

Integral Operator Inequalities on Time Scales

George A. Anastassiou
University of Memphis
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Memphis, TN 38152, U.S.A.
ganastss@memphis.edu

Abstract

Here we present a wide range integral operator general inequalities on time scales under convexity. Our treatment is combined by using the diamond-alpha integral. When that fails in the fractional setting, we use the delta and nabla integrals. We give plenty of interesting applications.

AMS Subject Classifications: 26B25, 26D15, 26A33, 39A12, 93C70.

Keywords: Time scales, diamond alpha integral, fractional Riemann–Liouville integral, time scales fractional derivative, time scales integral operator.

1 Introduction

We start with the definition of the Riemann–Liouville fractional integrals, see [20]. Let $[a, b]$, $(-\infty < a < b < \infty)$ be a finite interval on the real axis \mathbb{R} . The Riemann–Liouville fractional integrals $I_{a+}^{\alpha} f$ and $I_{b-}^{\alpha} f$ of order $\alpha > 0$ are defined by

$$(I_{a+}^{\alpha} f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x f(t) (x-t)^{\alpha-1} dt, \quad (x > a), \quad (1.1)$$

$$(I_{b-}^{\alpha} f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^b f(t) (t-x)^{\alpha-1} dt, \quad (x < b), \quad (1.2)$$

respectively. Here $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the Gamma function. These integrals are called the left-sided and the right-sided fractional integrals. We mention a basic property of the operators $I_{a+}^{\alpha} f$ and $I_{b-}^{\alpha} f$ of order $\alpha > 0$, see also [23]. The result says that the fractional integral operators $I_{a+}^{\alpha} f$ and $I_{b-}^{\alpha} f$ are bounded in $L_p(a, b)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, that is

$$\|I_{a+}^{\alpha} f\|_p \leq K \|f\|_p, \quad \|I_{b-}^{\alpha} f\|_p \leq K \|f\|_p, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$K = \frac{(b-a)^\alpha}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)}. \quad (1.4)$$

Inequality (1.3), that is the result involving the left-sided fractional integral, was proved by H. G. Hardy in one of his first papers, see [16]. He did not write down the constant, but the calculation of the constant was hidden inside his proof.

So we are motivated by (1.3), and also [2, 5, 7, 19], and we will prove analogous properties on time scales. But first we need some background on time scales, see also [11].

A time scale \mathbb{T} is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of the real numbers. The time scales calculus was initiated by S. Hilger in his PhD thesis in order to unify discrete and continuous analysis [17, 18]. Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale with the topology that it inherits from the real numbers. For $t \in \mathbb{T}$, we define the forward jump operator $\sigma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ by

$$\sigma(t) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\}, \quad (1.5)$$

and the backward jump operator $\rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ by

$$\rho(t) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\}. \quad (1.6)$$

If $\sigma(t) > t$, then we say that t is right-scattered, while if $\rho(t) < t$, then we say that t is left-scattered. Points that are simultaneously right-scattered and left-scattered are said to be isolated. If $\sigma(t) = t$, then t is called right-dense; if $\rho(t) = t$, then t is called left-dense. The mappings $\mu, \nu : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ defined by $\mu(t) := \sigma(t) - t$ and $\nu(t) := t - \rho(t)$ are called, respectively, the forward and backward graininess function.

Given a time scale \mathbb{T} , we introduce the sets \mathbb{T}^k , \mathbb{T}_k , and \mathbb{T}_k^k as follows. If \mathbb{T} has a left-scattered maximum t_1 , then $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T} - \{t_1\}$, otherwise $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T}$. If \mathbb{T} has a right-scattered minimum t_2 , then $\mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T} - \{t_2\}$, otherwise $\mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T}$. Finally, $\mathbb{T}_k^k = \mathbb{T}^k \cap \mathbb{T}_k$.

Let $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real valued function on a time scale \mathbb{T} . Then, for $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, we define $f^\Delta(t)$ to be the number, if one exists, such that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there is a neighborhood U of t such that for all $s \in U$,

$$|f(\sigma(t)) - f(s) - f^\Delta(t)(\sigma(t) - s)| \leq \epsilon |\sigma(t) - s|. \quad (1.7)$$

We say that f is delta differentiable on \mathbb{T}^k provided $f^\Delta(t)$ exists for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$. Similarly, for $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$, we define $f^\nabla(t)$ to be the number, if one exists, such that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there is a neighborhood V of t such that for all $s \in V$

$$|f(\rho(t)) - f(s) - f^\nabla(t)(\rho(t) - s)| \leq \epsilon |\rho(t) - s|. \quad (1.8)$$

We say that f is nabla differentiable on \mathbb{T}_k , provided that $f^\nabla(t)$ exists for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$.

For $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the function $f^\sigma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f^\sigma(t) = f(\sigma(t))$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, that is $f^\sigma = f \circ \sigma$. Similarly, we define the function $f^\rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f^\rho(t) = f(\rho(t))$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, that is, $f^\rho = f \circ \rho$.

A function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called rd-continuous, provided it is continuous at all right-dense points in \mathbb{T} and its left-sided limits finite at all left-dense points in \mathbb{T} . A function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called ld-continuous, provided it is continuous at all left-dense points in \mathbb{T} and its right-sided limits finite at all right-dense points in \mathbb{T} .

A function $F : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a delta antiderivative of $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ provided that $F^\Delta(t) = f(t)$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$. Then the delta integral of f is defined by

$$\int_a^b f(t) \Delta t = F(b) - F(a). \tag{1.9}$$

A function $G : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a nabla antiderivative of $g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, provided $G^\nabla(t) = g(t)$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$. Then the nabla integral of g is defined by $\int_a^b g(t) \nabla t = G(b) - G(a)$. For more details on time scales one can see [1, 11, 12].

Now we describe the diamond- α derivative and integral, referring the reader to [21, 22, 24–27] for more on this calculus.

Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale and f differentiable on \mathbb{T} in the Δ and ∇ senses. For $t \in \mathbb{T}_k^k$, we define the diamond- α dynamic derivative $f^{\diamond\alpha}(t)$ by

$$f^{\diamond\alpha}(t) = \alpha f^\Delta(t) + (1 - \alpha) f^\nabla(t), \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1. \tag{1.10}$$

Thus, f is diamond- α differentiable if and only if f is Δ and ∇ differentiable. The diamond- α derivative reduces to the standard Δ derivative for $\alpha = 1$, or the standard ∇ derivative for $\alpha = 0$. Also, it gives a "weighted derivative" for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Diamond- α derivatives have shown in computational experiments to provided efficient and balanced approximation formulae, leading to the design of more reliable numerical methods [24, 25].

Let $f, g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be diamond- α differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}_k^k$. Then

(i) $f \pm g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is diamond- α differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}_k^k$ with

$$(f \pm g)^{\diamond\alpha}(t) = (f)^{\diamond\alpha}(t) \pm (g)^{\diamond\alpha}(t). \tag{1.11}$$

(ii) For any constant c , $cf : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is diamond- α differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}_k^k$ with

$$(cf)^{\diamond\alpha}(t) = c(f)^{\diamond\alpha}(t). \tag{1.12}$$

(iii) $fg : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is diamond- α differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}_k^k$ with

$$(fg)^{\diamond\alpha}(t) = (f)^{\diamond\alpha}(t) g(t) + \alpha f^\sigma(t) g^\Delta(t) + (1 - \alpha) f^\rho(t) g^\nabla(t). \tag{1.13}$$

Let $a, t \in \mathbb{T}$, and $h : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then, the diamond- α integral from a to t of h is defined by

$$\int_a^t h(\tau) \diamond_{\alpha} \tau = \alpha \int_a^t h(\tau) \Delta \tau + (1 - \alpha) \int_a^t h(\tau) \nabla \tau, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1. \tag{1.14}$$

We may notice the absence of an anti-derivative for the \diamond_α combined derivative. For $t \in \mathbb{T}_k^k$, in general

$$\left(\int_a^t h(\tau) \diamond_\alpha \tau \right)^{\diamond_\alpha} \neq h(t). \quad (1.15)$$

