

Positive Periodic Solutions for Higher-order Functional Difference Equations*

Weibing Wang

*Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University
Changsha, Hunan 410081, PR China*

Zhiguo Luo

*College of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Jishou University, Jishou 416000, PR China
Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University
Changsha, Hunan 410081, PR China*

Abstract

In this paper, we apply a fixed point theorem to obtain sufficient conditions for the existence of positive periodic solutions for two classes of higher-order functional difference equations.

AMS subject classification: 39A10.

Keywords: Difference equations, periodic solutions, cone.

1. Introduction

The existence of positive periodic solutions of discrete mathematical models has been studied extensively in recent years, see [1, 5–12], for example,

(i) discrete model of blood cell production:

$$\Delta x(n) = -a(n)x(n) + b(n) \frac{1}{1 + x^k(n - \tau(n))}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\Delta x(n) = -a(n)x(n) + b(n) \frac{x(n - \tau(n))}{1 + x^k(n - \tau(n))}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1.2)$$

*This work is supported by the NNSF of China (10571050), Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation (05JJ40013) and Scientific Keysearch Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (07A038).

Received August 11, 2006; Accepted January 28, 2007

(ii) the periodic Michaelis–Menton discrete model:

$$\Delta x(n) = a(n)x(n) \left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{a_j(n)x(n - \tau_j(n))}{1 + c_j(n)x(n - \tau_j(n))} \right], \quad (1.3)$$

(iii) the single species discrete periodic population model:

$$\Delta x(n) = x(n) \left[a(n) - \sum_{j=1}^k b_j(n)x(n - \tau_j(n)) \right]. \quad (1.4)$$

Jiang, O'Regan and Agarwal in [4] have obtained the optimal existence theorem for single and multiple positive periodic solutions to general functional difference equations

$$\Delta x(n) = x(n)[a(n) - g(n, x(n - \tau_1(n)), \dots, x(n - \tau_k(n)))], \quad (1.5)$$

$$\Delta x(n) = -a(n)x(n) + g(n, x(n - \tau(n))). \quad (1.6)$$

Note that the equations (1.1)–(1.6) are first-order functional difference equations. Our aim of this paper is to study existence of positive periodic solutions for the higher-order difference equations

$$x(n + m) = a(n)x(n) + f(n, x(n - \tau(n))), \quad (1.7)$$

$$x(n + m) = a(n)x(n) - f(n, x(n - \tau(n))), \quad (1.8)$$

where $a(n) = a(n + \omega)$, $f(n + \omega, u) = f(n, u)$, $\tau : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $\tau(n + \omega) = \tau(n)$ and \mathbb{Z} denotes the set of integers, $\omega, m \in \mathbb{N}$. By using a fixed point theorem in a cone, we obtain existence results for single and multiple positive periodic solutions to the equation (1.7) and (1.8).

To prove our main results, we present an existence theorem.

Theorem 1.1. [2, 3] Let X be a Banach space and K be a cone in X . Suppose Ω_1 and Ω_2 are open subsets of X such that $0 \in \Omega_1 \subset \bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ and suppose that

$$\Phi : K \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow K$$

is a completely continuous operator such that

- (i) $\|\Phi u\| \leq \|u\|$ for $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$, and there exists $\psi \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $u \neq \Phi u + \lambda\psi$ for $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ and $\lambda > 0$, or
- (ii) $\|\Phi u\| \leq \|u\|$ for $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$, and there exists $\psi \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $u \neq \Phi u + \lambda\psi$ for $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\lambda > 0$.

Then Φ has a fixed point in $K \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

2. Positive Periodic Solutions of (1.7)

In this section we establish the existence of positive periodic solutions of equation (1.7). We always assume the following condition throughout this section:

(H) $0 < a(n) < 1$ for all $n \in [0, \omega - 1]$ and $f : \mathbb{Z} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous.

