

# INTUITIONISTIC RANDOM STABILITY OF A QUADRATIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION ORIGINATING FROM THE SUM OF THE MEDIANS OF A TRIANGLE

M. Arunkumar<sup>1</sup> and S. Karthikeyan<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College,  
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603, TamilNadu, India.  
E-mail: annarun2002@yahoo.co.in*

<sup>2\*</sup> *Department of Mathematics, R.M.K. Engineering College,  
Kavaraipettai - 601 206, Tamil Nadu, India.*

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, the authors proved the intuitionistic random stability of quadratic functional equation

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)+f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}-x\right)+f\left(\frac{z+x}{2}-y\right)=\frac{3}{4}(f(x-y)+f(y-z)+f(z-x))$$

originating from the sum of the medians of a triangle using direct and fixed point methods.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** : 39B52, 32B72, 32B82 .

**Key words and phrases:** Quadratic functional equation, Generalized Ulam-Hyers stability, intuitionistic random normed space, fixed point method.

## 1. Introduction

The stability of functional equations had been first raised by S.M. Ulam [46]. In 1941, D. H. Hyers [24] remarked a positive answer to the question of Ulam regards to Banach spaces. In 1950, T. Aoki [3] was considered as the second author to handle this problem for additive mappings.

Eventually Th.M. Rassias [39] succeeded in extending the result of Hyers' Theorem by weakening the condition for the Cauchy difference controlled by  $\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$ ;  $p \in [0,1)$  to be unbounded. While considering the influence of Ulam, Hyers and Rassias on the development of stability problems of functional equations, the stability phenomenon that was proved by Th.M. Rassias is called Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability one can refer [2, 19, 25].

In 1982, J.M. Rassias [35] followed the innovative approach of the Th.M. Rassias theorem [39] in which he replaced the factor  $\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$  by  $\|x\|^p \|y\|^q$  for  $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$  with  $p+q \neq 1$ . The comprehensive state of above results were obtained by P. Gavruta [21] in 1994 by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function  $\phi(x, y)$  in the spirit of Rassias approach.

In 2008, a special case of Gavruta's theorem for the unbounded Cauchy difference was procured by Ravi et al., [40] in view of the summation of both the sum and the product of two  $p$ -norms in the spirit of Rassias approach.

In 2003, V. Radu [11] proposed a new method, successively developed in [12, 13, 14], to obtaining the existence of the exact solutions and the error estimations, based on the fixed point alternative.

The theory of random normed spaces (RN-spaces) is important as a generalization of the deterministic result of linear normed spaces and also in the study of random operator equations. The RN-spaces may also provide us with the appropriate tools to study the geometry of nuclear physics and have important application in quantum particle physics. Recently, J.M. Rassias et al. [36] investigated the intuitionistic random stability of the quartic functional equation and C. Park et al. [33] presented the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive-quadratic functional equation in intuitionistic random normed space.

Very recently, John M. Rassias, M. Arunkumar and S. Karthikeyan [38] investigated the intuitionistic random stability of a quadratic reciprocal functional equation

$$f(x+2y) + f(2x+y) = \frac{f(x)f(y) \left[ 5f(x) + 5f(y) + 8\sqrt{f(x)f(y)} \right]}{\left[ 2f(x) + 2f(y) + 5\sqrt{f(x)f(y)} \right]^2} \quad (1.1)$$

using direct and fixed point methods.

In 2015, John M. Rassias, M. Arunkumar and S. Karthikeyan [37] proved the solution in vector space and the generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of the ternary

quadratic homomorphisms and ternary quadratic derivations between fuzzy ternary Banach algebras associated to the quadratic functional equation

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)+f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}-x\right)+f\left(\frac{z+x}{2}-y\right)=\frac{3}{4}(f(x-y)+f(y-z)+f(z-x)) \tag{1.2}$$

originating from the sum of the medians of a triangle by using direct and fixed point methods.

An application of this functional equation is also studied.

In this paper, we prove the intuitionistic random stability of a quadratic functional equation (1.2) originating from the sum of the medians of a triangle using direct and fixed point methods.

## 2 Preliminaries of Intuitionistic Random Normed Spaces

In this section, using the idea of intuitionistic random normed spaces introduced by Chang et al. [16], we define the notion of intuitionistic random normed spaces as in [15, 22, 29, 31, 42, 43, 44].

### Definition 2.1

A measure distribution function is a function  $\mu:\mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1]$  which is left continuous, non-decreasing on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mu(t) = 0$  and  $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mu(t) = 1$ .

