

# A Criterion for $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic Operators and Weighted Shifts<sup>1</sup>

**Eunsang Kim**

*Department of Applied Mathematics,  
Hanyang University,  
Ansan Kyunggi-do, Korea.*

**Tae Ryong Park<sup>2</sup>**

*Department of Computer Engineering,  
Seokyeong University,  
Seoul, Korea.*

## Abstract

In this paper, we give a sufficient condition for  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic operators on a separable  $F$ -space and we show that the criterion is equivalent to  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclicity for the weighted backward shifts.

**AMS subject classification:** 47A16.

**Keywords:** Operator algebras, strong operator topology, frequently hypercyclicity.

## 1. Introduction

A continuous linear operator  $T$  on a separable  $F$ -space  $X$  is said to be hypercyclic if the  $T$ -orbit of some vector is dense in  $X$  and thus the orbit intersects with each non-empty open subset of  $X$ . A question is that how frequently can such an orbit visit each non-empty open set and this leads to a notion of the frequent hypercyclicity guided by the Birkhoff ergodic theorem, [1]. General criteria for hypercyclicity and frequent hypercyclicity have been developed in [10, 6] and [1, 5], respectively. In [3], F. Bayart and É. Matheron introduced a notion of  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclicity by controlling the frequency of the orbit visiting each non-empty open set, where  $(m_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing sequence of positive integers. It provides us various examples of linear operators between hypercyclicity and frequent hypercyclicity. In this paper, we give a sufficient condition for  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclicity following the ideas given in [4] and [8]. As an application, we show that the criterion is equivalent to the  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclicity for the case of weighted shifts.

---

<sup>1</sup>This work was supported by Seokyeong University in 2014.

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding author.

## 2. $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic operators

Let  $X$  be a separable  $F$ -space and let  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  be the space of all continuous linear operators on  $X$ . An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is *hypercyclic* if there exists a vector  $x \in X$  such that the  $T$ -orbit  $O(T, x) = \{T^n x \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is dense in  $X$ . Such a vector  $x \in X$  is called a *hypercyclic vector* for  $T$ . If  $T$  is hypercyclic, then for any non-empty open subset  $U$  of  $X$ , the set

$$\mathbf{N}(x, U) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid T^n x \in U\}$$

is non-empty, where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of all positive integers. For a subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ , the lower density of  $A$  is defined by

$$\underline{\text{dens}}(A) = \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap [1, N]|}{N}$$

where  $|A \cap [1, N]|$  denotes the cardinality of the set  $A \cap [1, N]$ . An operator  $T$  is said to be *frequently hypercyclic* if there is a vector  $x \in X$  such that for every non-empty open set  $U$ ,  $\mathbf{N}(x, U)$  has positive lower density. Such a vector  $x$  is called frequently hypercyclic for  $T$ . Let  $A$  be an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  and let  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an increasing enumeration of  $A$ . It is easy to see that  $A$  has positive lower density if and only if there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$n_k \leq Ck \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1. \quad (2.1)$$

Thus, a vector  $x \in X$  is frequently hypercyclic for  $T$  if and only if for each non-empty open subset  $U$  of  $X$ , there is a strictly increasing sequence  $(n_k)_{k \geq 1}$  and some constant  $C$  such that

$$T^{n_k} x \in U \quad \text{and} \quad n_k \leq Ck$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We introduce a lower density of a subset of positive integers which depends on a sequence of positive integers.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(m_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an increasing sequence of positive integers and let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . The *lower  $(m_k)$ -density* of  $A$  is defined as

$$(m_k)\text{-}\underline{\text{dens}}(A) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbf{N}(x, U) \cap [0, m_k]|}{k}. \quad (2.2)$$

Let  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an enumeration of  $A$  and  $(m_k)\text{-}\underline{\text{dens}}(A) > 0$  if and only if, for some constant  $C > 0$ ,

$$n_k \leq Cm_k \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1. \quad (2.3)$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(m_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an increasing sequence of positive integers. An operator  $T$  on a separable  $F$ -space  $X$  is said to be  *$(m_k)$ -hypercyclic* if there is a vector  $x \in X$  such that for each non-empty open set  $U$  in  $X$ , the lower  $(m_k)$ -density of the set  $\mathbf{N}(x, U)$  is positive. In that case, the vector  $x$  is said to be  *$(m_k)$ -hypercyclic* for  $T$ .