Let X be the set of all real ω -periodic sequences. When endowed with the maximum norm $\|x\| = \max_{n \in [0, \omega - 1]} |x(n)|$, X is a Banach space. Set $(m, \omega) = l$, $\omega/l = h$. From (1.7), we have that for any $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a(n)}x(n + m) - x(n) &= \frac{1}{a(n)}f(n, x(n - \tau(n))), \\ \frac{1}{a(n)a(n + m)}x(n + 2m) - \frac{1}{a(n)}x(n + m) &= \frac{1}{a(n)a(n + m)}f(n + m, x(n + m - \tau(n + m))), \\ \dots\dots\dots & \\ \left(\prod_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{1}{a(n + im)}\right)x(n + hm) - \left(\prod_{i=0}^{h-2} \frac{1}{a(n + im)}\right)x(n + (h - 1)m) &= \left(\prod_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{1}{a(n + im)}\right)f(n + hm, x(n + (h - 1)m - \tau(n + (h - 1)m))). \end{aligned}$$

By summing the above equations and using periodicity of x , we obtain the following result.

Lemma 2.1. $x \in X$ is a solution of equation (1.7) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} x(n) &= \left(\prod_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{1}{a(n + im)} - 1\right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^i \frac{1}{a(n + jm)}\right) \\ &\quad \times f(n + im, x(n + im - \tau(n + im))). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} M^* &= \max \left\{ \prod_{i=0}^{h-1} a(n + im) : 0 \leq n \leq \omega - 1 \right\}, \\ M_* &= \min \left\{ \prod_{i=0}^{h-1} a(n + im) : 0 \leq n \leq \omega - 1 \right\}, \\ \delta &= \frac{M_*^2(1 - M^*)}{M^*(1 - M_*)}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\delta \in (0, 1)$. We define a cone by

$$P = \{y \in X : y(n) \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}, y(n) \geq \delta \|y\|\},$$

and a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$(Tx)(n) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{1}{a(n+im)} - 1 \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^i \frac{1}{a(n+jm)} \right) \\ \times f(n+im, x(n+im - \tau(n+im))).$$

By the nonnegativity of f and a , $Tx(n) \geq 0$ on $[0, \omega - 1]$. It is clear that $(Tx)(n + \omega) = (Tx)(n)$ and T is completely continuous on bounded subsets of P . Noting that

$$(Tx)(n) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{1}{a(n+im)} - 1 \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^i \frac{1}{a(n+jm)} \right) \\ \times f(n+im, x(n+im - \tau(n+im))) \\ \leq \left(\frac{M_*}{M^*} - M_* \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} f(n+im, x(n+im - \tau(n+im))), \\ (Tx)(n) \geq \left(\frac{1}{M_*} - 1 \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} f(n+im, x(n+im - \tau(n+im))),$$

we easily obtain that $Tx(n) \geq \delta \|Tx\|$, that is, $T(P) \subset P$.

For convenience, we denote

$$\varphi(s) = \max \left\{ \frac{f(n, u)}{1 - a(n)} : n \in [0, \omega - 1], u \in [\delta s, s] \right\}, \\ \psi(s) = \min \left\{ \frac{f(n, u)}{(1 - a(n))u} : n \in [0, \omega - 1], u \in [\delta s, s] \right\}, \\ \varphi_0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varphi(s)}{s}, \quad \varphi_\infty = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(s)}{s}, \\ \psi_0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(s), \quad \psi_\infty = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \psi(s).$$

Theorem 2.2. Assume that (H) holds, and there exist two positive constants a, b with $a \neq b$ such that $\varphi(a) \leq a$ and $\psi(b) \geq 1$. Then the equation (1.7) has at least one positive solution $x \in X$ with $\min\{a, b\} \leq \|x\| \leq \max\{a, b\}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a < b$. Let $\Omega_1 = \{x \in X : \|x\| < a\}$ and $\Omega_2 = \{x \in X : \|x\| < b\}$. We claim that

- (i) $\|Tx\| \leq \|x\|, x \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$.
- (ii) $x \neq Tx + \lambda, x \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$ and $\lambda > 0$.

