-attribute value relationship [2, 3, 9]. A formal concept is a pair of a set of objects as called the extent and a set of attributes as called the intent. The set of all formal concepts together with the order relation forms a complete lattice called the concept lattice [9,10]. In order to deal complicated problems, Molodtsov introduced the concept of soft set in [8]. The operations for the soft set theory was introduced by Maji et al. in [4]. In [1], Ali et al. proposed new operations modified some concepts introduced by Maji. We have formed a soft context by combining the concepts of the formal context and the soft set defined by the set-valued mapping in [6]. Additionally, we introduced and studied the new concepts named soft concepts and soft concepts lattices.

In [11], Yao introduced a new concept called an *object oriented formal concept* in a formal context by using the notion of approximation operations.

And also, by using the two operation, we investigated the new concept of m -concepts related closely the object oriented concept in formal context in [7].

In this paper, we introduce the notion of an order in the set of all m -concepts and show that the ordered set is a complete lattice. And, we discover what is the condition for the isomorphic relation between two m -concept lattices in a given soft context.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A formal context is a triplet (U, V, I) , where U is a non-empty finite set of objects, V is a nonempty finite set of attributes, and I is a relation between U and V . Let (U, V, I) be a formal context. For a pair of elements $x \in U$ and $y \in V$, if

$(x, y) \in I$, then it means that object x has attribute y and we write xIy . The set of all attributes with a given object $x \in U$ and the set of all objects with a given attribute $y \in V$ are denoted as the following [9,10]:

$$x^* = \{y \in V | xIy\}; \quad y^* = \{x \in U | xIy\}.$$

And, the operations for the subsets $X \subseteq U$ and $Y \subseteq V$ are defined as:

$$X^* = \{y \in V | \text{for all } x \in X, xIy\}; \quad Y^* = \{x \in U | \text{for all } y \in Y, xIy\}.$$

In a formal context (U, V, I) , a pair (X, Y) of two sets $X \subseteq U$ and $Y \subseteq V$ is called a *formal concept* of (U, V, I) if $X = Y^*$ and $Y = X^*$, where X and Y are called the *extent* and the *intent* of the formal concept, respectively.

Let U be a universe set and E be a collection of properties of objects in U . We will call E the *set of parameters* with respect to U .

A pair (F, E) is called a *soft set* [8] over U if F is a set-valued mapping of E into the set $P(U)$ of all subsets of the set U , i.e.,

$$F : E \rightarrow P(U).$$

In other words, for $a \in E$, every set $F(a)$ may be considered as the set of a -elements of the soft set (F, E) .

Let $U = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m\}$ be a non-empty finite set of *objects*, $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ a non-empty finite set of *attributes*, and $F : E \rightarrow P(U)$ a soft set. Then the triple (U, E, F) is called a *soft context* [6].

And, in a soft context (U, E, F) , we introduced the following mappings:

For each $Z \in P(U)$ and $Y \in P(E)$,

(1) $\mathbf{F}^+ : P(E) \rightarrow P(U)$ is a mapping defined as $\mathbf{F}^+(Y) = \bigcap_{y \in Y} F(y)$;

(2) $\mathbf{F}^- : P(U) \rightarrow P(E)$ is a mapping defined as $\mathbf{F}^-(Z) = \{a \in E : Z \subseteq F(a)\}$;

(3) $\Psi : P(U) \rightarrow P(U)$ is an operation defined as $\Psi(Z) = \mathbf{F}^+ \mathbf{F}^-(Z)$.

Then Z is called a *soft concept* [6] in (U, E, F) if $\Psi(Z) = \mathbf{F}^+ \mathbf{F}^-(Z) = Z$. The set of all soft concepts is denoted by $sC(U, E, F)$.

In [7], we introduced the notion of m -concepts which is independent of the notion of soft concepts to each other as the following: For each $X \in P(U)$,

For each $Z \in P(U)$ and $Y \in P(E)$,

(1) $\mathbb{F} : P(A) \rightarrow P(U)$ is a mapping defined as $\mathbb{F}(C) = \bigcup_{c \in C} F(c)$;

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 94D05, 94D99, 03E70, 03E72.

Key words and phrases. formal concept, soft context, soft concepts, m -concepts, object oriented soft concept, m -concept lattices.

(2) $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}} : P(U) \rightarrow P(A)$ is a mapping defined as $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}(X) = \{c \in A : F(c) \subseteq X\}$;

Theorem 2.1 ([7]). Let (U, A, F) be a soft context, $S, T \subseteq U$ and $B, C \subseteq A$. Then we have:

- (1) If $S \subseteq T$, then $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}(S) \subseteq \overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}(T)$; if $B \subseteq C$, then $\mathbb{F}(B) \subseteq \mathbb{F}(C)$;
- (2) $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}(S) \subseteq S$; $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}\mathbb{F}(B) \subseteq B$;
- (3) $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}(S \cap T) = \overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}(S) \cap \overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}(T)$, $\mathbb{F}(B \cup C) = \mathbb{F}(B) \cup \mathbb{F}(C)$;
- (4) $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}(S) = \overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}(S)$, $\mathbb{F}(B) = \mathbb{F}\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}\mathbb{F}(B)$.