We will denote by  $D$  the family of all measure distribution functions and by  $H$  a special element of  $D$  defined by

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \leq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

If  $X$  is a nonempty set, then  $\mu: X \rightarrow D$  is called a probabilistic measure on  $X$  and  $\mu(x)$  is denoted by  $\mu_x$ .

### Definition 2.2.

A non-measure distribution function is a function  $\nu:\mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1]$  which is right continuous, non-decreasing on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \nu(t) = 0$  and  $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \nu(t) = 1$ .

We will denote by  $B$  the family of all non-measure distribution functions and by  $G$  a special element of  $B$  defined by

$$G(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t \leq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

If  $X$  is a nonempty set, then  $\nu: X \rightarrow D$  is called a probabilistic non-measure on  $X$  and  $\nu(x)$  is denoted by  $\nu_x$ .

**Lemma 2.3. [8, 20]**

Consider the set  $L^*$  and the order relation  $\leq_{L^*}$  defined by:

$$L^* \left\{ (x_1, x_2) : (x_1, x_2) \in [0, 1]^2 \text{ and } x_1 + x_2 \leq 1 \right\},$$

$$(x_1, x_2) \leq_{L^*} (y_1, y_2) \Leftrightarrow x_1 \leq y_1, x_2 \geq y_2, \forall (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in L^*.$$

Then  $(L^*, \leq_{L^*})$  is a complete lattice.

**Definition 2.4. [8]**

An intuitionistic fuzzy set  $A_{\xi, \eta}$  in a universal set  $U$  is an object  $A_{\xi, \eta} = \{(\xi_A(u), \eta_A(u)) \mid u \in U\}$  for all  $u \in U, \xi_A(u) \in [0, 1]$  and  $\eta_A(u) \in [0, 1]$  are called the *membership degree* and the *non-membership degree*, respectively, of  $u$  in  $A_{\xi, \eta}$  and, furthermore, they satisfy  $\xi_A(u) + \eta_A(u) \leq 1$ .

We denote its units by  $0_{L^*} = (0, 1)$  and  $1_{L^*} = (0, 1)$ . Classically, a *triangular norm*  $* = T$  on  $[0, 1]$  is defined as an increasing, commutative, associative mapping  $T: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfying  $T(1, x) = 1 * x = x$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . A *triangular co-norm*  $S = \diamond$  is defined as an increasing, commutative, associative mapping  $S: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfying  $S(0, x) = 0 \diamond x = x$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

Using the lattice  $(L^*, \leq_{L^*})$ , these definitions can be straightforwardly extended.

**Definition 2.5. [8]**

A triangular norm ( $t$ -norm) on  $L^*$  is a mapping  $T: (L^*)^2 \rightarrow L^*$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $(\forall x \in L^*) (T(x, 1_{L^*}) = x)$  (boundary conditions);
- (ii)  $(\forall (x, y) \in (L^*)^2) (T(x, y) = T(y, x))$  (commutativity);
- (iii)  $(\forall (x, y, z) \in (L^*)^3) (T(x, T(y, z)) = T(T(x, y), z))$  (associativity);
- (iv)  $(\forall (x, x', y, y') \in (L^*)^4) (x \leq_{L^*} x' \text{ and } y \leq_{L^*} y' \Rightarrow T(x, y) \leq_{L^*} T(x', y'))$  (monotonically).

If  $(L^*, \leq_{L^*}, T)$  is an Abelian topological monoid with unit  $1_{L^*}$ , then  $L^*$  is said to be a *continuous  $t$ -norm*.

**Definition 2.6. [8]**

A continuous  $t$ -norms  $T$  on  $L^*$  is said to be *continuous  $t$ -representable* if there exist a continuous  $t$ -norm  $*$  and a continuous  $t$ -conorm  $\diamond$  on  $[0,1]$  such that, for all

$$x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in L^*, \quad T(x, y) = (x_1 * y_1, x_2 \diamond y_2).$$

For example,

$$T(a, b) = (a_1, b_1, \min \{a_2 + b_2, 1\})$$

and  $M(a, b) = (\min \{a_1 + b_1\}, \max \{a_2 + b_2\})$

for all  $a = (a_1, a_2), b = (b_1, b_2) \in L^*$  are continuous  $t$ -representable.

Now, we define a sequence  $T^n$  recursively by  $T^1 = T$  and

$$T^n(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n+1)}) = T(T^{(n-1)}(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}), x^{(n+1)}), \forall n \geq 2, x^{(i)} \in L^*.$$

**Definition 2.7. [45]**

A *negator* on  $L^*$  is any decreasing mapping  $N : L^* \rightarrow L^*$  satisfying  $N : (0_{L^*})$  and  $N(1_{L^*}) = 0_{L^*}$ . If  $N(N(x)) = x$  for all  $x \in L^*$ , then  $N$  is called an *involution negator*. A negator on  $[0,1]$  is a decreasing mapping  $N : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  satisfying  $P_{\mu,\nu}(0) = 1$  and  $P_{\mu,\nu}(1) = 0$ .  $N_s$  denotes the standard negator on  $[0,1]$  defined by  $N_s(x) = 1 - x, \forall x \in [0,1]$ .