From $\varphi(a) \leq a$ and $\psi(b) \geq 1$, we have that

$$f(n, x) \leq (1 - a(n))a, \quad 0 \leq n \leq \omega - 1, \quad a\delta \leq x \leq a, \tag{2.2}$$

$$f(n, x) \geq (1 - a(n))x, \quad 0 \leq n \leq \omega - 1, \quad b\delta \leq x \leq b. \tag{2.3}$$

To justify (i), let $x \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$. Then $\|x\| = a$ and $\delta a \leq x(n) \leq a$ for $0 \leq n \leq \omega - 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Tx(n) &\leq \left(\prod_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{1}{a(n+im)} - 1 \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^i \frac{1}{a(n+jm)} \right) \\ &\quad \times f(n+im, x(n+im - \tau(n+im))) \\ &\leq \left(\prod_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{1}{a(n+im)} - 1 \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^i \frac{1}{a(n+jm)} \right) \\ &\quad \times (1 - a(n+im))x(n+im - \tau(n+im)) \\ &\leq \left(\prod_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{1}{a(n+im)} - 1 \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^i \frac{1}{a(n+jm)} \right) (1 - a(n+im))a \\ &\leq \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\|Tx\| \leq \|x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1.$$

Next, we prove (ii). If not, there exist $x^* \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$ and $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that

$$x^* = Tx^* + \lambda_0.$$

Since $x^* \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$, we have $\|x^*\| = b$ and $\delta b \leq x^*(n) \leq b$. Put $\chi = \min\{x(n), 0 \leq n \leq \omega - 1\}$. Then we have $\chi = x(n)$ for some $n \in [0, \omega - 1]$. Thus it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
x^*(n) &= (Tx^*)(n) + \lambda_0 \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{1}{a(n+im)} - 1 \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^i \frac{1}{a(n+jm)} \right) \\
&\quad \times f(n+im, x(n+im - \tau(n+im))) + \lambda_0 \\
&\geq \left(\prod_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{1}{a(n+im)} - 1 \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^i \frac{1}{a(n+jm)} \right) \\
&\quad \times (1 - a(n+im))x(n+im - \tau(n+im)) + \lambda_0 \\
&\geq \chi \left(\prod_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{1}{a(n+im)} - 1 \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^i \frac{1}{a(n+jm)} \right) \\
&\quad \times (1 - a(n+im)) + \lambda_0 = \chi + \lambda_0,
\end{aligned}$$

and this implies $\chi > \chi$, a contradiction.

Therefore, by Theorem 1.1, it follows that T has a fixed point $x \in P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$. Furthermore, $a \leq \|x\| \leq b$ and $x(n) \geq \delta a$, which means that x is a positive ω -periodic solution of (1.7). The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Corollary 2.3. Assume that (H) holds, and one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) $\varphi_0 < 1$ and $\psi_\infty > 1$,
- (ii) $\varphi_\infty < 1$ and $\psi_0 > 1$.

Then the equation (1.7) has at least one positive solution $x \in X$ with $\|x\| > 0$.

Theorem 2.4. Assume that (H) holds. There exist $N + 1$ positive constants $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_N < p_{N+1}$ such that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $\varphi(p_{2k-1}) < p_{2k-1}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, [(N+2)/2]$,
 $\psi(p_{2k}) > 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, [(N+1)/2]$,
- (ii) $\psi(p_{2k-1}) > 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, [(N+2)/2]$,
 $\varphi(p_{2k}) < p_{2k}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, [(N+1)/2]$,

where $[d]$ denotes the integer part of d . Then the equation (1.7) has at least N positive solutions $x_k \in X$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ with $p_k < \|x_k\| < p_{k+1}$.

Proof. It is enough to prove case (i). Since $\phi, \psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous, there exist $p_k < a_k < b_k < p_{k+1}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ such that

$$\varphi(a_{2k-1}) \leq a_{2k-1}, \quad \phi(b_{2k-1}) \geq 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, [(N+1)/2],$$

$$\phi(a_{2k}) \geq 1, \varphi(b_{2k}) \leq b_{2k}, k = 1, 2, \dots, [(N + 1)/2].$$

It follows by Theorem 2.2 that equation (1.7) has at least one positive periodic solution $x_k \in X$ for every pair of numbers $\{a_k, b_k\}$ with $p_k < a_k \leq \|x_k\| \leq b_k < p_{k+1}$. The proof is complete. ■

Corollary 2.5. Assume that (H) holds, and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\varphi_0 < 1$ and $\varphi_\infty < 1$,
- (ii) there exists a positive constant b such that $\psi(b) > 1$.