Although the fundamental theorem of calculus does not hold for the \diamond_α -integral, other properties hold true. Let $a, b, t \in \mathbb{T}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$(i) \int_a^t \{f(\tau) \pm g(\tau)\} \diamond_\alpha \tau = \int_a^t f(\tau) \diamond_\alpha \tau \pm \int_a^t g(\tau) \diamond_\alpha \tau;$$

$$(ii) \int_a^t cf(\tau) \diamond_\alpha \tau = c \int_a^t f(\tau) \diamond_\alpha \tau;$$

$$(iii) \int_a^t f(\tau) \diamond_\alpha \tau = \int_a^b f(\tau) \diamond_\alpha \tau + \int_b^t f(\tau) \diamond_\alpha \tau;$$

$$(iv) \text{ If } f(t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t, \text{ then } \int_a^b f(t) \diamond_\alpha t \geq 0;$$

$$(v) \text{ If } f(t) \leq g(t) \text{ for all } t, \text{ then } \int_a^b f(t) \diamond_\alpha t \leq \int_a^b g(t) \diamond_\alpha t;$$

$$(vi) \text{ If } f(t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t, \text{ then } f(t) \equiv 0 \text{ if and only if } \int_a^b f(t) \diamond_\alpha t = 0;$$

$$(vii) \int_a^b c \diamond_\alpha t = c(b - a);$$

$$(viii) \left| \int_a^b f(t) \diamond_\alpha t \right| \leq \int_a^b |f(t)| \diamond_\alpha t.$$

We would use Jensen's diamond- α integral inequalities.

Theorem 1.1 (Jensen's inequality, see [26]). *Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale, $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ with $a < b$, and $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. If $g \in C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}, (c, d))$ and $f \in C((c, d), \mathbb{R})$ is convex, then*

$$f \left(\frac{\int_a^b g(s) \diamond_\alpha s}{b - a} \right) \leq \frac{\int_a^b f(g(s)) \diamond_\alpha s}{b - a}. \quad (1.16)$$

Also we need the extended Jensen inequality on time scales via diamond- α integral.

Theorem 1.2 (Generalized Jensen's inequality, see [26]). *Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale, $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ with $a < b$, $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, $g \in C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}, (c, d))$, and $h \in C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$ with*

$$\int_a^b |h(s)| \diamond_\alpha s > 0. \quad (1.17)$$

If $f \in C((c, d), \mathbb{R})$ is convex, then

$$f\left(\frac{\int_a^b |h(s)| g(s) \diamond_{\alpha} s}{\int_a^b |h(s)| \diamond_{\alpha} s}\right) \leq \frac{\int_a^b |h(s)| f(g(s)) \diamond_{\alpha} s}{\int_a^b |h(s)| \diamond_{\alpha} s}. \tag{1.18}$$

We further need the following inequality.

Theorem 1.3 (Hölder’s Inequality, see [14]). *For continuous functions $f, g : [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have:*

$$\int_a^b |f(t) g(t)| \diamond_{\alpha} t \leq \left[\int_a^b |f(t)|^p \diamond_{\alpha} t \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_a^b |g(t)|^q \diamond_{\alpha} t \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{1.19}$$

where $p > 1$, and $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$.

We obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.4 (Generalization of Hölder’s inequality). *Let $f_i \in C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $p_i > 1$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$. Then*

$$\int_a^b \prod_{i=1}^n |f_i(t)| \diamond_{\alpha} t \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\int_a^b |f_i(t)|^{p_i} \diamond_{\alpha} t \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}. \tag{1.20}$$

Proof. We use (1.19) and the induction hypothesis, exactly as in [13]. □

Comment 1.5. By Tietze’s extension theorem of general topology, we easily derive that a continuous function f of $\prod_{i=1}^n ([a_i, b_i] \cap \mathbb{T}_i)$ (where $\mathbb{T}_i, i = 1, \dots, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are time scales) is bounded, since its continuous extension F on $\prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is bounded, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Comment 1.6. It is regarding the univariate functions. Based on [15], we see that the Cauchy Time scales delta Δ and nabla ∇ integrals are equal to definite Riemann time scales Δ, ∇ integrals, respectively. Thus, the diamond- α -Cauchy integral (1.14) is a diamond α -Riemann integral over continuous functions. Of course the last integral exists, since continuous functions are Riemann Δ and ∇ -integrable, and it is equal to the corresponding α -Lebesgue integral, by [15]. In particular, the dominated and bounded convergence theorems hold true with respect to the Lebesgue- Δ, ∇ measures.

Comment 1.7. Let $\mathbb{T}_1, \mathbb{T}_2$ be time scales and $f : [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. By [8, 9], we get that f is Riemann Δ and ∇ -integrable over $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ and $(a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times (c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$, respectively. Hence by [8, 9], f is Lebesgue Δ and ∇ -integrable there. Thus by Fubini's theorem, we ge

$$\int_a^b \left(\int_c^d f(x, y) \Delta y \right) \Delta x = \int_c^d \left(\int_a^b f(x, y) \Delta x \right) \Delta y, \quad (1.21)$$

and

$$\int_a^b \left(\int_c^d f(x, y) \nabla y \right) \nabla x = \int_c^d \left(\int_a^b f(x, y) \nabla x \right) \nabla y. \quad (1.22)$$

We define ($\alpha \in [0, 1]$)

$$\int_a^b \left(\int_c^d f(x, y) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \\ \alpha \int_a^b \left(\int_c^d f(x, y) \Delta y \right) \Delta x + (1 - \alpha) \int_a^b \left(\int_c^d f(x, y) \nabla y \right) \nabla x. \quad (1.23)$$

One can generalize (1.23) for multiple integrals. So for f continuous, we get the \diamond_{α} -Fubini's theorem main property:

$$\int_a^b \left(\int_c^d f(x, y) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x = \int_c^d \left(\int_a^b f(x, y) \diamond_{\alpha} x \right) \diamond_{\alpha} y. \quad (1.24)$$

We notice the following.

Remark 1.8. Let $\mathbb{T}_1, \mathbb{T}_2$ be time scales and $f : [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Consider

$$g(x) = \int_c^d f(x, y) \diamond_{\alpha} y \\ = \alpha \int_c^d f(x, y) \Delta y + (1 - \alpha) \int_c^d f(x, y) \nabla y,$$

$\alpha \in [0, 1], \forall x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$. We prove that g is continuous on $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$. Let $x_n \rightarrow x$, where $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$ then $f(x_n, y) \rightarrow f(x, y)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty, \forall y \in [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$. Furthermore there exists $M > 0$ such that $|f(x_n, y)|, |f(x, y)| \leq M, \forall y \in [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$. Hence by Lebesgue's bounded convergence theorem (see [8]), we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_c^d f(x_n, y) \Delta y = \int_c^d f(x, y) \Delta y, \quad (1.25)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_c^d f(x_n, y) \nabla y = \int_c^d f(x, y) \nabla y. \quad (1.26)$$

Combining (1.25) and (1.26), we obtain $g(x_n) \rightarrow g(x)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, proving the continuity of g .

Comment 1.9. In [6], we proved that if $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and increasing, then Φ is continuous on \mathbb{R}_+ . Furthermore for $x < 0$, we extend $\Phi(x) := \Phi(-x)$, to the symmetric branch of Φ . Both branches of Φ make a convex function on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

So now we can apply Jensen’s inequality also on \mathbb{R} . Plus, it is well known that if $\Phi : (A, B) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex, then Φ is continuous on (A, B) .