Let $\Phi : P(U) \rightarrow P(U)$ be an operation defined by $\Phi(X) = \overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}(X)$ for $X \in P(U)$.

Then for $X \in P(U)$, X is called an *m-concept* (or *object oriented soft concept*) [7] in (U, A, F) if $\Phi(X) = \overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}(X) = X$. The set of all *m-concepts* is denoted by $m(U, A, F)$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

First, for a soft context (U, A, F) and $C \subseteq A$, we consider a set-valued mapping $F_C : C \rightarrow P(U)$ defined by $F_C(c) = F(c)$ for all $c \in C$. Then the set-valued mapping F_C induces a soft set (F_C, C) and a soft context (U, C, F_C) . Then we consider the operations $\mathbb{F}_C, \overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C, \Phi_C$ as the following:

$\mathbb{F}_C : P(C) \rightarrow P(U)$ is a mapping defined by $\mathbb{F}_C(B) = \cup_{b \in B} F_C(b)$ for each $B \in P(C)$.

$\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C : P(U) \rightarrow P(C)$ is a mapping defined by $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C(X) = \{c \in C : F_C(c) \subseteq X\}$ for each $X \in P(U)$.

An associated operation $\Phi_C : P(U) \rightarrow P(U)$ is also well defined by for each $X \in P(U)$, $\Phi_C(X) = \overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C(X)$.

Lemma 3.1. Let (U, A, F) be a soft context, $C \subseteq A$ and $X \subseteq U$. Then

- (1) $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C(X) \subseteq \overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}(X)$.
- (2) $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C(X) = \overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}(X) \cap C$.

Proof. Obvious. □

Theorem 3.2. Let (U, A, F) be a soft context, $X, Y \subseteq U$ and $B, C, E \subseteq A$. Then we have the following things:

- (1) If $X \subseteq Y$, then $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C(X) \subseteq \overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C(Y)$; if $B \subseteq E$, then $\mathbb{F}_C(B) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_C(E)$;
- (2) $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C(X) \subseteq X$; $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C\mathbb{F}_C(B) \subseteq B$;
- (3) $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C(X \cap Y) = \overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C(X) \cap \overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C(Y)$, $\mathbb{F}_C(B \cup E) = \mathbb{F}_C(B) \cup \mathbb{F}_C(E)$;
- (4) $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C(X) = \overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C(X)$, $\mathbb{F}_C(B) = \mathbb{F}_C\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C\mathbb{F}_C(B)$.

Proof. It is obvious from the notions of $\mathbb{F}_C, \overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C$ and Φ_C . □

Let (U, A, F) be a soft context, $X \in P(U)$ and $C \subseteq A$. Then X is called *m-concept* in (U, C, F_C) if $\Phi_C(X) = \overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C\overleftarrow{\mathbb{F}}_C(X) = X$. The set of all *m-concepts* will be denoted by $m(U, C, F_C)$.

Theorem 3.3 ([7]). Let (U, A, F) be a soft context. Then we have:

- (1) $\Phi(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.
- (2) $\Phi(X)$ is an *m-concept*.
- (3) For $B \subseteq A$, $\mathbb{F}(B)$ is an *m-concept*.

(4) For $a \in A$, $F(a)$ is an *m-concept*.

(5) X is an *m-concept* if and only if there is some $B \subseteq A$ such that $X = \mathbb{F}(B)$.

By Theorem 3.3, the next theorem is obviously obtained:

Theorem 3.4. Let (U, A, F) be a soft context, $X \subseteq U$ and $B, C \subseteq A$. Then

- (1) $\Phi_C(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.
- (2) $\Phi_C(X)$ is an *m-concept* in (U, C, F_C) .
- (3) For each $B \subseteq C$, $\mathbb{F}_C(B)$ is an *m-concept* in (U, C, F_C) .
- (4) For each $c \in C$, $F(c)$ is an *m-concept* in (U, C, F_C) .
- (5) X is an *m-concept* in (U, C, F_C) if and only if $X = \mathbb{F}_C(B)$ for some $B \in P(C)$.