**Definition 2.8. [45]**

Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be measure and non- measure distributions functions from  $X \times (0, +\infty)$  to  $[0,1]$  such that  $\mu_x(t) + \nu_x(t) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ . The triple  $(X, P_{\mu,\nu}, T)$  is said to be an *intuitionistic random normed space* (briefly IRN-space) if  $X$  is a vector space,  $T$  is a continuous  $t$ -representable and  $P_{\mu,\nu}$  is a mapping  $X \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow L^*$  satisfying the following conditions: for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t, s > 0$ ,

(IRN1)  $P_{\mu,\nu}(x, 0) = 0_{L^*}$ ;

(IRN2)  $P_{\mu,\nu}(x, t) = 1_{L^*}$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;

(IRN3)  $P_{\mu,\nu}(\alpha x, t) = P_{\mu,\nu}\left(x, \frac{t}{|\alpha|}\right)$  for all  $\alpha \neq 0$ ;

(IRN4)  $P_{\mu,\nu}(x + y, t + s) \geq_{L^*} T(P_{\mu,\nu}(x, t), P_{\mu,\nu}(y, s))$ .

In this case,  $P_{\mu,\nu}$  is called an *intuitionistic random norm*.

Here  $P_{\mu,\nu}(x,t) = (\mu_x(t), \nu_x(t))$ .

**Example 2.9. [45]**

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space. Let  $T(a,b) = (a_1, b_1, \min(a_2 + b_2, 1))$  for all  $a = (a_1, a_2), b = (b_1, b_2) \in L^*$  and  $\mu, \nu$  be measure and non-measure distributions functions defined by

$$P_{\mu,\nu}(x,t) = (\mu_x(t), \nu_x(t)) = \left( \frac{t}{t + \|t\|}, \frac{\|x\|}{t + \|x\|} \right), \forall t \in R^+.$$

Then  $(X, P_{\mu,\nu}, T)$  is an IRN-space.

**Definition 2.10. [45]**

A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in an IRN-space  $(X, P_{\mu,\nu}, T)$  is called a *Cauchy sequence* if, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in N$  such that  $P_{\mu,\nu}(x_n - x_m, t) > L^*(N_s(\varepsilon), \varepsilon), \forall n, m \geq n_0$ , where  $N_s$  is the standard negator.

**Definition 2.11. [45]**

The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be *convergent* to a point  $x \in X$

(denoted by  $x_n \xrightarrow{P_{\mu,\nu}} x$ ) if  $P_{\mu,\nu}(x_n - x, t) \rightarrow 1_{L^*}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $t > 0$ .

**Definition 2.12. [45]**

An IFN-space  $(X, P_{\mu,\nu}, T)$  is said to be *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is convergent to a point  $x \in X$ .

Now, we use the following notation for a given mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$

$$\Delta(x, y, z) = f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} - z\right) + f\left(\frac{y+z}{2} - x\right) + f\left(\frac{z+x}{2} - y\right) - \frac{3}{4}(f(x-y) + f(y-z) + f(z-x))$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

### 3. STABILITY RESULTS: DIRECT METHOD

In this section, the authors present the generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of the functional equation (1.2) in IRN- space using direct method.

Hereafter throughout this paper, let us consider  $X$  be a linear space and  $(Y, P_{\mu, \nu}, M)$  be a complete intuitionistic random normed space.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  for which there are  $\xi, \zeta: X^3 \rightarrow D^+$ ,  $\xi(x, y, z)$  is denoted by  $\xi_{x,y,z}$  and  $\zeta(x, y, z)$  is denoted by  $\zeta_{x,y,z}$ , further,  $(\xi_{x,y,z}(t), \zeta_{x,y,z}(t))$  is denoted by  $P'_{\xi, \zeta}(x, y, z, t)$  with the property

$$P_{\mu, \nu}(\Delta(x, y, z), t) \geq_{L^*} P'_{\xi, \zeta}(x, y, z, t) \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ . If

$$T_{i=1}^{\infty} P'_{\xi, \zeta}(2^{i+n}x, 2^{i+n}x, -2^{i+n}x, 2^{2(i+n)}t) = 1_{L^*} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P'_{\xi, \zeta}(2^n x, 2^n x, -2^n x, 2^{2n}t) = 1_{L^*} \tag{3.3}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and all  $t > 0$  then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the inequality