2 Main Results

We present inequalities on \diamond_α -integral operators.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathbb{T}_1, \mathbb{T}_2$ be time scales, $a, b \in \mathbb{T}_1; c, d \in \mathbb{T}_2; k(x, y)$ is a kernel function with $x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}, y \in [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}; k$ is continuous function from $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ into \mathbb{R}_+ . Consider*

$$K(x) := \int_c^d k(x, y) \diamond_\alpha y, \quad \forall x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}. \tag{2.1}$$

We assume that $K(x) > 0, \forall x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$. Consider $f : [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous, and the \diamond_α -integral operator function

$$g(x) := \int_c^d k(x, y) f(y) \diamond_\alpha y, \tag{2.2}$$

$\forall x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$. Consider also the weight function

$$u : [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{2.3}$$

which is continuous. Define further the function

$$v(y) := \int_a^b \frac{u(x) k(x, y)}{K(x)} \diamond_\alpha x, \tag{2.4}$$

$\forall y \in [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$. Let I denote any of $(0, \infty)$ or $[0, \infty)$, and $\Phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and increasing function. In particular, we assume that

$$|f|([c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}) \subseteq I. \tag{2.5}$$

Then

$$\int_a^b u(x) \Phi\left(\frac{|g(x)|}{K(x)}\right) \diamond_\alpha x \leq \int_c^d v(y) \Phi(|f(y)|) \diamond_\alpha y. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^b u(x) \Phi \left(\frac{|g(x)|}{K(x)} \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x &= \int_a^b u(x) \Phi \left(\frac{1}{K(x)} \left| \int_c^d k(x, y) f(y) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right| \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \\
&\leq \int_a^b u(x) \Phi \left(\frac{1}{K(x)} \int_c^d k(x, y) |f(y)| \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \\
&\leq \int_a^b \frac{u(x)}{K(x)} \left(\int_c^d k(x, y) \Phi(|f(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \\
&= \int_a^b \left(\int_c^d \frac{u(x) k(x, y)}{K(x)} \Phi(|f(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \\
&= \int_c^d \left(\int_a^b \frac{u(x) k(x, y)}{K(x)} \Phi(|f(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} x \right) \diamond_{\alpha} y \\
&= \int_c^d \Phi(|f(y)|) \left(\int_a^b \frac{u(x) k(x, y)}{K(x)} \diamond_{\alpha} x \right) \diamond_{\alpha} y \\
&= \int_c^d v(y) \Phi(|f(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the generalized Jensen's inequality, see Theorem 1.2 and Comment 1.9, and (1.24). This proves the claim. \square

We continue with the next result.

Theorem 2.2. *All as in Theorem 2.1, however now Φ is not necessarily increasing and only from $(0, \infty)$ into \mathbb{R} . Additionally, we assume that f is of fixed strict sign. Then*

$$\int_a^b u(x) \Phi \left(\frac{|g(x)|}{K(x)} \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \leq \int_c^d v(y) \Phi(|f(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. We notice that

$$|g(x)| = \left| \int_c^d k(x, y) f(y) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right| = \int_c^d k(x, y) |f(y)| \diamond_{\alpha} y. \quad (2.8)$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^b u(x) \Phi \left(\frac{|g(x)|}{K(x)} \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x &= \int_a^b u(x) \Phi \left(\frac{1}{K(x)} \left| \int_c^d k(x, y) f(y) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right| \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \\
&= \int_a^b u(x) \Phi \left(\frac{1}{K(x)} \int_c^d k(x, y) |f(y)| \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x.
\end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

The rest follows as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

The following is a corollary to Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.3. *It holds*

$$\int_a^b u(x) \ln \left(\frac{|g(x)|}{K(x)} \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \geq \int_c^d v(y) \ln (|f(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. Apply (2.7) for $\Phi(x) = -\ln x$, which is convex with domain $(0, \infty)$. □

The following is a corollary to Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.4. *It holds*

$$\int_a^b u(x) e^{\frac{|g(x)|}{K(x)}} \diamond_{\alpha} x \leq \int_c^d v(y) e^{|f(y)|} \diamond_{\alpha} y. \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. Apply (2.6) for $\Phi(x) = e^x, x \geq 0$. □

Notation 2.5. Let $\mathbb{T}_1, \mathbb{T}_2$ be time scales, $a, b \in \mathbb{T}_1; c, d \in \mathbb{T}_2; k_i(x, y)$ is a kernel function with $x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}, y \in [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}; k_i$ is continuous function from $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ into \mathbb{R}_+ for $i = 1, \dots, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider

$$K_i(x) := \int_c^d k_i(x, y) \diamond_{\alpha} y, \quad \forall x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}, \quad (2.12)$$

$i = 1, \dots, m$. We assume that $K_i(x) > 0, \forall x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}, i = 1, \dots, m$. Consider $f_i : [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous, $i = 1, \dots, m$, and the \diamond_{α} -integral operator function

$$g_i(x) := \int_c^d k_i(x, y) f_i(y) \diamond_{\alpha} y, \quad (2.13)$$

$\forall x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}, i = 1, \dots, m$. Consider also the weight function

$$u : [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (2.14)$$

which is continuous. Define further the function

$$\lambda_m(y) := \int_a^b \frac{u(x) \prod_{i=1}^m k_i(x, y)}{\prod_{i=1}^m K_i(x)} \diamond_{\alpha} x, \quad (2.15)$$

$\forall y \in [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$. Here $\Phi_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, i = 1, \dots, m$, are convex and increasing functions.

We give the following result.

Theorem 2.6. *All as in Notation 2.5. Let $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ be fixed. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b u(x) \prod_{i=1}^m \Phi_i \left(\frac{|g_i(x)|}{K_i(x)} \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \\ & \leq \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^m \int_c^d \Phi_i (|f_i(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \left(\int_c^d \Phi_j (|f_j(y)|) \lambda_m(y) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Proof. We demonstrate the proof for $m = 3$. For general m it follows the same way. Here we use the extended Jensen's inequality, see Theorem 1.2 and Comment 1.9, \diamond_α -Fubini's theorem, see (1.24), and that Φ_i are increasing. We introduce the auxiliary function

$$\theta(x) := \frac{u(x)}{\prod_{i=1}^3 K_i(x)}$$

and calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b u(x) \prod_{i=1}^3 \Phi_i \left(\frac{|g_i(x)|}{K_i(x)} \right) \diamond_\alpha x \\ &= \int_a^b u(x) \prod_{i=1}^3 \Phi_i \left(\left| \frac{1}{K_i(x)} \int_c^d k_i(x, y) f_i(y) \diamond_\alpha y \right| \right) \diamond_\alpha x \\ &\leq \int_a^b u(x) \prod_{i=1}^3 \Phi_i \left(\frac{1}{K_i(x)} \int_c^d k_i(x, y) |f_i(y)| \diamond_\alpha y \right) \diamond_\alpha x \\ &\leq \int_a^b u(x) \prod_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{1}{K_i(x)} \int_c^d k_i(x, y) \Phi_i(|f_i(y)|) \diamond_\alpha y \right) \diamond_\alpha x \\ &= \int_a^b \left(\frac{u(x)}{\prod_{i=1}^3 K_i(x)} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^3 \int_c^d k_i(x, y) \Phi_i(|f_i(y)|) \diamond_\alpha y \right) \diamond_\alpha x \\ &= \int_a^b \theta(x) \left(\prod_{i=1}^3 \int_c^d k_i(x, y) \Phi_i(|f_i(y)|) \diamond_\alpha y \right) \diamond_\alpha x \\ &= \int_a^b \theta(x) \left[\int_c^d \left(\prod_{i=1}^2 \int_c^d k_i(x, y) \Phi_i(|f_i(y)|) \diamond_\alpha y \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. k_3(x, y) \Phi_3(|f_3(y)|) \diamond_\alpha y \right] \diamond_\alpha x \\ &= \int_a^b \left(\int_c^d \theta(x) \left(\prod_{i=1}^2 \int_c^d k_i(x, y) \Phi_i(|f_i(y)|) \diamond_\alpha y \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. k_3(x, y) \Phi_3(|f_3(y)|) \diamond_\alpha y \right) \diamond_\alpha x \\ &= \int_c^d \left(\int_a^b \theta(x) \left(\prod_{i=1}^2 \int_c^d k_i(x, y) \Phi_i(|f_i(y)|) \diamond_\alpha y \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. k_3(x, y) \Phi_3(|f_3(y)|) \diamond_\alpha x \right) \diamond_\alpha y \\ &= \int_c^d \Phi_3(|f_3(y)|) \left(\int_a^b \theta(x) k_3(x, y) \left(\prod_{i=1}^2 \int_c^d k_i(x, y) \Phi_i(|f_i(y)|) \diamond_\alpha y \right) \diamond_\alpha x \right) \diamond_\alpha y \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_c^d \Phi_3(|f_3(y)|) \left[\int_a^b \theta(x) k_3(x,y) \left(\int_c^d \left\{ \int_c^d k_1(x,y) \Phi_1(|f_1(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right\} \cdot \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. k_2(x,y) \Phi_2(|f_2(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \right] \diamond_{\alpha} y \\
&= \int_c^d \Phi_3(|f_3(y)|) \left[\int_a^b \left(\int_c^d \theta(x) k_2(x,y) k_3(x,y) \Phi_2(|f_2(y)|) \cdot \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left\{ \int_c^d k_1(x,y) \Phi_1(|f_1(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right\} \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \right] \diamond_{\alpha} y \\
&= \left(\int_c^d \Phi_3(|f_3(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \left[\int_a^b \left(\int_c^d \theta(x) k_2(x,y) k_3(x,y) \Phi_2(|f_2(y)|) \cdot \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left\{ \int_c^d k_1(x,y) \Phi_1(|f_1(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right\} \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \right] \\
&= \left(\int_c^d \Phi_3(|f_3(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \left[\int_c^d \left(\int_a^b \theta(x) k_2(x,y) k_3(x,y) \Phi_2(|f_2(y)|) \cdot \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left\{ \int_c^d k_1(x,y) \Phi_1(|f_1(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right\} \diamond_{\alpha} x \right) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right] \\
&= \left(\int_c^d \Phi_3(|f_3(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \left[\int_c^d \Phi_2(|f_2(y)|) \left(\int_a^b \theta(x) k_2(x,y) k_3(x,y) \cdot \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left(\int_c^d k_1(x,y) \Phi_1(|f_1(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \right) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right] \\
&= \left(\int_c^d \Phi_3(|f_3(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \left[\int_c^d \Phi_2(|f_2(y)|) \left\{ \int_a^b \left(\int_c^d \theta(x) \prod_{i=1}^3 k_i(x,y) \cdot \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. \Phi_1(|f_1(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \right\} \diamond_{\alpha} y \right] \\
&= \left(\int_c^d \Phi_3(|f_3(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \left(\int_c^d \Phi_2(|f_2(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \cdot \\
&\quad \left(\int_a^b \left(\int_c^d \theta(x) \prod_{i=1}^3 k_i(x,y) \Phi_1(|f_1(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \right) \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=2}^3 \int_c^d \Phi_i(|f_i(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \cdot \\
&\quad \left(\int_c^d \left(\int_a^b \theta(x) \prod_{i=1}^3 k_i(x,y) \Phi_1(|f_1(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} x \right) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=2}^3 \int_c^d \Phi_i(|f_i(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \cdot \\
&\quad \left(\int_c^d \Phi_1(|f_1(y)|) \left(\int_a^b \theta(x) \prod_{i=1}^3 k_i(x,y) \diamond_{\alpha} x \right) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=2}^3 \int_c^d \Phi_i(|f_i(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \left(\int_c^d \Phi_1(|f_1(y)|) \lambda_3(y) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right),$$