Theorem 3.5. Let (U, A, F) be a soft context and $C \subseteq A$. Then

- (1) $m(U, C, F_C) = \mathbf{Im}(\mathbb{F}_C)$.
- (2) If $\mathbb{F}_C(B_1), \dots, \mathbb{F}_C(B_n) \in \mathbf{Im}(\mathbb{F}_C)$, then $\mathbb{F}_C(B_1) \cup \dots \cup \mathbb{F}_C(B_n) \in \mathbf{Im}(\mathbb{F}_C)$.

Proof. (1) By (3) of Theorem 3.4, it is easily obtained.

(2) For $B_1 \dots B_n \in P(C)$, by (3) of Theorem 3.2, $\mathbb{F}_C(B_1) \cup \dots \cup \mathbb{F}_C(B_n) = \mathbb{F}_C(B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n)$. Since $B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n \in P(C)$, by (3) of Theorem 3.4, the statement (2) is obtained. □

Theorem 3.6. Let (U, A, F) be a soft context and $\mathcal{S}_C = \{F_C(c) \mid c \in C \subseteq A\}$ for the soft set (F_C, C) . Then

- (1) $\mathcal{S}_C \subseteq m(U, C, F_C)$;
- (2) For each $X \in m(U, C, F_C)$, there is $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n \in \mathcal{S}_C$ satisfying $X = \cup S_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. (1) By (4) of Theorem 3.4, it is obvious.

(2) By (4) of Theorem 3.4, there is a $B \in P(C)$ satisfying $X = \mathbb{F}_C(B)$. So, $X = \mathbb{F}_C(B) = \cup_{b \in B} F_C(b)$ and $F_C(b) \in \mathcal{S}_C$. □

Theorem 3.7. Let (U, A, F) be a soft context. Then for $C \subseteq A$, $m(U, C, F_C) \subseteq m(U, A, F)$.

Proof. For $X \in m(U, C, F_C)$, by Theorem 3.4, there is $B \in P(C)$ satisfying $X = \mathbb{F}_C(B)$. From Lemma 3.1, $X = \mathbb{F}_C(B) = \mathbb{F}(B)$ for $B \in P(C)$. From $m(U, A, F) = \mathbf{Im}(\mathbb{F})$ in [7], it implies $X \in m(U, A, F)$. So, $m(U, C, F_C) \subseteq m(U, A, F)$. □

Now, we define an order between two *m-soft concepts* in $m(U, A, F)$ as the following:

Definition 3.8. Let (U, A, F) be a soft context and $X, Y \in m(U, A, F)$.

$$X \preceq Y \text{ if and only if } X \subseteq Y.$$

X is called a *sub-m-concept* of Y , and Y is called a *super-m-concept* of X . For the ordered set $(m(U, A, F), \preceq)$, the infimum \wedge and supremum \vee are defined by:

$$X \wedge Y = \Phi(X \cap Y); \quad X \vee Y = X \cup Y.$$

Example 3.9. For $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $A = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, Let us consider a soft context (U, A, F) as shown in Table 1.

Table 1:A formal context

-	a	b	c	d	e
1	1	1	0	1	1
2	1	0	1	1	1
3	0	1	0	1	0
4	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	1	0	1

Then, (F, A) is a soft set as follows:

$$F(a) = \{1, 2\}; F(b) = \{1, 3\}; F(c) = \{2, 5\};$$

$$F(d) = \{1, 2, 3\}; F(e) = \{1, 2, 5\}.$$


For the soft context (U, A, F) ,

$$m(U, A, F) = \mathbf{Im}(\mathbb{F})$$

$$= \{\mathbb{F}(C) \mid \mathbb{F}(C) = \cup_{c \in C} F(c) \text{ for } C \in P(A)\}$$

$$= \{\emptyset, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3, 5\}, U\}.$$

Hence, $mL(U, A, F)$ is obtained as shown in the below diagram:



$mL(U, A, F)$, where $A = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$

Theorem 3.10. Let (U, A, F) be a soft context. Then $(m(U, A, F), \preceq, \wedge, \vee)$ is complete lattice.

Proof. (1) Let $X, Y \in m(U, A, F)$. Then from Theorem 3.4, there exist $B, C \in P(A)$ such that $\mathbb{F}(B) = X$ and $\mathbb{F}(C) = Y$. By Theorem 3.2, $\mathbb{F}(B) \cup \mathbb{F}(C) = \mathbb{F}(B \cup C)$ and $X \cup Y = \mathbb{F}(B \cup C)$. It implies $X \cup Y \in m(U, A, F)$, and so $X \vee Y = X \cup Y \in m(U, A, F)$.

