

## **Impact of TQM practices on Economic Performance at Working Pig Iron Firms of Karnataka**

**K C Prashantha**

*Research Scholar, Rayalaseema University, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh, India.  
Email: prashanth@vskub.ac.in*

### **Abstract**

Steel production is considered as the forefront indicator of the economy in the contemporary world. India has been fetching an impressive share in the steel market. However techno-economic efficiency has been somewhere pro-castigating competitive advantage of Indian iron and steel sector over competitors like China. As proved by Japanese, the left option for enhancing competitive advantage is quality management through Total Quality Management. The present study focussed on extent of TQM practices and their impact on economic performance at iron and steel firms in Karnataka region. The general objective of this research is to portray the picture of TQM at Iron and steel firms of India and it also intends to address the quality issues of the firms at the backward region like Hyderabad-Karnataka. In this regard, study emphasizes relationship between widely used TQM practices and economic performance indicators using correlation and regression analysis.

**Keywords:** TQM practices, economic performance, economic performance indicators, etc.

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Steel besides alloy is referred as the backbone of human civilization, as it has been serving mankind for its social, cultural, political and economical needs. At modern times, it is considered to be crucial for the development of any economy. Steel is shining up to the extent that any country's socio-economic development and standard of living is also determined by its per-capita consumption. According to World Steel Association, the global steel demand is estimated to realize 3000 million tons in 2025. The past growth in production and consumption of steel has largely been at the cornerstone of the heightened economic activity in the emerging economies,

especially China, whose demand remains a pivotal factor driving the global steel industry.

The steel industry in India has also carved a rapid rise in production over the past few years through capacity enhancement. This resulted India becoming the third largest producer of crude steel (after China and Japan) and the largest producer of sponge iron in the world. However India needs to further concentrate and improve its share in the major import markets, there are import markets where India has export competitiveness, but the exports are at relatively at lower levels. These markets are the potential growth drivers for iron and steel exports of India, which need to be strategically targeted.

However the preceding facts in terms of techno economic efficiency of operations reveal that our steel making units are nowhere near their global competitors. In order to improve the sectoral performance and competitiveness there is an urgent need to address its basic structural and operational constraints irrespective of equity size and nature of operations. Therefore in the epoch of buyer's market, irrespective of nature of organizations opened themselves to understand, learn and formulate a road map for quality is the only strategy left behind. This paved the way for the surge of quality and become the integral part of blood stream of the enterprises. The manifold competition of quality has pulled firms to search nitty-gritty to stay competitive. Accordingly, many enterprises made an attempt to adopt and implement a set of management practices that help them to improve the efficiency of organizational operations. One of the popular and effective management practices is TQM.

Though a lot of research work has been carried out in the field of ISO and TQM, it gives still an obscured picture of Indian iron and steel firms. This holds true too in case of regional industrial sector of Hyderabad- Karnataka region, where sponge iron firms attrition rate is high. If no effort is made to assist the said firms especially at the Hyderabad- Karnataka region, attrition rate overtime can increase the casualties in the current business environment and make the region still weaker. Hence it is decided to carry out a detailed research with the help of a survey of iron and steel firms with a view to assess as to how are they managing the issue of Quality? And help firms to implement and practice TQM successfully and allow them to reap the benefits always been embraced by developed economies.

At the outset, steel industry of Hyderabad- Karnataka region is having more than 30 registered units is competent with other developed regions of the country and have the capability to take away the tagline of backward region with the help of competitive advantage supplemented by implementing TQM in their systems of practice. Hence, the research was incepted to address these problems.

### **1.1 Need and importance of the study**

After all, the issue in Indian steel industry is not the shortage of resources, rich scientific and technical manpower but quenching the thirst of assistance and

nourishment of firms through understanding and implementing TQM and allowing them gain the sustainable competitive advantage as that of the developed economies. The following two needs of the study to be accomplished are presented.