proving the claim. \square

The following is a corollary to Theorem 2.6.

Corollary 2.7. *It holds*

$$\int_a^b u(x) e^{\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|g_i(x)|}{K_i(x)}} \diamond_{\alpha} x \leq \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^m \int_c^d e^{|f_i(y)|} \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \left(\int_c^d e^{|f_j(y)|} \lambda_m(y) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right).$$

Proof. Apply $\Phi_i(x) = e^x$, $x \geq 0$, for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. \square

We continue with the next result.

Theorem 2.8. *All as in Theorem 2.6, but now $\Phi_i : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, are convex and not necessarily increasing. Furthermore all f_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, are of fixed strict sign. Then (2.16) is valid.*

Proof. Similar to Theorem 2.2, and Theorem 2.6. \square

We give the following application.

Corollary 2.9. *All as in Theorem 2.8, with $\Phi_i(x) = -\ln x$, $i = 1, \dots, m \in \mathbb{N}$. It holds*

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^m \int_a^b u(x) \prod_{i=1}^m \ln \left(\frac{|g_i(x)|}{K_i(x)} \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \\ \leq (-1)^m \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^m \int_c^d \ln(|f_i(y)|) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right) \left(\int_c^d \ln(|f_j(y)|) \lambda_m(y) \diamond_{\alpha} y \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (2.16). \square

We continue with the next result.

Theorem 2.10. *All as in Notation 2.5. Define*

$$u_i(y) := \int_a^b u(x) \frac{k_i(x, y)}{K_i(x)} \diamond_{\alpha} x, \quad (2.17)$$

$\forall y \in [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$, $i = 1, \dots, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $p_i > 1 : \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$. Then

$$\int_a^b u(x) \prod_{i=1}^m \Phi_i \left(\frac{|g_i(x)|}{K_i(x)} \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_c^d u_i(y) \Phi_i(|f_i(y)|)^{p_i} \diamond_{\alpha} y \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}. \quad (2.18)$$

Proof. Notice that $\Phi_i, i = 1, \dots, m$, are continuous functions. Here we use the generalized Hölder's inequality, see Theorem 1.4. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b u(x) \prod_{i=1}^m \Phi_i \left(\frac{|g_i(x)|}{K_i(x)} \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x &= \int_a^b \prod_{i=1}^m \left(u(x)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \Phi_i \left(\frac{|g_i(x)|}{K_i(x)} \right) \right) \diamond_{\alpha} x \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_a^b u(x) \Phi_i \left(\frac{|g_i(x)|}{K_i(x)} \right)^{p_i} \diamond_{\alpha} x \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_c^d u_i(y) \Phi_i (|f_i(y)|)^{p_i} \diamond_{\alpha} y \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \end{aligned}$$

(notice here that $\Phi_i^{p_i}, i = 1, \dots, m$, are convex, increasing and continuous, non-negative functions, and apply Theorem 2.1). This proves the claim. \square

We also give the following result.

Theorem 2.11. *All as in Theorem 2.10, but now $\Phi_i : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, i = 1, \dots, m$, are convex and not necessarily increasing. Furthermore all $f_i, i = 1, \dots, m$, are of fixed strict sign. Then (2.18) is valid.*

Proof. Similar to Theorem 2.10, and by using Theorem 2.2. \square

The following two results are corollaries to Theorem 2.10.

Corollary 2.12. *Let $\alpha_i \geq 1, i = 1, \dots, m$. Then*

$$\int_a^b u(x) \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{|g_i(x)|}{K_i(x)} \right)^{\alpha_i} \diamond_{\alpha} x \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_c^d u_i(y) (|f_i(y)|)^{\alpha_i p_i} \diamond_{\alpha} y \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}.$$

Proof. Apply (2.18) for $\Phi_i(x) = x^{\alpha_i}, x \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m$. \square

Corollary 2.13. *It holds*

$$\int_a^b u(x) e^{\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|g_i(x)|}{K_i(x)}} \diamond_{\alpha} x \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_c^d u_i(y) e^{p_i |f_i(y)|} \diamond_{\alpha} y \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}.$$

Proof. Apply (2.18) for $\Phi_i(x) = e^x, x \geq 0$, for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. \square

We need the following definition.

Definition 2.14 (See [3]). Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale. Consider the coordinate wise rd-continuous functions $h_{\alpha} : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 0$, such that $h_0(t, s) = 1$,

$$h_{\alpha+1}(t, s) = \int_s^t h_{\alpha}(\tau, s) \Delta\tau, \tag{2.19}$$

$\forall s, t \in \mathbb{T}$.

When $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, then $\sigma(t) = t$, we define

$$h_\alpha(t, s) := \frac{(t-s)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, \quad \alpha \geq 0. \quad (2.20)$$

When $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, then $\sigma(t) = t+1$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, and

$$h_k(t, s) = \frac{(t-s)^{(k)}}{k!}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \quad (2.21)$$

$\forall t, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $t^{(0)} = 1$, $t^{(k)} = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (t-i)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Also it holds

$$\int_a^b f(t) \Delta t = \sum_{t=a}^{b-1} f(t), \quad a < b; \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.22)$$

We need the following definition.

Definition 2.15 (See [3]). For $\alpha \geq 1$, we define the time scale Δ -Riemann–Liouville type fractional integral ($a, b \in \mathbb{T}$)

$$K_a^\alpha f(t) = \int_a^t h_{\alpha-1}(t, \sigma(\tau)) f(\tau) \Delta\tau, \quad (2.23)$$

(by [10] is an integral on $[a, t) \cap \mathbb{T}$)

$$K_a^0 f = f,$$

where $f \in L_1([a, b) \cap \mathbb{T})$ (Lebesgue Δ -integrable functions on $[a, b) \cap \mathbb{T}$, see [8, 9, 15]), $t \in [a, b) \cap \mathbb{T}$. Notice $K_a^1 f(t) = \int_a^t f(\tau) \Delta\tau$ is absolutely continuous in $t \in [a, b) \cap \mathbb{T}$, see [10].