Then the equation (1.7) has at least two positive solutions $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with

$$0 < \|x_1\| < b < \|x_2\| < \infty.$$

Corollary 2.6. Assume that (H) holds, and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\psi_0 > 1$ and $\psi_\infty > 1$,
- (ii) there exists a positive constant a such that $\varphi(a) < a$.

Then the equation (1.7) has at least two positive solutions $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with

$$0 < \|x_1\| < a < \|x_2\| < \infty.$$

3. Positive Periodic Solutions of (1.8)

In this section we establish the existence of positive periodic solutions of equation (1.8). We always assume the following condition throughout this section:

(H*) $a(n) > 1$ for all $n \in [0, \omega - 1]$ and $f : \mathbb{Z} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous.

The proofs of the results presented in this section are similar to those given in Section 2 and hence are omitted.

Lemma 3.1. $x \in X$ is a solution of equation (1.8) if and only if

$$x(n) = \left(1 - \prod_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{1}{a(n+im)}\right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^i \frac{1}{a(n+jm)}\right) \times f(n+im, x(n+im - \tau(n+im))),$$

where X and h are defined in Section 2.

Put

$$M^* = \max \left\{ \prod_{i=0}^{h-1} a(n+im) : 0 \leq n \leq \omega - 1 \right\},$$

$$M_* = \min \left\{ \prod_{i=0}^{h-1} a(n + im) : 0 \leq n \leq \omega - 1 \right\},$$

$$\delta^* = \frac{M_* - 1}{M_*(M^* - 1)}.$$

Clearly, $\delta^* \in (0, 1)$. We define a cone by

$$P = \{y \in X : y(n) \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}, y(n) \geq \delta^* \|y\|\},$$

and a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$(Tx)(n) = \left(1 - \prod_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{1}{a(n + im)} \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^i \frac{1}{a(n + jm)} \right) \\ \times f(n + im, x(n + im - \tau(n + im))).$$

It is not difficult to verify that $T(P) \subset P$ is completely continuous. Let

$$\tilde{\varphi}(s) = \max \left\{ \frac{f(n, u)}{a(n) - 1} : n \in [0, \omega - 1], u \in [\delta^* s, s] \right\},$$

$$\tilde{\psi}(s) = \min \left\{ \frac{f(n, u)}{(a(n) - 1)u} : n \in [0, \omega - 1], u \in [\delta^* s, s] \right\},$$

$$\tilde{\varphi}_0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(s)}{s}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}_\infty = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(s)}{s},$$

$$\tilde{\psi}_0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \tilde{\psi}(s), \quad \tilde{\psi}_\infty = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\psi}(s).$$

Theorem 3.2. Assume that (H^*) holds, and there exist two positive constants a, b with $a \neq b$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}(a) \leq a$ and $\tilde{\psi}(b) \geq 1$. Then the equation (1.8) has at least one positive solution $x \in X$ with $\min\{a, b\} \leq \|x\| \leq \max\{a, b\}$.

Corollary 3.3. Assume that (H^*) holds, and one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) $\tilde{\varphi}_0 < 1$ and $\tilde{\psi}_\infty > 1$,
- (ii) $\tilde{\varphi}_\infty < 1$ and $\tilde{\psi}_0 > 1$.

Then the equation (1.8) has at least one positive solution $x \in X$ with $\|x\| > 0$.

Theorem 3.4. Assume that (H^*) holds, and there exist $N + 1$ positive constants $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_N < p_{N+1}$ such that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $\tilde{\varphi}(p_{2k-1}) < p_{2k-1}, k = 1, 2, \dots, [(N + 2)/2],$
 $\tilde{\psi}(p_{2k}) > 1, k = 1, 2, \dots, [(N + 1)/2],$

- (ii) $\tilde{\psi}(p_{2k-1}) > 1, k = 1, 2, \dots, [(N + 2)/2],$
 $\tilde{\varphi}(p_{2k}) < p_{2k}, k = 1, 2, \dots, [(N + 1)/2],$

where $[d]$ denotes the integer part of d . Then the equation (1.8) has at least N positive solutions $x_k \in X, k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ with $p_k < \|x_k\| < p_{k+1}$.