$$P_{\mu, \nu}(f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq_{L^*} P'_{\xi, \zeta}(2^i x, 2^i x, -2^i x, 2^{i+1}t) \tag{3.4}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* Replacing  $(x, y, z)$  by  $(x, x, -x)$  in (3.1), we get

$$P_{\mu, \nu}\left(\frac{f(2x)}{2^2} - f(x), \frac{t}{2^2}\right) \geq_{L^*} P'_{\xi, \zeta}(x, x, -x, t) \tag{3.5}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $2^n x$  and using (IRN3) in the above equation, we have

$$P_{\mu, \nu}\left(\frac{f(2^{n+1}x)}{2^{2(n+1)}} - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{2n}}, \frac{t}{2^{2(n+1)}}\right) \geq_{L^*} P'_{\xi, \zeta}(2^n x, 2^n x, -2^n x, t) \tag{3.6}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and all  $r > 0$  which implies that

$$P_{\mu, \nu}\left(\frac{f(2^{n+1}x)}{2^{2(n+1)}} - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{2n}}, \frac{t}{2^{n+1}}\right) \geq_{L^*} P'_{\xi, \zeta}(2^n x, 2^n x, -2^n x, 2^{n+1}t) \tag{3.7}$$

holds for all  $x \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ . As  $1 > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^n}$ , by the triangular inequality it follows

$$P_{\mu,\nu} \left( \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{2n}} - f(x), t \right) = T_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\{ P_{\mu,\nu} \left( \frac{f(2^{i+1} x)}{2^{2(i+1)}} - \frac{f(2^i x)}{2^{2i}}, \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{i+1}} t \right) \right\} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\geq_{L^*} T_{i=0}^n \left\{ P'_{\xi,\zeta} (2^i x, 2^i x, -2^i x, 2^{i+1} t) \right\}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ . In order to prove the convergence of the sequence

$\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{2n}} \right\}$ , replacing  $x$  by  $2^m x$  in (3.8), we obtain

$$P_{\mu,\nu} \left( \frac{f(2^{m+n} x)}{2^{2(m+n)}} - \frac{f(2^m x)}{2^{2m}}, t \right) \geq_{L^*} T_{i=1}^n \left\{ P'_{\xi,\zeta} (2^{i+m} x, 2^{i+m} x, -2^{i+m} x, 2^{i+2m+1} t) \right\} \quad (3.9)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and all  $t > 0$  and all  $m, n \geq 0$ . Since the right hand-side of the inequality tends

to  $1_{L^*}$  as  $m$  tends to infinity, the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{2n}} \right\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Therefore,

we may define the mapping  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$P_{\mu,\nu} \left( Q(x) - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{2n}}, t \right) \rightarrow 1_{L^*} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, t > 0$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Now, we prove that  $Q$  satisfies (1.2). Replacing  $(x, y, z)$  by  $(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)$  in (3.1), we get

$$P_{\mu,\nu} \left( \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \Delta(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z), t \right) \geq_{L^*} P'_{\xi,\zeta} (2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z, 2^{2n} t) \quad (3.10)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality and using the definition of  $Q(x)$ ,

we see that  $Q$  satisfies (1.2) for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Finally, to prove the uniqueness of the quadratic function  $Q(x)$  subject to (3.4), let us assume that there exists another quadratic function  $R(x)$  which satisfies (3.4). Obviously, we have  $Q(2^n x) = 2^{2n} Q(x)$  and  $R(2^n x) = 2^{2n} R(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, it follows from (3.4) that

$$P_{\mu,\nu} (Q(x) - R(x), t) \geq_{L^*} P_{\mu,\nu} (Q(2^n x) - R(2^n x), 2^{2n} t)$$

$$\geq_{L^*} T \left\{ P_{\mu,\nu} \left( Q(2^n x) - f(2^n x), \frac{2^{2n}}{2} t \right), P_{\mu,\nu} \left( f(2^n x) - R(2^n x), \frac{2^{2n}}{2} t \right) \right\}$$

$$\geq_{L^*} T \left( T_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( P'_{\xi,\zeta} (2^{i+n} x, 2^{i+n} x, -2^{i+n} x, 2^{i+2n+1} t) \right), T_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( P'_{\xi,\zeta} (2^{i+n} x, 2^{i+n} x, -2^{i+n} x, 2^{i+2n+1} t) \right) \right) \quad (3.11)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ . By letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.11), we find that  $Q = R$ . This completes the proof.



From Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following corollary concerning the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias and JMRassias stabilities for the functional equation (1.2).