Lemma 2.16 (See [3]). Let $\alpha > 1$, $f \in L_1([a, b) \cap \mathbb{T})$. If additionally $h_{\alpha-1}(s, \sigma(t))$ is Lebesgue Δ -measurable on $([a, b) \cap \mathbb{T})^2$, then $K_a^\alpha f \in L_1([a, b) \cap \mathbb{T})$.

We need the following definition.

Definition 2.17 (See [3]). Assume \mathbb{T} time scale such that $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T}$. Let $\mu > 2 : m - 1 < \mu < m \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $m = \lceil \mu \rceil$ (ceiling of the number), $\tilde{\nu} = m - \mu$ ($0 < \tilde{\nu} < 1$). Here we take $f \in C_{rd}^m([a, b) \cap \mathbb{T})$. Clearly here (see [15]) f^{Δ^m} is a Lebesgue Δ -integrable function. Assume $h_{\tilde{\nu}}(s, \sigma(t))$ is continuous on $([a, b) \cap \mathbb{T})^2$. We define the delta fractional derivative on time scale \mathbb{T} of order $\mu - 1$ as follows:

$$\Delta_{a^*}^{\mu-1} f(t) = (K_a^{\tilde{\nu}+1} f^{\Delta^m})(t) = \int_a^t h_{\tilde{\nu}}(t, \sigma(\tau)) f^{\Delta^m}(\tau) \Delta\tau, \quad (2.24)$$

$\forall t \in [a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}$. Notice here that $\Delta_{a^*}^{\mu-1} f \in C([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ by a simple argument using dominated convergence theorem in Lebesgue Δ -sense. If $\mu = m$, then $\tilde{\nu} = 0$ and by (2.24), we get

$$\Delta_{a^*}^{m-1} f(t) = K_a^1 f^{\Delta^m}(t) = f^{\Delta^{m-1}}(t). \tag{2.25}$$

More generally, by [10], given that $f^{\Delta^{m-1}}$ is everywhere finite and absolutely continuous on $[a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}$, then f^{Δ^m} exists Δ -a.e. and is Lebesgue Δ -integrable on $[a, t] \cap \mathbb{T}$, $\forall t \in [a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}$ and one can plug it into (2.24).

We need the following definition.

Definition 2.18 (See [4]). Consider the coordinate wise ld-continuous functions $\widehat{h}_\alpha : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, such that $\widehat{h}_0(t, s) = 1$,

$$\widehat{h}_{\alpha+1}(t, s) = \int_s^t \widehat{h}_\alpha(\tau, s) \nabla\tau, \tag{2.26}$$

$\forall s, t \in \mathbb{T}$.

In the case of $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$; then $\rho(t) = t$, and $\widehat{h}_k(t, s) = \frac{(t-s)^k}{k!}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and define

$$\widehat{h}_\alpha(t, s) := \frac{(t-s)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, \quad \alpha \geq 0. \tag{2.27}$$

Let $T = \mathbb{Z}$, then $\rho(t) = t - 1$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define $t^{\bar{0}} := 1$, $t^{\bar{k}} := t(t+1) \cdots (t+k-1)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and by (2.26), we have $\widehat{h}_k(t, s) = \frac{(t-s)^{\bar{k}}}{k!}$, $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Here $\int_{t_0}^t \nabla\tau = \sum_{t_0+1}^t \cdot$.

We need the following definition.

Definition 2.19 (See [4]). For $\alpha \geq 1$, we define the time scale ∇ -Riemann–Liouville type fractional integral ($a, b \in \mathbb{T}$)

$$J_a^\alpha f(t) = \int_a^t \widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(t, \rho(\tau)) f(\tau) \nabla\tau, \tag{2.28}$$

(by [10] the last integral is on $(a, t] \cap \mathbb{T}$)

$$J_a^0 f(t) = f(t),$$

where $f \in L_1((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ (Lebesgue ∇ -integrable functions on $(a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}$, see [8,9,15]), $t \in [a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}$. Notice $J_a^1 f(t) = \int_a^t f(\tau) \nabla\tau$ is absolutely continuous in $t \in [a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}$, see [10].

Lemma 2.20 (See [4]). *Let $\alpha > 1$, $f \in L_1((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. If additionally $\widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(s, \rho(t))$ is Lebesgue ∇ -measurable on $((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})^2$, then $J_a^\alpha f \in L_1((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$.*

We also need the following definition.

Definition 2.21 (See [4]). Assume $\mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T}$. Let $\mu > 2$ such that $m - 1 < \mu < m \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $m = \lceil \mu \rceil$, $\tilde{\nu} = m - \mu$ ($0 < \tilde{\nu} < 1$). Let $f \in C_{id}^m([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Clearly here (see [15]) f^{∇^m} is a Lebesgue ∇ -integrable function. Assume $\widehat{h}_{\tilde{\nu}}(s, \rho(t))$ is continuous on $([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})^2$. We define the nabla fractional derivative on time scale \mathbb{T} of order $\mu - 1$ as follows:

$$\nabla_{a^*}^{\mu-1} f(t) = (J_a^{\tilde{\nu}+1} f^{\nabla^m})(t) = \int_a^t \widehat{h}_{\tilde{\nu}}(t, \rho(\tau)) f^{\nabla^m}(\tau) \nabla \tau, \quad (2.29)$$

$\forall t \in [a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}$. Notice here that $\nabla_{a^*}^{\mu-1} f \in C([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ by a simple argument using dominated convergence theorem in Lebesgue ∇ -sense.

If $\mu = m$, then $\tilde{\nu} = 0$ and by (2.29), we get

$$\nabla_{a^*}^{m-1} f(t) = J_a^1 f^{\nabla^m}(t) = f^{\nabla^{m-1}}(t). \quad (2.30)$$

More generally, by [10], given that $f^{\nabla^{m-1}}$ is everywhere finite and absolutely continuous on $[a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}$, then f^{∇^m} exists ∇ -a.e. and is Lebesgue ∇ -integrable on $(a, t] \cap \mathbb{T}$, $\forall t \in [a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}$, and one can plug it into (2.29).

We present the next result.

Theorem 2.22. *Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale, and $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$, $a < b$, with $\sigma(a) = a$. Let $\alpha \geq 1$, h_α as in (2.19), and $K_a^\alpha f$ as in (2.23), where $f \in L_1([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Assume further that $h_{\alpha-1}(s, \sigma(t))$ is Lebesgue Δ -measurable on $([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})^2$. Call*

$$\begin{aligned} K^*(x) &:= \int_a^b \chi_{[a,x]}(y) |h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))| \Delta y \\ &= \int_a^x |h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))| \Delta y, \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

$\forall x \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$, where $\chi_{[a,x]}(y)$ is the characteristic function on $[a, x] \cap \mathbb{T}$. Assume that $K^*(x) > 0$ (delta) Lebesgue measure Δ -a.e. in $x \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Consider also the weight function

$$u : ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (2.32)$$

which is (delta) Lebesgue Δ -measurable. Assume that the function

$$x \rightarrow \frac{u(x)}{K^*(x)} \chi_{[a,x]}(y) |h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))|$$

is Δ -integrable on $([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ for each fixed $y \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Define v^* on $([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ by

$$v^*(y) := \int_a^b \frac{u(x)}{K^*(x)} \chi_{[a,x]}(y) |h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))| \Delta x < \infty. \quad (2.33)$$

Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and increasing function. Then

$$\int_a^b u(x) \Phi \left(\frac{|K_a^\alpha f(x)|}{K^*(x)} \right) \Delta x \leq \int_a^b v^*(x) \Phi(|f(x)|) \Delta x, \quad (2.34)$$

under the further assumptions:

- (i) $f, \Phi(|f|)$ are $\chi_{[a,x]}(y) |h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))| \Delta y$ -integrable, Δ -a.e. in $x \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$,
- (ii) $v^* \Phi(|f|)$ is Δ -Lebesgue integrable.