Corollary 3.5. Assume that (H^*) holds, and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\tilde{\varphi}_0 < 1$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_\infty < 1,$
- (ii) there exists a positive constant b such that $\tilde{\psi}(b) > 1.$

Then the equation (1.8) has at least two positive solutions $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with

$$0 < \|x_1\| < b < \|x_2\| < \infty.$$

Corollary 3.6. Assume that (H^*) holds, and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\tilde{\psi}_0 > 1$ and $\tilde{\psi}_\infty > 1,$
- (ii) there exists a positive constant a such that $\tilde{\varphi}(a) < a.$

Then the equation (1.8) has at least two positive solutions $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with

$$0 < \|x_1\| < a < \|x_2\| < \infty.$$

4. Some Examples

In this section, we apply the main results obtained in the previous sections to several examples.

Example 4.1. Consider the difference equation

$$x(n + 2) = a(n)x(n) + \frac{1}{1 + x(n - 2)}, \tag{4.1}$$

where a is an ω -periodic function with $0 < a(n) < 1$ for all $n \in [1, \omega]$. Obviously $f(n, x) = 1/(x + 1)$ and $\varphi_\infty = 0, \psi_0 = \infty$. By Corollary 2.3, (4.1) has at least one positive ω -periodic solution.

Example 4.2. Consider the difference equation

$$x(n + 3) = a(n)x(n) + x^{100}(n - 5) + \frac{101}{100} \sin x(n - 5), \tag{4.2}$$

where a is an ω -periodic function with $0 < a(n) < 0.01$ for all $n \in [1, \omega]$. It is clear that $\psi_\infty = \infty, \psi_0 = 1.01 > 1$. Put $a = \pi/6$. Then

$$f(n, x) = x^{100} + \frac{101}{100} \sin x < \frac{99}{100}a, 0 < x \leq a.$$

By Corollary 2.5, (4.2) has at least two positive ω -periodic solutions.

Example 4.3. Consider the difference equation

$$x(n+5) = a(n)x(n) - b(n)x^2(n + \tau(n)), \quad (4.3)$$

where a, b, τ are ω -periodic functions with $a(n) > 1, b(n) > 0$ for all $n \in [1, \omega]$ and $\tau : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. By Corollary 3.3, (4.2) has at least one positive ω -periodic solution.

References

- [1] S. Cheng and G. Zhang, Positive periodic solutions of a discrete population model, *Funct. Differ. Equ.*, 7(3-4):223–230, 2000.
- [2] Klaus Deimling, *Nonlinear functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [3] Da Jun Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear problems in abstract cones*, volume 5 of *Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [4] Daqing Jiang, Donal O'Regan, and R.P. Agarwal, Optimal existence theory for single and multiple positive periodic solutions to functional difference equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 161(2):441–462, 2005.
- [5] Yongkun Li and Lifei Zhu, Existence of positive periodic solutions for difference equations with feedback control, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 18(1):61–67, 2005.
- [6] E.C. Pielou, *Mathematical ecology*, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, second edition, 1977.
- [7] Youssef N. Raffoul, Positive periodic solutions of nonlinear functional difference equations, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, 55:8 (electronic), 2002.
- [8] Yasuhisa Saito, Wanbiao Ma, and Tadayuki Hara, A necessary and sufficient condition for permanence of a Lotka–Volterra discrete system with delays, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 256(1):162–174, 2001.
- [9] Pei Xuan Weng and Miao Lian Liang, The existence and behavior of a periodic solution of a hematopoiesis model, *Math. Appl. (Wuhan)*, 8(4):434–439, 1995.
- [10] Peixuan Weng and Miaolian Liang, Existence and global attractivity of periodic solution of a model in population dynamics, *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica (English Ser.)*, 12(4):427–434, 1996.
- [11] Zhijun Zeng, Existence of positive periodic solutions for a class of nonautonomous difference equations, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, 3:18 (electronic), 2006.
- [12] R.Y. Zhang, Z.C. Wang, Y. Chen, and J. Wu, Periodic solutions of a single species discrete population model with periodic harvest/stock, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 39(1-2):77–90, 2000.