**Corollary 3.2** Suppose that a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the inequality

$$P_{\mu,\nu}(\Delta(x, y, z), t) \geq_{L'} \begin{cases} P'_{\xi,\zeta}(\varepsilon, t), \\ P'_{\xi,\zeta}(\varepsilon \{ \|x\|^s + \|y\|^s + \|z\|^s \}, t), & s \neq 2; \\ P'_{\xi,\zeta}(\varepsilon \|x\|^s \|y\|^s \|z\|^s, t), & s \neq \frac{2}{3}; \\ P'_{\xi,\zeta}(\varepsilon \{ \|x\|^s \|y\|^s \|z\|^s + \{ \|x\|^{3s} + \|y\|^{3s} + \|z\|^{3s} \} \}, t), & s \neq \frac{2}{3} \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

for all all  $x, y, z \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ , where  $\varepsilon, s$  are constants with  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$P_{\mu,\nu}(f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq_{L'} \begin{cases} P'_{\xi,\zeta}(\varepsilon, |3|t), \\ P'_{\xi,\zeta}\left(\frac{3\varepsilon}{|2^2 - 2^s|} \|x\|^s, t\right), \\ P'_{\xi,\zeta}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|2^2 - 2^{3s}|} \|x\|^{3s}, t\right), \\ P'_{\xi,\zeta}\left(\frac{4\varepsilon}{|2^2 - 2^{3s}|} \|x\|^{3s}, t\right) \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ .

#### 4. STABILITY RESULTS: FIXED POINT METHOD

In this section, using the fixed point alternative approach, we prove the generalized Ulam - Hyers stability of the functional equation (1.2) in intuitionistic random normed spaces.

Now, we will recall the fundamental results in fixed point theory.

**Theorem 4.1** (Banach Contraction Principle)

Let  $(\Omega, d)$  is a non-Archimedean generalized complete metric space and consider a mapping  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  which is strictly contractive mapping, that is

$$(A1). \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq Ld(x, y), \text{ for all } x, y \in T \text{ for some (Lipschitz constant)}$$

$L < 1$ . Then,

- (i) The mapping  $T$  has one and only fixed point  $x^* = T(x^*)$ ;

(ii) The fixed point for each given element  $x^*$  is globally attractive, that is

$$(A2). \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = x^*, \text{ for any starting point } x \in \Omega;$$

(iii) One has the following estimation inequalities:

$$(A3). d(T^n x, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x), \forall n \geq 0, \forall x \in \Omega;$$

$$(A4). d(x, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(x, T x), \forall x \in \Omega. .$$

**Theorem 4.2** [13] (The alternative of fixed point)

Suppose that for a complete generalized metric space  $(A, d)$  and a strictly contractive mapping  $T: A \rightarrow A$  with Lipschitz constant  $L$ . Then, for each given element  $x \in A$ , either

$$(B1) \quad d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = \infty \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

or

(B2) there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that:

(i)  $d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) < \infty$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ ;

(ii) The sequence  $(T^n x)$  is convergent to a fixed point  $y^*$  of  $T$

(iii)  $y^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$  in the set  $Y = \{y \in A : d(T^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$ ;

(iv)  $d(y^*, y) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Ty)$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

Using above fixed point theorems to prove the stability results, we define the

$$\text{following constant } \delta_i = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $\Omega$  is the set such that

$$\Omega = \{g \mid g: X \rightarrow Y, g(0) = 0\}.$$

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping for which there exist a function  $\xi, \zeta: X^3 \rightarrow D^+$  with the condition

$$T_{i=1}^{\infty} P'_{\xi, \zeta} (2^{i+n} x, 2^{i+n} x, -2^{i+n} x, 2^{i-1+2n} t) = 1_t. \quad (4.1)$$

and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P'_{\xi, \zeta} (2^n x, 2^n x, -2^n x, 2^{2n} t) = 1_L$  (4.2)

and satisfying the functional inequality

$$P_{\mu, \nu} (\Delta(x, y, z), t) \geq_L P'_{\xi, \zeta} (x, y, z, t), \quad \forall x, y, z \in X, t > 0. \tag{4.3}$$

If there exists  $L$  such that the function

$$x \rightarrow \beta(x) = \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2} \tag{4.4}$$

has the property

$$P'_{\xi, \zeta} \left( \frac{L}{\delta_i^2} \beta(\delta_i x), t \right) = P'_{\xi, \zeta} (\beta(x), t), \quad \forall x \in X, t > 0, \tag{4.5}$$

then there exists unique quadratic function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying the functional equation (1.2) and

$$P_{\mu, \nu} (f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq_L P'_{\xi, \zeta} \left( \left( \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \right) \beta(x), t \right), \quad \forall x \in X, t > 0. \tag{4.6}$$