Proof. By [6], Φ is continuous on \mathbb{R}_+ . Here we use Jensen's inequality, Tonelli's theorem and Fubini's theorem, all on time scales setting. Also we use that Φ is convex and increasing. We extend $\Phi(x) := \Phi(-x)$, $x < 0$, then both branches of Φ make a convex function on \mathbb{R} and we can apply Jensen's inequality. Next we have

$$K_a^\alpha f(x) = \int_a^b \chi_{[a,x]}(y) h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y)) f(y) \Delta y, \quad \forall x \in [a, b] \cap \mathbb{T},$$

and

$$|K_a^\alpha f(x)| \leq \int_a^b \chi_{[a,x]}(y) |h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))| |f(y)| \Delta y.$$

By Jensen's inequality and Fubini's theorem, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b u(x) \Phi \left(\frac{|K_a^\alpha f(x)|}{K^*(x)} \right) \Delta x \\ & \leq \int_a^b u(x) \Phi \left(\frac{1}{K^*(x)} \int_a^b \chi_{[a,x]}(y) |h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))| |f(y)| \Delta y \right) \Delta x \\ & \leq \int_a^b \frac{u(x)}{K^*(x)} \left(\int_a^b \chi_{[a,x]}(y) |h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))| \Phi(|f(y)|) \Delta y \right) \Delta x \\ & = \int_a^b \left(\int_a^b \frac{u(x)}{K^*(x)} \chi_{[a,x]}(y) |h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))| \Phi(|f(y)|) \Delta y \right) \Delta x \\ & = \int_a^b \left(\int_a^b \frac{u(x)}{K^*(x)} \chi_{[a,x]}(y) |h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))| \Phi(|f(y)|) \Delta x \right) \Delta y \\ & = \int_a^b \Phi(|f(y)|) \left(\int_a^b \frac{u(x)}{K^*(x)} \chi_{[a,x]}(y) |h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))| \Delta x \right) \Delta y \\ & = \int_a^b \Phi(|f(y)|) v^*(y) \Delta y, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of the theorem. □

The counterpart of the last result follows.

Theorem 2.23. *Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale, and $a, b \in \mathbb{T} - \{\min \mathbb{T}\}$, $a < b$, with $\rho(a) = a$. Let $\alpha \geq 1$, \widehat{h}_α as in (2.26), and $J_a^\alpha f$ as in (2.28), where $f \in L_1((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Assume further that $\widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(s, \rho(t))$ is Lebesgue ∇ -measurable on $((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})^2$. Call*

$$\begin{aligned} K_*(x) &:= \int_a^b \chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left| \widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(x, \rho(y)) \right| \nabla y \\ &= \int_a^x \left| \widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(x, \rho(y)) \right| \nabla y, \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

$\forall x \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Assume that $K_*(x) > 0$ (nabla) Lebesgue measure ∇ -a.e. in $x \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Consider also the weight function

$$w : ((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (2.36)$$

which is (nabla) Lebesgue ∇ -measurable. Assume that the function

$$x \rightarrow \frac{w(x)}{K_*(x)} \chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left| \widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(x, \rho(y)) \right|$$

is ∇ -integrable on $((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ for each fixed $y \in ((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Define v_* on $((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ by

$$v_*(y) := \int_a^b \frac{w(x)}{K_*(x)} \chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left| \widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(x, \rho(y)) \right| \nabla x < \infty. \quad (2.37)$$

Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and increasing function. Then

$$\int_a^b w(x) \Phi \left(\frac{|J_a^\alpha f(x)|}{K_*(x)} \right) \nabla x \leq \int_a^b v_*(x) \Phi(|f(x)|) \nabla x, \quad (2.38)$$

under the further assumptions:

- (i) $f, \Phi(|f|)$ are $\chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left| \widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(x, \rho(y)) \right| \nabla y$ -integrable, ∇ -a.e. in $x \in ((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$,
- (ii) $v_* \Phi(|f|)$ is ∇ -Lebesgue integrable.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.22. □

We continue with the next result.

Theorem 2.24. *Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale, and $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$, $a < b$, with $\sigma(a) = a$. Let $\alpha \geq 1$, h_α as in (2.19), and $K_a^\alpha f_i$ as in (2.23), where $f_i \in L_1([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$, $i = 1, \dots, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume further that $h_{\alpha-1}(s, \sigma(t))$ is Lebesgue Δ -measurable on $([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})^2$. Call*

$$K^*(x) := \int_a^b \chi_{[a,x]}(y) |h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))| \Delta y \quad (2.39)$$

$\forall x \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Assume that $K^*(x) > 0$, Δ -a.e. in $x \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Here the weight function

$$u : ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+,$$

is Lebesgue Δ -measurable. Assume that the function

$$x \rightarrow u(x) \chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left(\frac{|h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))|}{K^*(x)} \right)^m$$

is Lebesgue Δ -integrable on $([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ for each fixed $y \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Define v_m^* on $([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ by

$$v_m^*(y) := \int_a^b u(x) \chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left(\frac{|h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))|}{K^*(x)} \right)^m \Delta x < \infty. \quad (2.40)$$

Let $\Phi_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, are convex and increasing functions. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b u(x) \prod_{i=1}^m \Phi_i \left(\frac{|K_a^\alpha f_i(x)|}{K^*(x)} \right) \Delta x \\ \leq \left(\prod_{i=2}^m \int_a^b \Phi_i(|f_i(y)|) \Delta y \right) \left(\int_a^b \Phi_1(|f_1(y)|) v_m^*(y) \Delta y \right), \end{aligned}$$

under the further assumptions:

- (i) $f_i, \Phi_i(|f_i|)$ are $\chi_{[a,x]}(y)|h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))|\Delta y$ -integrable, Δ -a.e. in $x \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$, $i = 1, \dots, m$,
- (ii) $v_m^* \Phi_1(|f_1|), \Phi_2(|f_2|), \Phi_3(|f_3|), \dots, \Phi_m(|f_m|)$, are all Δ -Lebesgue integrable.

Proof. As in [7], and Theorem 2.6 here. □

The counterpart of the last result follows.

Theorem 2.25. Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale, and $a, b \in \mathbb{T} - \{\min \mathbb{T}\}$, $a < b$, with $\rho(a) = a$. Let $\alpha \geq 1$, \widehat{h}_α as in (2.26), and $J_a^\alpha f_i$ as in (2.28), where $f_i \in L_1((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$, $i = 1, \dots, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume further that $\widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(s, \rho(t))$ is Lebesgue ∇ -measurable on $((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})^2$. Call

$$K_*(x) := \int_a^b \chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left| \widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(x, \rho(y)) \right| \nabla y \quad (2.41)$$

$\forall x \in ((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Assume that $K_*(x) > 0$, ∇ -a.e. in $x \in ((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Here the weight function

$$w : ((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+,$$

is Lebesgue ∇ -measurable. Assume that the function

$$x \rightarrow w(x) \chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left(\frac{|\widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(x, \rho(y))|}{K_*(x)} \right)^m$$

is Lebesgue ∇ -integrable on $((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ for each fixed $y \in ((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Define v_{m*} on $((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ by

$$v_{m*}(y) := \int_a^b w(x) \chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left(\frac{|\widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(x, \rho(y))|}{K_*(x)} \right)^m \nabla x < \infty. \quad (2.42)$$

Let $\Phi_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, are convex and increasing functions. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b w(x) \prod_{i=1}^m \Phi_i \left(\frac{|J_a^\alpha f_i(x)|}{K_*(x)} \right) \nabla x \\ \leq \left(\prod_{i=2}^m \int_a^b \Phi_i(|f_i(y)|) \nabla y \right) \left(\int_a^b \Phi_1(|f_1(y)|) v_{m*}(y) \nabla y \right), \end{aligned}$$

under the further assumptions:

- (i) $f_i, \Phi_i(|f_i|)$ are $\chi_{[a,x]}(y) |\widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(x, \rho(y))| \nabla y$ -integrable, ∇ -a.e. in $x \in ((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$, $i = 1, \dots, m$,
- (ii) $v_{m*} \Phi_1(|f_1|), \Phi_2(|f_2|), \Phi_3(|f_3|), \dots, \Phi_m(|f_m|)$, are all ∇ -Lebesgue integrable.

Proof. As in [7], and Theorem 2.6 here. \square

We continue with the following result.