A vector  $x \in X$  is  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic for  $T$  if and only if for each non-empty open subset  $U$  of  $X$ , there is a strictly increasing sequence  $(n_k)$  and some constant  $C$  such that

$$T^{n_k}x \in U \quad \text{and} \quad n_k \leq Cm_k$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For example, the frequently hypercyclic operators are the  $(k)$ -hypercyclic ones. Also,  $q$ -frequently hypercyclic operators given in [8] are  $(k^q)$ -hypercyclic.

Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be an  $F$ -norm defining the topology of  $X$  and let  $(x_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a dense sequence in  $X$ . Then a vector  $x \in X$  is  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic for  $T$  if there exist subsets  $J_l$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $l \geq 1$  of positive lower  $(m_k)$ -density such that, for any  $n \in J_l$  and  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\|T^n x - x_l\| < \epsilon.$$

In order to show that an operator is  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic, one may need the following lemma which is modified from the Lemma 2.5 in [5].

**Lemma 2.3.** There exist pairwise disjoint sequence  $(J_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that

- (a) for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $(m_k)\text{-dens}(J_n) > 0$ ;
- (b) if  $l \in J_n$ , then  $l \geq n$ ;
- (c)  $|p - q| \geq \max\{n, m\}$ , for  $(p, q) \in J_n \times J_m$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I_n = 2^n\mathbb{N} \setminus 2^{n+1}\mathbb{N} = 2^n(\mathbb{N} \setminus 2\mathbb{N})$ . Since  $\text{dens}(\mathbb{N} \setminus 2\mathbb{N}) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\text{dens}(I_n) > 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . For  $i \geq 1$ , define

$$r_i = n \quad \text{if } i \in I_n$$

and

$$n_i = 2 \sum_{v=1}^{i-1} r_v + r_i. \tag{2.4}$$

For each  $n \geq 1$ , let

$$A_n = \{n_i \mid i \in I_n\}$$

Then, as shown in [5], each set  $A_n$  has positive lower density and satisfies the condition (b) and (c), for all  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $I_n = \{i_k \mid k \geq 1\}$ . Since  $\text{dens}(I_n) > 0$ , by (2.1), there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$i_k \leq Ck \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1$$

Since  $A_n = \{n_i \mid i \in I_n\} = \{n_{i_k} \mid k \geq 1\}$ , by (2.1), there exist  $M > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that

$$n_{i_k} \leq Mi_k \leq MCK. \tag{2.5}$$

Let  $I'_n = \{i_{m_k} \in I_n \mid f \geq 1\}$  and let

$$J_n = \{a_k \in A_n \mid a_k = n_{i_{m_k}}, i_{m_k} \in I'_n, k \geq 1\}.$$

Then, as in (2.5), it is easy to see that

$$a_k \leq Mm_k \quad \text{for some } M > 0.$$

In other words,  $(m_k)\text{-dens}(J_n) > 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . ■

Let us recall that a series  $\sum x_n$  in a normed space is said to be *unconditionally convergent* if for every permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sum x_{\sigma(n)}$  is convergent, see [9] for details.

As given in [5], a collection of series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n,k}$ ,  $k \in J$ , is called *unconditionally convergent, uniformly in  $k \in J$*  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any finite set  $F \subset [N, \infty) \cap \mathbb{N}$  and every  $k \in J$  we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in F} x_{n,k} \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

We now have a sufficient condition for an operator to be  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic and it will be referred as  $(m_k)$ -*hypercyclicity criterion*. For simplicity, a sequence  $(J_n)_{n \geq 1}$ , given in Lemma 2.3, will be referred as an  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclicity sequence. The proof of the following theorem is essentially the same as the proofs given in [5] and [4], and we simply sketch the proof.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $X$  be a separable  $F$ -space and let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ . For an increasing sequence  $(m_k)_{k \geq 1}$  of positive integers, let  $(J_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be an  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclicity sequence and let  $J = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} J_n$ . Suppose that there exist a dense subset  $Y_0$  of  $X$  and a map  $S : Y_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  such that for all  $y \in Y_0$ ,

1.  $\sum_{n \in J_l} S^n y$  is unconditionally convergent, uniformly in  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
2. for any  $i \geq 1$ , there exists  $l \geq i$  such that  $\sum_{n \in J_l} T^k S^n y$  is unconditionally convergent, uniformly in  $k \in J$ ,
3. there is an  $l_0 \geq 1$  such that  $\sum_{n \in J_l} T^k S^n y$  is unconditionally convergent, uniformly in  $k \in \bigcup_{l \geq l_0} J_l$
4.  $TS = I$ , the identity on  $Y_0$ .