*Proof.* Let  $d$  be a general metric on  $\Omega$ , such that

$$d(g, h) = \inf \{ K \in (0, \infty) \mid P_{\mu, \nu} (g(x) - h(x), t) \geq_L P'_{\xi, \zeta} (K\beta(x), t), x \in X, t > 0 \}.$$

It is easy to see that  $(\Omega, d)$  is complete. Define  $\Gamma: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  by  $\Gamma g(x) = \frac{1}{\delta_i^2} g(\delta_i x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ . Now for all  $g, h \in \Omega$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(g, h) \leq K &\Rightarrow P_{\mu, \nu} (g(x) - h(x), t) \geq_L P'_{\xi, \zeta} (K\beta(x), t), \quad x \in X, \\ &\Rightarrow P_{\mu, \nu} \left( \frac{1}{\delta_i^2} g(\delta_i x) - \frac{1}{\delta_i^2} h(\delta_i x), t \right) \geq_L P'_{\mu, \nu} (K\beta(\delta_i x), \delta_i^2 t), \quad x \in X, \\ &\Rightarrow P_{\mu, \nu} \left( \frac{1}{\delta_i^2} g(\delta_i x) - \frac{1}{\delta_i^2} h(\delta_i x), t \right) \geq_L P'_{\mu, \nu} \left( \frac{K}{\delta_i^2} \beta(\delta_i x), t \right), \quad x \in X, \\ &\Rightarrow P_{\mu, \nu} (Tg(x) - Th(x), t) \geq_L P'_{\mu, \nu} (KL\beta(x), t), \quad x \in X, \\ &\Rightarrow d(Tg, Th) \leq LK. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

This gives  $d(Tg, Th) \leq Ld(g, h)$ , for all  $g, h \in \Omega$ , i.e.,  $T$  is a strictly contractive mapping of  $\Omega$  with Lipschitz constant  $L$ . Replacing  $(x, y, z)$  by  $(x, x, -x)$  in (4.3), we get

$$P_{\mu, \nu} (f(2x) - 2^2 f(x), t) \geq_L P'_{\xi, \zeta} (x, x, -x, t), \quad \forall x \in X, t > 0. \tag{4.8}$$

Using (IFN3) in (4.5), we arrive

$$P_{\mu,\nu} \left( \frac{f(2x)}{2^2} - f(x), t \right) \geq_{L^*} P'_{\xi,\zeta} (x, x, -x, 2^2 t), \quad \forall x \in X, t > 0. \quad (4.9)$$

With the help of (4.5), when  $i = 0$ , it follows from (4.8), that

$$P_{\mu,\nu} \left( \frac{f(2x)}{2^2} - f(x), t \right) \geq_{L^*} P'_{\xi,\zeta} (L\beta(x), t), \quad \forall x \in X, t > 0. \\ \Rightarrow d(f, \Gamma f) \leq L = L^1 = L^{1-i}. \quad (4.10)$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2}$  in (4.8) and using (IRN3), we obtain

$$P_{\mu,\nu} \left( f(x) - 2^2 f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), t \right) \geq_{L^*} P'_{\xi,\zeta} \left( \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}, t \right), \quad \forall x \in X, t > 0. \quad (4.11)$$

With the help of (4.5), when  $i = 1$ , it follows from (4.11), that

$$P_{\mu,\nu} \left( f(x) - 2^2 f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), t \right) \geq_{L^*} P'_{\xi,\zeta} (\beta(x), t), \quad \forall x \in X, t > 0. \\ \Rightarrow d(\Gamma f, f) \leq 1 = L^0 = L^{1-i}. \quad (4.12)$$

Then from (4.10) and (4.12), we can conclude

$$d(f, \Gamma f) \leq L^{1-i} < \infty.$$

Now from the fixed point alternative in both cases, it follows that there exists a fixed point  $Q$  of  $\Gamma$  in  $\Omega$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\mu,\nu} \left( \frac{1}{\delta_i^{2n}} f(\delta_i^n x) - Q(x), t \right) \rightarrow 1_{L^*}, \quad \forall x \in X, t > 0. \quad (4.13)$$

Replacing  $(x, y, z)$  by  $(\delta_i^n x, \delta_i^n y, \delta_i^n z)$  in (4.3), we arrive

$$P_{\mu,\nu} \left( \delta_i^{2n} \Delta(\delta_i^n x, \delta_i^n y, \delta_i^n z), r \right) \geq_{L^*} P'_{\xi,\zeta} (\delta_i^n x, \delta_i^n y, \delta_i^n z, \delta_i^{2n} t), \quad \forall x, y, z \in X, t > 0. \quad (4.14)$$