Theorem 2.26. Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale, and $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$, $a < b$, with $\sigma(a) = a$. Let $\alpha \geq 1$, h_α as in (2.19), and $K_a^\alpha f_i$ as in (2.23), where $f_i \in L_1([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$, $i = 1, \dots, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume further that $h_{\alpha-1}(s, \sigma(t))$ is Lebesgue Δ -measurable on $([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})^2$. Call

$$K^*(x) := \int_a^b \chi_{[a,x]}(y) |h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))| \Delta y \quad (2.43)$$

$\forall x \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Assume that $K^*(x) > 0$, Δ -a.e. in $x \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Here the weight function

$$u : ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+,$$

is Lebesgue Δ -measurable. Assume that the function

$$x \rightarrow u(x) \chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left(\frac{|h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))|}{K^*(x)} \right)$$

is Lebesgue Δ -integrable on $([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ for each fixed $y \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Define v^* on $([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ by

$$v^*(y) := \int_a^b \frac{u(x)}{K^*(x)} \chi_{[a,x]}(y) |h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))| \Delta x < \infty. \quad (2.44)$$

Let $p_i > 1 : \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$. Let the functions $\Phi_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, i = 1, \dots, m$, be convex and increasing. Then

$$\int_a^b u(x) \prod_{i=1}^m \Phi_i \left(\frac{|K_a^\alpha f_i(x)|}{K^*(x)} \right) \Delta x \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \int_a^b v^*(y) \Phi_i(|f_i(y)|)^{p_i} \Delta y \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}},$$

under the further assumptions:

- (i) $f_i, \Phi_i(|f_i|)^{p_i}$ are $\chi_{[a,x]}(y) |h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))| \Delta y$ -integrable, Δ -a.e. in $x \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$, for all $i = 1, \dots, m$,
- (ii) $v^* \Phi_i(|f_i|)^{p_i}$ is Δ -Lebesgue integrable, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. As in [5], and Theorem 2.10 here. □

The counterpart of the last result follows.

Theorem 2.27. Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale, and $a, b \in \mathbb{T} - \{\min \mathbb{T}\}, a < b$, with $\rho(a) = a$. Let $\alpha \geq 1, \widehat{h}_\alpha$ as in (2.26), and $J_a^\alpha f_i$ as in (2.28), where $f_i \in L_1((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}), i = 1, \dots, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume further that $\widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(s, \rho(t))$ is Lebesgue ∇ -measurable on $((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})^2$. Call

$$K_*(x) := \int_a^b \chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left| \widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(x, \rho(y)) \right| \nabla y, \quad (2.45)$$

$\forall x \in ((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Assume that $K_*(x) > 0, \nabla$ -a.e. in $x \in ((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Here the weight function

$$w : ((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+,$$

is Lebesgue ∇ -measurable. Assume that the function

$$x \rightarrow w(x) \chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left(\frac{\left| \widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(x, \rho(y)) \right|}{K_*(x)} \right)$$

is Lebesgue ∇ -integrable on $((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ for each fixed $y \in ((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Define v_* on $((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ by

$$v_*(y) := \int_a^b \frac{w(x)}{K_*(x)} \chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left| \widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(x, \rho(y)) \right| \nabla x < \infty. \quad (2.46)$$

Let $p_i > 1 : \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$. Let the functions $\Phi_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, be convex and increasing. Then

$$\int_a^b w(x) \prod_{i=1}^m \Phi_i \left(\frac{|J_a^\alpha f_i(x)|}{K_*(x)} \right) \nabla x \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \int_a^b v_*(y) \Phi_i(|f_i(y)|)^{p_i} \nabla y \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}},$$

under the further assumptions:

- (i) $f_i, \Phi_i(|f_i|)^{p_i}$ are $\chi_{[a,x]}(y) |\widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(x, \rho(y))| \nabla y$ -integrable, ∇ -a.e. in $x \in ((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$, for all $i = 1, \dots, m$,
- (ii) $v_* \Phi_i(|f_i|)^{p_i}$ is ∇ -Lebesgue integrable, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. As in [5], and Theorem 2.10 here. □

We give the following corollary to Theorem 2.24.

Corollary 2.28. *It holds*

$$\int_a^b u(x) e^{\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{|K_a^\alpha f_i(x)|}{K^*(x)} \right)} \Delta x \leq \left(\prod_{i=2}^m \int_a^b e^{|f_i(y)|} \Delta y \right) \left(\int_a^b e^{|f_1(y)|} v_m^*(y) \Delta y \right),$$

under the assumptions:

- (i) $f_i, e^{|f_i|}$ are $\chi_{[a,x]}(y) |h_{\alpha-1}(x, \sigma(y))| \Delta y$ -integrable, Δ -a.e. in $x \in ([a, b) \cap \mathbb{T})$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, and
- (ii) $v_m^* e^{|f_1|}, e^{|f_2|}, e^{|f_3|}, \dots, e^{|f_m|}$, are all Δ -integrable.

We give the following corollary to Theorem 2.27.

Corollary 2.29. *It holds*

$$\int_a^b w(x) e^{\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{|J_a^\alpha f_i(x)|}{K^*(x)} \right)} \nabla x \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \int_a^b v_*(y) e^{p_i |f_i(y)|} \nabla y \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}},$$

under the assumptions:

- (i) $f_i, e^{p_i |f_i|}$ are both $\chi_{[a,x]}(y) |\widehat{h}_{\alpha-1}(x, \rho(y))| \nabla y$ -integrable, ∇ -a.e. in $x \in ((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$, for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, and
- (ii) $v_* e^{p_i |f_i|}$ is ∇ -Lebesgue integrable, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

We continue with the following result.

Theorem 2.30. Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale, and $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$, $a < b$, with $\sigma(a) = a$. Let all as in Definition 2.17, with $f_i \in C_{rd}^m([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$, $i = 1, \dots, m_* \in \mathbb{N}$; $h_{\bar{\nu}}$ as in (2.19). Call

$$K_1(x) := \int_a^b \chi_{[a,x]}(y) |h_{\bar{\nu}}(x, \sigma(y))| \Delta y \quad (2.47)$$

$\forall x \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Assume that $K_1(x) > 0$, Δ -a.e. in $x \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Here the weight function

$$u : ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+,$$

is Lebesgue Δ -measurable. Assume that the function

$$x \rightarrow u(x) \chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left(\frac{|h_{\bar{\nu}}(x, \sigma(y))|}{K_1(x)} \right)^{m_*}$$

is Lebesgue Δ -integrable on $([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ for each fixed $y \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Define φ_{m_*} on $([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ by

$$\varphi_{m_*}(y) := \int_a^b u(x) \chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left(\frac{|h_{\bar{\nu}}(x, \sigma(y))|}{K_1(x)} \right)^{m_*} \Delta x < \infty. \quad (2.48)$$

Here $\Phi_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $i = 1, \dots, m_*$, are convex and increasing functions. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b u(x) \prod_{i=1}^{m_*} \Phi_i \left(\frac{|\Delta_{a^*}^{\mu-1} f_i(x)|}{K_1(x)} \right) \Delta x \\ & \leq \left(\prod_{i=2}^{m_*} \int_a^b \Phi_i(|f_i^{\Delta^m}(y)|) \Delta y \right) \left(\int_a^b \Phi_1(|f_1^{\Delta^m}(y)|) \varphi_{m_*}(y) \Delta y \right), \end{aligned}$$

under the assumption that φ_{m_*} is Δ -Lebesgue integrable on $([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.24. □

We also derive the next result.