Then the operator  $T$  is  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic.

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is separable, we may assume that  $Y_0 = \{y_l \mid l \geq 1\}$ . Let  $\epsilon_l > 0$  be a real number such that  $\sum_l \epsilon_l < \infty$ . Then for each  $\epsilon_l > 0$ , there exists  $N_l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in J_l \cap F} S^n y_l \right\| < \epsilon_l \tag{2.6}$$

for any finite set  $F \subset [N_l, \infty) \cap \mathbb{N}$ . Define

$$x = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in J_l} S^n y_l. \tag{2.7}$$

Then by assumption 1, we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{n \in J_l \cap F} S^n y_l \right\| < \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_l < \infty. \tag{2.8}$$

and hence  $x \in X$ .

Fix  $l_0 \geq 1$  and  $k \in J_{l_0}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} T^k x &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in J_l} T^k S^n y_l \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n < k, \\ n \in J_l}} T^k S^n y_l + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n > k, \\ n \in J_l}} T^k S^n y_l + y_{l_0} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in J_l} T^{k-n} y_l + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in J_l} S^{n-k} y_l + y_{l_0} \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the hypothesis 4. Now by the assumption 2 and 3, we have

$$\left\| T^k x - y_{l_0} \right\| \leq \epsilon_n$$

and  $x$  is a  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic vector. ■

### 3. $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic weighted shifts

Let  $X = \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  or  $X = c_0(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and let  $\mathbf{w} = (w_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. The weighted shifts on  $X$  is given by  $B_{\mathbf{w}}(x) = (w_1 x_1, w_2 x_2, \dots)$ , where  $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots) \in X$ . Let  $e_n$  be the canonical basis for  $X$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Then

$$B_{\mathbf{w}}^n x = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} w_{r+1} \cdots w_{r+n} x_{r+n} e_r.$$

or

$$B_{\mathbf{w}}e_0 = 0, \quad B_{\mathbf{w}}e_r = w_r e_{r-1}$$

Suppose that the operator  $B_{\mathbf{w}}$  is  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic and let  $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be an  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic vector for  $B_{\mathbf{w}}$ . Then for any  $n \geq 1$  and  $c_n > 0$ , there exists a sequence  $(R_n)$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ , which is of positive lower  $(m_k)$ -density, such that for any  $j \in R_n$ ,

$$\left\| B_{\mathbf{w}}^j x - c_n \sum_{r=0}^n e_r \right\| < \frac{1}{n} \tag{3.9}$$

or

$$\left\| \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} w_{r+1} \cdots w_{r+j} x_{r+j} e_r - c_n \sum_{r=0}^n e_r \right\| < \frac{1}{n}$$

Thus, for  $0 \leq r \leq n$ , we have

$$|w_{r+1} \cdots w_{r+j} x_{r+j} - c_n| < \frac{1}{n}$$

or

$$c_n - \frac{1}{n} < |w_{r+1} \cdots w_{r+j} x_{r+j}| < c_n + \frac{1}{n} \tag{3.10}$$

and for  $r > n$ ,

$$|w_{r+1} \cdots w_{r+j} x_{r+j}| < \frac{1}{n}. \tag{3.11}$$

**Lemma 3.1.** If the weighted shift  $B_{\mathbf{w}}$  on  $X$  is  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic, then there exists a sequence  $(R_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of disjoint subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $j \in R_n$  and any  $l \in R_m$ ,  $j \neq l$ , we have

$$|j - l| \geq \max\{m, n\}. \tag{3.12}$$

*Proof.* For any  $j \in R_n$ , suppose that  $j + r \in R_m$ , where  $0 \leq r \leq n$  and  $1 \leq m < n$ . Then, for  $0 \leq l \leq m$ ,

$$c_m - \frac{1}{m} < |w_{l+1} \cdots w_{l+j+r} x_{l+j+r}| < c_m + \frac{1}{m}$$

and for  $l > m$ ,

$$|w_{l+1} \cdots w_{l+j+r} x_{l+j+r}| < \frac{1}{m} < c_m + \frac{1}{m}$$

Thus for any  $l \geq 0$ , we have

$$|w_{l+1} \cdots w_{l+j+r} x_{l+j+r}| < c_m + \frac{1}{m}$$

Let  $l = n - r$ . Then

$$|w_{n-r+1} \cdots w_{n+j} x_{n+j}| < c_m + \frac{1}{m}$$

and

$$|w_{n-r+1} \cdots w_n| \cdot |w_{n+1} \cdots w_{n+j} x_{n+j}| < c_m + \frac{1}{m}.$$