By proceeding the same procedure as in the Theorem 3.1, we can prove the function,  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.2). By fixed point alternative, since  $Q$  is unique fixed point of  $\Gamma$  in the set

$$\Delta = \{f \in \Omega \mid d(f, Q) < \infty\},$$

such that

$$P_{\mu,\nu} (f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq_{L^*} P'_{\xi,\zeta} (K\beta(x), t), \quad \forall x \in X, t > 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Again using the fixed point alternative, we obtain

$$d(f, Q) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, \Gamma f) \Rightarrow d(f, Q) \leq \frac{L^{-i}}{1-L}.$$

Hence, we have

$$P_{\mu, \nu} (f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq_{L^s} P'_{\xi, \zeta} \left( \left( \frac{L^{-i}}{1-L} \right) \beta(x), t \right), \quad \forall x \in X, t > 0. \tag{4.16}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

From Theorem 4.3, we obtain the following corollary concerning the stability for the functional equation (1.2).

**Corollary 4.4** Suppose that a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the inequality

$$P_{\mu, \nu} (\Delta(x, y, z), t) \geq_{L^s} \begin{cases} P'_{\xi, \zeta} (\varepsilon, t), \\ P'_{\xi, \zeta} (\varepsilon \{ \|x\|^s + \|y\|^s + \|z\|^s \}, t), & s \neq 2; \\ P'_{\xi, \zeta} (\varepsilon \|x\|^s \|y\|^s \|z\|^s, t), & s \neq \frac{2}{3}; \\ P'_{\xi, \zeta} (\varepsilon \{ \|x\|^s \|y\|^s \|z\|^s + \{ \|x\|^{3s} + \|y\|^{3s} + \|z\|^{3s} \} \}, t), & s \neq \frac{2}{3} \end{cases} \tag{4.17}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ , where  $\varepsilon, s$  are constants with  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$P_{\mu, \nu} (f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq_{L^s} \begin{cases} P'_{\xi, \zeta} (\varepsilon, |3|t), \\ P'_{\xi, \zeta} \left( \frac{3\varepsilon}{|2^2 - 2^s|} \|x\|^s, t \right), \\ P'_{\xi, \zeta} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{|2^2 - 2^{3s}|} \|x\|^{3s}, t \right), \\ P'_{\xi, \zeta} \left( \frac{4\varepsilon}{|2^2 - 2^{3s}|} \|x\|^{3s}, t \right) \end{cases} \tag{4.18}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ .

**REFERENCES**

[1] Abasalt Bodaghi and Sang Og Kim, Approximation on the quadratic reciprocal functional equation, Journal of Function Spaces, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/532463>.

- [2] J. Aczel and J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ, Press, 1989.
- [3] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 2 (1950), 64-66.
- [4] M. Arunkumar and S. Karthikeyan, Solution and Intuitionistic Fuzzy Stability of n-Dimensional Quadratic Functional Equation: Direct and Fixed Point Methods, *Int. J. of Advanced Mathematical Sciences*, 2 (1) (2014), 21-33.
- [5] M. Arunkumar and S. Karthikeyan, Solution and stability of a reciprocal functional equation originating from n-consecutive terms of a harmonic progression: Direct and Fixed Point methods, *Int. J. of Information Science and Intelligent System*, 3(1) (2014), 151-168.
- [6] M. Arunkumar, S. Karthikeyan and S. Ramamoorthi, Generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of n-dimensional cubic functional equation in FNS and RNS: various methods, *Middle-East J of Scientific Research*, 24 (S2) (2016), 386-404.
- [7] M. Arunkumar, John M. Rassias and S. Karthikeyan, Stability of a Leibniz type additive and quadratic functional equation in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces, *Advances in theoretical and applied mathematics*, 11 (2016), No.2, 145-169.
- [8] K.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20 (1986), 87-96.
- [9] T. Bag and S.K. Samanta, Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces, *J. Fuzzy Math.* 11 (3) (2003), 687-705.
- [10] T. Bag and S.K. Samanta, Fuzzy bounded linear operators, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 151 (2005) 513-547.
- [11] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 4 (2003), no. 1, Article 4, 7 pp. (electronic).
- [12] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, Fixed point methods for the generalized stability of functional equations in a single variable, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2008, Article ID 749392, (2008), 15 pages.
- [13] L.C.ădariu V. Radu, A generalized point method for the stability of Cauchy functional equation, In *Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Th. M. Rassias, J. Brzdek (Eds.), Series Springer Optimization and Its Applications, 52, 2011.
- [14] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach, in *Iteration theory (ECIT '02)*, 43{52, *Grazer Math. Ber.*, 346, Karl-Franzens-Univ. Graz, Graz.
- [15] S.S. Chang, Y. Cho, Y. Kang, *Nonlinear Operator Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, Nova Science Publishers Inc., New York (2001).