(2) For $X, Y \in m(U, A, F)$, let $Z \in m(U, A, F)$ satisfying $Z \subseteq X \cap Y$ and $X \wedge Y \preceq Z$. Then from $X \wedge Y \preceq Z$, $\Phi(X \cap Y) \subseteq Z$. Since $Z \subseteq X \cap Y$, from Theorem 3.4, $\Phi(Z) \subseteq \Phi(X \cap Y)$. It implies $Z = \Phi(Z) = \Phi(X \cap Y) = X \wedge Y$, and so $X \wedge Y = Z \in m(U, A, F)$. \square

The complete lattice $(m(U, A, F), \preceq, \wedge, \vee)$ is called *m-concept lattice* (or *object oriented soft concept lattice*) and simply will be denoted by $mL(U, A, F)$.

Definition 3.11. Let $mL(U, B, F)$ and $mL(U, C, G)$ be two *m-concept lattices*. $mL(U, B, F)$ is said to be finer than $mL(U, C, G)$, which is denoted by

$$mL(U, B, F) \leq mL(U, C, G) \Leftrightarrow mL(U, C, G) \subseteq mL(U, B, F).$$

If $mL(U, B, F) \leq mL(U, C, G)$ and $mL(U, C, G) \leq mL(U, B, F)$, then two *m-concept lattices* are said to be *isomorphic* to each other, and denoted by

$$mL(U, B, F) \cong mL(U, C, G).$$


Theorem 3.12. Let $mL(U, A, F)$ be an *m-concept lattice*. Then for $C \subseteq A$, $mL(U, A, F) \leq mL(U, C, F_C)$.

Proof. From Theorem 3.7, we know that $m(U, C, F_C) \subseteq m(U, A, F)$. So, we have $mL(U, A, F) \leq mL(U, C, F_C)$. \square


Theorem 3.13. Let (U, A, F) be a soft context and $C \subseteq A$. Then $mL(U, A, F) \cong mL(U, C, F_C)$ if and only if $\mathbf{Im}(\mathbb{F}) = \mathbf{Im}(\mathbb{F}_C)$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.5, $\mathbf{Im}(\mathbb{F}) = \mathbf{Im}(\mathbb{F}_C)$ if and only if $m(U, A, F) = m(U, C, F_C)$ if and only if $mL(U, A, F) \cong mL(U, C, F_C)$. So, the theorem is obtained. \square

Example 3.14. As in Example 3.9, let us consider a soft context (U, A, F) . For a subset $C = \{a, b, c\}$ of A , (U, C, F_C) is a soft context. Then we easily find that $m(U, C, F_C) = \{\emptyset, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3, 5\}, U\}$. So, $m(U, A, F) = m(U, C, F_C)$ and $\mathbf{Im}(\mathbb{F}) = \mathbf{Im}(\mathbb{F}_C)$. Consequently, $mL(U, A, F) \cong mL(U, C, F_C)$. The following diagrams are induced by A and $C \subseteq A$, respectively.



$mL(U, A, F)$



$mL(U, C, F_C)$

\cong

4. CONCLUSION

We showed that the set of all *m-concepts* of a given *m-context* together with the order relation between two *m-concepts* is a complete lattice, and found what is the condition for the isomorphic relation between two *m-concept lattices*. In the next research, we will study the relationships between *m-concept lattices* and formal concept lattices.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (No. NRF-2017R1D1A1B03031399).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. I. Ali, F. Feng, X. Y. Liu, W. K. Min, M. Shabir, On some new operations in soft set theory, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 57, 2009, 1547–1553.
- [2] B. Ganter, R. Wille, *Formal Concept Analysis: Mathematical Foundations*, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [3] J. Jin, K. Qin, Z. Pei, Reduction-based approaches towards constructing Galois (concept) lattices, *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence*, 4062, Springer, Berlin, 2006, 107–113.
- [4] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas, A. R. Roy, On soft set theory, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 45, 2003, 555–562.

- [5] Min W. K., Soft sets over a common topological universe, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 26(5), 2014, 2099–2106.
- [6] W. K. Min, Y. K. Kim, Soft concept lattice for formal concept analysis based on soft sets: Theoretical foundations and Applications, *Soft Computing*, 23(19), 2019, 9657–9668. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-018-3532-z>
- [7] W. K. Min, Y. K. Kim, On Object-oriented Concepts in a Soft Context Defined by a Soft Set, *International Journal of Engineering Research and Technology*, 12(11), 2019, 1914–1918.
- [8] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory first results, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 37, 1999, 19–31.
- [9] R. Wille, Concept lattices and conceptual knowledge systems, *Computers Mathematics with Applications*, 23(6–9), 1992, 493–515.
- [10] R. Wille, Restructuring the lattice theory: an approach based on hierarchies of concepts, in: I. Rival (Ed.), *Ordered Sets*, Reidel, Dordrecht, Boston, 1982, 445–470.
- [11] Y. Y. Yao, A comparative study of formal concept analysis and rough set theory in data analysis, *RSCTC 2004: Rough Sets and Current Trends in Computing*, 2004, 59–68.