Since the weight sequence  $(w_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded, there is a number  $M > 0$  such that  $|w_n| \leq M$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . By applying (3.10) to the above inequality, we get

$$\left(c_n - \frac{1}{n}\right) \cdot M^r < c_m + \frac{1}{m}. \tag{3.13}$$

Similarly, if  $j - r \in R_m$ , we get

$$c_n - \frac{1}{n} < M^r \cdot \left(c_m + \frac{1}{m}\right). \tag{3.14}$$

Also, for the case when  $n = m$ , we have

$$c_n - \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{n} \cdot M^r \tag{3.15}$$

for  $j - r \in R_n$ . Thus, if we choose the sequence  $(c_n)_{n \geq 1}$  to satisfy the condition

$$c_n - \frac{1}{n} \geq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{M^r} \left(c_m + \frac{1}{m}\right), M^r \left(c_m + \frac{1}{m}\right), \frac{M^r}{n} \right\},$$

then the sequence  $(R_n)_{n \geq 1}$  satisfies the separation property (3.12). ■

Let  $R = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} R_n$  and let  $(r_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be the enumeration of  $R$ . For each  $n \geq 1$ , let  $(n_i)_{i \geq 1}$  be the subsequence of  $(r_n)_{n \geq 1}$ , which is the enumeration of  $R_n$ . Then the separation property (3.12) can be given as follows

$$|n_i - m_j| \geq \max\{m, n\} \quad \text{for all } i, j \geq 1.$$

We also may assume that the sequence  $(c_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is increasing and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $B_{\mathbf{w}}$  be the backward shift associated to the weight sequence  $\mathbf{w} = (w_n)_{n \geq 1}$ . If  $B_{\mathbf{w}}$  is  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic, then there exists a set  $\{(n_i)_{i \geq 1} \mid n \geq 1\}$  of pairwise disjoint sequences of positive integers such that

1. for any  $j \geq 0$ , any  $n > j$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{n_i+j}}{w_1 \cdots w_{n_i+j}}$$

converges unconditionally;

2. for any  $j \geq 0$ , any  $n > j$ , any  $l \geq 1$

$$\left\| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{n_v-l_i+j}}{w_{1+j} \cdots w_{n_v-l_i+j}} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{l} M_n$$

where  $M_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* (a) Let  $0 \leq j \leq n$  and let  $x$  be a  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic vector for  $B_w$ . Then by (3.10),

$$c_n - \frac{1}{n} < |w_{j+1} \cdots w_{j+n_i} x_{j+n_i}| < c_n + \frac{1}{n}$$

and so

$$\frac{c_n - \frac{1}{n}}{|w_{j+1} \cdots w_{j+n_i}|} < |x_{j+n_i}| \tag{3.16}$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{j+n_i}}{w_1 \cdots w_{j+n_i}} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{w_1 \cdots w_j} \cdot \frac{e_{j+n_i}}{w_{j+1} \cdots w_{j+n_i}} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{j+n_i} e_{j+n_i}}{w_1 \cdots w_j} \right\| \cdot \frac{1}{c_n - \frac{1}{n}} \text{ by (3.16)} \\ &\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{j+n_i}}{w_1 \cdots w_{j+n_i}} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{|w_1 \cdots w_j|} \frac{\|x\|}{c_n - \frac{1}{n}} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{n_i+j}}{w_1 \cdots w_{n_i+j}}$  converges unconditionally.

(b) Let  $n > j \geq 0, l \geq 1$ . Then by the definition of the sequence  $(l_i)_{i \geq 1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{l} &> \left\| B_w^{l_i} x - c_l \sum_{v=0}^l e_v \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} w_{v+1} \cdots w_{v+l_i} x_{v+l_i} e_v - c_l \sum_{v=0}^l e_v \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{v=l+1}^{\infty} w_{v+1} \cdots w_{v+l_i} x_{v+l_i} e_v \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{v=0}^l \{w_{v+1} \cdots w_{v+l_i} - c_l\} e_v \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \left\| \sum_{v=l+1}^{\infty} w_{v+1} \cdots w_{v+l_i} x_{v+l_i} e_v \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \sum_{v=l+1}^{\infty} w_{v+1+j} \cdots w_{v+l_i+j} x_{v+l_i+j} e_{v+j} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} w_{n_v-l_i+1+j} \cdots w_{n_v+j} x_{n_v+j} e_{n_v-l_i+j} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{w_{j+1} \cdots w_{n_v+j}}{w_{j+1} \cdots w_{n_v-l_i+j}} x_{n_v+j} e_{n_v-l_i+j} \right\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{l} \geq \left( c_n - \frac{1}{n} \right) \left\| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{n_v-l_i+j}}{w_{j+1} \cdots w_{n_v-l_i+j}} \right\|$$