- [16] S.S. Chang, J.M. Rassias, R. Saadati, The stability of the cubic functional equation in intuitionistic random normed spaces, *Appl Math Mech.* 31, 2126 (2010).
- [17] S.C. Cheng and J.N. Mordeson, Fuzzy linear operator and fuzzy normed linear spaces, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, 86 (1994), 429-436.
- [18] S.Czerwik, On the stability of the quadratic mappings in normed spaces, *Abh.Math.Sem.Univ Hamburg.*, 62 (1992), 59-64.
- [19] S. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scienti\_c, River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [20] G. Deschrijver and E.E. Kerre, On the relationship between some extensions of fuzzy set theory, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 23 (2003), 227-235.
- [21] P. Gavruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 184 (1994), 431-436.
- [22] O. Hadzic, E. Pap, *Fixed Point Theory in PM-Spaces*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (2001).
- [23] K. Hensel, *Uber eine neue Begrundung der Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen*, *Jahres Deutsch Math Verein.*,6 (1897), 83-88.
- [24] D.H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc.Nat. Acad.Sci.,U.S.A.*, 27 (1941) 222-224.
- [25] S.M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, 2001.
- [26] Pl. Kannappan, *Functional Equations and Inequalities with Applications*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2009.
- [27] A.K. Katsaras, Fuzzy topological vector spaces II, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 12 (1984), 143-154.
- [28] I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetica*, 11 (1975), 326-334.
- [29] S. Kutukcu, A. Tuna, A.T. Yakut, Generalized contraction mapping principle in intuitionistic Menger spaces and application to differential equations, *Appl Math Mech.*, 28 (2007), 799-809. doi:10.1007/s10483-007-0610-z.
- [30] B. Margolis and J. B. Diaz, A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol.126 (1968), no.74, 305-309.
- [31] D. Mihet, V. Radu On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces,*J Math Anal Appl.*, 343 (2008), 567-572.
- [32] A.K. Mirmostafae and M.S. Moslehian, Fuzzy versions of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias theorem, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, Vol. 159 (2008), no.6, 720-729.

- [33] C. Park, Madjid Eshaghi Gordji, M. Ghanifard and H. Khodaei, Intuitionistic random almost additive-quadratic mappings, *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2012, 2012:152.
- [34] J.H. Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 22 (2004),1039-1046.
- [35] J.M. Rassias, On approximately of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *J. Funct. Anal.* 46, (1982) 126-130.
- [36] J.M. Rassias, R. Saadati, G. Sadeghi and J. Vahidi, On nonlinear stability in various random normed spaces, *J. of inequalities and applications*, 2011, 2011:62.
- [37] J. M. Rassias, M. Arunkumar and S. Karthikeyan, Stability of a quadratic functional equation originating from sum of the medians of a triangle in fuzzy ternary Banach algebras: Direct and fixed point methods, *Int. J. of Math. and its Appl.* 3 (2015), no. 4A, 45-64.
- [38] J. M. Rassias, M. Arunkumar and S. Karthikeyan, Ulam-Hyers Stability of Quadratic Reciprocal Functional Equation in Intuitionistic Random Normed spaces: Various Methods, *Malaya J. Mat.* 5 (2) (2017), 293-304.
- [39] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc.Amer.Math. Soc.*, 72 (1978), 297-300.
- [40] K. Ravi, M. Arunkumar and J.M. Rassias, On the Ulam stability for the orthogonally general Euler-Lagrange type functional equation, *Int. J. of Mathematical Sciences*, Autumn 2008 Vol.3, No. 08, 36-47.
- [41] K. Ravi, J.M. Rassias, Abasalt Bodaghi and B.V. Senthil Kumar, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Stability of a Reciprocal Quadratic Functional Equation, *Int. J. of Applied Science and Mathematics*, Volume 1, No. 1, 2014, 9-14.
- [42] R. Saadati and J.H. Park, On the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 27 (2006), 331-344.
- [43] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, Elsevier, North Holland, New York, 1983.
- [44] A.N. Serstnev, On the notion of a random normed space, *Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR*, 149 (1963), 280-283.
- [45] S.Shakeri, Intuitionstic fuzzy stability of Jensen type mapping, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appli.* Vol.2 No. 2 (2009), 105-112.
- [46] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions,Wiley, NewYork, 1964 (Chapter VI, Some Questions in Analysis: 1, Stability).