Theorem 2.31. Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale, and $a, b \in \mathbb{T} - \{\min \mathbb{T}\}$, $a < b$, with $\rho(a) = a$. Let all as in Definition 2.21, with $f_i \in C_{ld}^m([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$, $i = 1, \dots, m_* \in \mathbb{N}$; $h_{\bar{\nu}}$ as in (2.26). Call

$$K_2(x) := \int_a^b \chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left| \widehat{h}_{\bar{\nu}}(x, \rho(y)) \right| \nabla y, \quad (2.49)$$

$\forall x \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Assume that $K_2(x) > 0$, ∇ -a.e. in $x \in ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Here the weight function

$$w : ([a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+,$$

is Lebesgue ∇ -measurable. Assume that the function

$$x \rightarrow w(x) \chi_{[a,x]}(y) \left(\frac{|\widehat{h}_{\nabla}(x, \rho(y))|}{K_2(x)} \right)$$

is Lebesgue ∇ -integrable on $((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ for each fixed $y \in ((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$. Define ψ on $((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$ by

$$\psi(y) := \int_a^b \frac{w(x)}{K_2(x)} \chi_{[a,x]}(y) |\widehat{h}_{\nabla}(x, \rho(y))| \nabla x < \infty. \quad (2.50)$$

Let $p_i > 1 : \sum_{i=1}^{m_*} \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$. Let the functions $\Phi_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $i = 1, \dots, m_*$, be convex and increasing. Then

$$\int_a^b w(x) \prod_{i=1}^m \Phi_i \left(\frac{|\nabla_a^{\mu-1} f_i(x)|}{K_2(x)} \right) \nabla x \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \int_a^b \psi(y) \Phi_i (|f_i^{\nabla^m}(y)|)^{p_i} \nabla y \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}},$$

under the assumption that ψ is ∇ -Lebesgue integrable on $((a, b] \cap \mathbb{T})$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.27. □

We finish with the following remark concerning Theorem 2.1.

Remark 2.32. (i) Let $\mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{Z}$; $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Then

$$\int_a^b \cdot \diamond_{\alpha} x = \int_a^b \cdot dx, \quad (2.51)$$

and

$$\int_c^d \cdot \diamond_{\alpha} y = \alpha \sum_{y=c}^{d-1} \cdot + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{y=c+1}^d \cdot. \quad (2.52)$$

Assume $k : [a, b] \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, a continuous function. So here

$$K(x) = \alpha \sum_{y=c}^{d-1} k(x, y) + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{y=c+1}^d k(x, y) > 0, \quad (2.53)$$

$\forall x \in [a, b]$, and $f : [c, d]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with

$$g(x) = \alpha \sum_{y=c}^{d-1} k(x, y) f(y) + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{y=c+1}^d k(x, y) f(y), \quad (2.54)$$

$\forall x \in [a, b]$. Here $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ continuous, and

$$v(y) = \int_a^b \frac{u(x)k(x,y)}{K(x)} dx, \tag{2.55}$$

$\forall y \in [c, d]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ convex and increasing function. Then, by (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b u(x) \Phi\left(\frac{|g(x)|}{K(x)}\right) dx \\ & \leq \alpha \sum_{y=c}^{d-1} v(y) \Phi(|f(y)|) + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{y=c+1}^d v(y) \Phi(|f(y)|). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $\mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\int_a^b \cdot \diamond_{\alpha} x = \alpha \sum_{x=a}^{b-1} \cdot + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{x=a+1}^b \cdot, \tag{2.56}$$

and

$$\int_c^d \cdot \diamond_{\alpha} y = \int_c^d \cdot dy. \tag{2.57}$$

Assume $k : [a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, a continuous function. So here

$$K(x) = \int_c^d k(x,y) dy > 0, \quad \forall x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{2.58}$$

Consider $f : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous and

$$g(x) = \int_c^d k(x,y) f(y) dy, \quad \forall x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{2.59}$$

Let $u : [a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. Here it is

$$v(y) = \alpha \sum_{x=a}^{b-1} \frac{u(x)k(x,y)}{K(x)} + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{x=a+1}^b \frac{u(x)k(x,y)}{K(x)}, \tag{2.60}$$

$\forall y \in [c, d]$. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ convex and increasing function. Then, by (2.6), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \sum_{x=a}^{b-1} u(x) \Phi\left(\frac{|g(x)|}{K(x)}\right) + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{x=a+1}^b u(x) \Phi\left(\frac{|g(x)|}{K(x)}\right) \\ & \leq \int_c^d v(y) \Phi(|f(y)|) dy. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] R. Agarwal, M. Bohner, D. O'Regan and A. Peterson, *Dynamic equations on time scales: a survey*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 141 (2002), no. 1-2, 1–26.
- [2] R. Agarwal, M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Inequalities on time scales: a survey*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 4 (2001), no. 4, 535–557.
- [3] G.A. Anastassiou, *Principles of Delta Fractional Calculus on Time Scales and Inequalities*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 52 (3-4): (2010), 556–566.
- [4] G.A. Anastassiou, *Foundations of Nabla Fractional Calculus on Time Scales and Inequalities*, Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 59 (12), (2010), 3750–3762.
- [5] G.A. Anastassiou, *Fractional Integral Inequalities involving Convexity*, Sarajevo Journal of Math, Special Issue Honoring 60th Birthday of M. Kulenovich, accepted 2012.
- [6] G.A. Anastassiou, *Rational Inequalities for integral operators under convexity*, Communications in Applied Analysis, accepted 2012.
- [7] G.A. Anastassiou, *Univariate Hardy type fractional inequalities*, Proceedings of International Conference in Applied Mathematics and Approximation Theory 2012, Ankara, Turkey, May 17–20, 2012, Tobb Univ. of Economics and Technology, Editors G. Anastassiou, O. Duman, to appear Springer, NY, 2013.
- [8] M. Bohner, G.S. Guseinov, *Multiple Lebesgue integration on time scales*, Advances in Difference Equations, Vol. 2006, Article ID 26391, pp. 1–12, DOI 10.1155/ADE/2006/26391.
- [9] M. Bohner, G.S. Guseinov, *Double integral calculus of variations on time scales*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 54 (2007), 45–57.
- [10] M. Bohner, H. Luo, *Singular second-order multipoint dynamic boundary value problems with mixed derivatives*, Advances in Difference Equations, Vol. 2006, Article ID 54989, p. 1–15, DOI 10.1155/ADE/2006/54989.
- [11] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic equations on time scales*, Birkäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2001.
- [12] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Advances in dynamic equations on time scales*, Birkäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.
- [13] Wing-Sum Cheung, *Generalizations of Hölder's Inequality*, Internat. J. of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 26, No. 1, (2001), 7–10.

- [14] R.A.C. Ferreira, M.R. Sidi Ammi and D.F.M. Torres, *Diamond-alpha Integral inequalities on time scales*, Int. J. Math. Stat., 5 (no. A09), (2009), 52–59.
- [15] G.S. Guseinov, *Integration on Time Scales*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 285 (2003), 107–127.
- [16] H.G. Hardy, *Notes on some points in the integral calculus*, Messenger of Mathematics, vol. 47, no. 10, 1918, 145–150.
- [17] S. Hilger, *Analysis on measure chains — a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus*, Results Math. 18 (1990), no. 1-2, 18–56.
- [18] S. Hilger, *Differential and difference calculus — unified!*, Nonlinear Anal. 30 (1997), no. 5, 2683–2694.
- [19] S. Iqbal, K. Krulic and J. Pecaric, *On an inequality of H.G. Hardy*, J. of Inequalities and Applications, Volume 2010, Article ID 264347, 23 pages.
- [20] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava and J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, vol. 204 of North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier, New York, NY, USA, 2006.
- [21] D. Mozyrska and D.F.M. Torres, *Diamond-alpha polynomial series on time scales*, Int. J. Math. Stat., 5 (2009), No. A09, 92–101.
- [22] J.W. Rogers, Jr. and Q. Sheng, *Notes on the diamond- α dynamic derivative on time scales*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326 (2007), no. 1, 228–241.
- [23] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas and O.I. Marichev, *Fractional Integral and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, Switzerland, 1993.
- [24] Q. Sheng, *Hybrid approximations via second order combined dynamic derivatives on time scales*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 2007, No. 17, 13 pp. (electronic).
- [25] Q. Sheng, M. Fadag, J. Henderson and J.M. Davis, *An exploration of combined dynamic derivatives on time scales and their applications*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 7 (2006), no. 3, 395–413.
- [26] M.R. Sidi Ammi, R.A.C. Ferreira and D.F.M. Torres, *Diamond- α Jensen's Inequality on Time Scales*, J. of Inequalities and Applications, Vol. 2008, article ID 576876, 13 pages.
- [27] M.R. Sidi Ammi and D.F.M. Torres, *Combined Dynamic Grüss Inequalities on time scales*, J. of Mathematical Sciences, 161 (6), (2012), 792–802.