or

$$\left\| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{n_v-l_i+j}}{w_{j+1} \cdots w_{n_v-l_i+j}} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{l} \cdot \frac{1}{c_n - \frac{1}{n}}.$$

■

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $B_w$  be a weighted shift on  $X$ . Then  $B_w$  is  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic if and only if  $B_w$  satisfies the  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclicity criterion.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.4, we have only to show that if  $B_w$  is  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic,  $B_w$  satisfies the  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclicity criterion.

Let  $B_w$  be  $(m_k)$ -hypercyclic, then there is a set  $\{(n_i)_{i \geq 1} \mid n \geq 1\}$  of disjoint sequences satisfying the conditions given in Theorem 3.2. Let  $S(x_0, x_1, \dots) = (0, \frac{x_0}{w_1}, \frac{x_1}{w_2}, \dots)$  and let  $Y_0 = \text{span}\{e_v \mid v \geq 1\}$ . First note that

$$B_w^n S^i x = \begin{cases} B_w^{n-i} x, & \text{if } n > i, \\ S^{i-n} x, & \text{if } n < i, \\ x, & \text{if } n = i \end{cases}$$

Let  $j \geq 0$ . For any  $n > j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} S^{n_v} e_j &= \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{n_v+j}}{w_{1+j} \cdots w_{n_v+j}} \\ &= w_1 \cdots w_j \cdot \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{n_v+j}}{w_1 \cdots w_{n_v+j}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.2, the sum converges unconditionally, for all  $n > j$  and this shows the condition 1 in Theorem 2.4.

Let  $j \geq 0$ . For any  $n > j$ , we have  $l_i - n_v \geq \max\{l, n\} > j$ . Thus

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} B_{\mathbf{w}}^{l_i - n_v} e_j = 0,$$

which proves the condition 3.

Since

$$S^{n_v - l_i} e_j = \frac{e_{n_v - l_i + j}}{w_{1+j} \cdots w_{n_v - l_i + j}},$$

by Theorem 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} S^{n_v - l_i} e_j \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{n_v - l_i + j}}{w_{1+j} \cdots w_{n_v - l_i + j}} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{l} \cdot \frac{1}{c_n - \frac{1}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

If  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\left\| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} S^{n_v - l_i} e_j \right\| \rightarrow 0$ . Thus for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $l \geq 1$ , we have

$$\left\| \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} S^{n_v - l_i} e_j \right\| < \epsilon \text{ and this shows the condition 2.} \quad \blacksquare$$

## References

- [1] F. Bayart and S. Grivaux, Frequently hypercyclic operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358** (2006), 5083–5117.
- [2] F. Bayart and E. Matheron, *Dynamics of Linear Operators*, Camb. Univ. Press. **179** (2009).
- [3] F. Bayart and E. Matheron, (Non)-weakly mixing operators and hypercyclicity sets, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **59** (2009), no. 1, 1–35.
- [4] J. Bés, Q. Menet, A. Peris and Y. Puig, Recurrence properties of hypercyclic operators, *Math. Ann.* arXiv:1410.1349[math.FA]
- [5] A. Bonilla and K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, Frequently hypercyclic operators and vectors, *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems* **27** (2007), 383–404. Erratum: *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems* **29** (2009), 1993–1994.
- [6] R.M. Gethner and J.H. Shapiro Universal vectors for operators on spaces of holomorphic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **100**(1987), 281–288.
- [7] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann and A. Peris, *Linear Chaos*, Springer Universitext, (2011).

- [8] M. Gupta and A. Mundayadan,  $q$ -frequently hypercyclic operators, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **9** (2015), 114–126.
- [9] C. Heil, *A Basis Theory Primer: Expanded Edition*, Birkhäuser-Basel, (2011).
- [10] C. Kitai, Invariant closed sets for linear operators, Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. of Toronto, Toronto 1982.