- a. Upgrade the total quality aspect of iron and steel firms in terms of organizational effectiveness so as to improve the economy by meeting the growing demands of domestic and global markets, domestic consumption and exports.
- b. Provide quality impetus of quality to the iron and steel firms of the economically backward regions like the Hyderabad- Karnataka region so as to debug the economy oriented regional imbalance.
- c. Contribute to the knowledge of TQM effectiveness.

## **1.2 Statement of the problem**

The study makes an attempt to know the extent of TQM implementation in iron and steel firms. Accordingly this study is embodied as 'Impact of TQM practices on economic performance at Working Iron and Steel Firms of Hyderabad-Karnataka Region'

## **1.3 Objectives of the study**

1. To perceive TQM perspective of Indian Iron and steel industry.
2. To examine TQM impact on economic performance at working iron and steel firms in the select districts of Hyderabad-Karnataka region.

## **1.4 Hypothesis of the study**

H0: There is no significant impact of TQM practices on Economic Performance.

H1: There is a significant impact of TQM Practices on Economic Performance.

## **1.5 Scope of the study**

The scope of the study encompassed working steel industry, iron and steel firms, Total Quality Management, Implementation, Organizational Effectiveness, Respondents of all the twenty one working firms (out of 38 firms registered, 17 were locked out) in Ballari and Koppal districts of Hyderabad- Karnataka region. It also included the environment and ecosystem of steel industry in general and iron and steel firms in particular. Going further the causal relationship between TQM implementation and economic performance were measured by using established models and theories apart from scaling techniques. Specifically the geographical region of the study was confined to Ballari and Koppal districts of Hyderabad-Karnataka region, as iron and steel firms are located in these two districts only.

## **1.6 Methodology**

### **1. Type of research**

The proposed study adopted descriptive study, survey and cause and effect study.

### **2. Sampling**

Universe: i. Working iron and steel firms in Ballari and Koppal districts

Sample units: i. Executives. ii. Non executives.

### Composition of samples

| Sl. No. | Categories of Respondents  | Size     | Sampling Technique   |
|---------|--|----------|----------------------|
| 1.      | Working iron and steel firms in Ballari and Koppal districts of Hyderabad Karnataka-region | 21 firms | Purposive sampling   |
| 2.      | Top Management and Executives.<br>(05 respondents from each firm)                          | 105      | Judgemental Sampling |
| 4.      | Non- Executives (10 respondents from each firm)  | 210      |                      |

### 3. Data collection

#### a. Secondary Data.

The secondary data for the study included books, articles, reports, journals, magazines, news papers, published and unpublished thesis, e-resources on the topic of the study.

#### b. Primary Data.

The primary data was collected on the TQM implementation in the working iron and steel firms of Ballari and Koppal districts of Hyderabad Karnataka region under the study. It used the research instruments of questionnaire, schedule and personal interviewing of the respondents. E mail survey was also resorted to.

### 4. Plan of analysis

The collected data was analyzed with the help of statistical tools and techniques such as averages, percentages, dispersion, correlation, multiple regressions, factor analysis, cluster analysis, Cronbach alfa and the like. Wherever necessary, tables, charts, graphs, diagrams and figures were used. SPSS and AAR software were also used for statistical analysis.

#### 1.7 Limitations of the study

- The validity and reliability assessment and analysis performed are based on 30 selected companies only.
- For measuring TQM examination time tested and universally acceptable measurement tools are very rare. Therefore the measurement results under the study are only approximate are not accurate.
- At times “what is” (fact) is equated with “what should be” (value)

#### 1.7 Literature Survey

Zhihai Zhang (1993) in his research work investigated the effects of TQM implementation on overall business performance in Chinese manufacturing firms and obtained a TQM implementation model for Chinese manufacturing firms. The study was carried out by

- Developing TQM implementation constructs and TQM practices;
- Developing overall business performance constructs;
- Designing evaluation models, to measure the extent of TQM implementation, TQM practices and impact of TQM implementation on overall business performance.

The study found out that TQM implementation has positive effects on overall business performance and not necessarily all TQM elements to be present to ensure the success of the TQM programs and overall business performance

Shivraj kumar (2014) in his research work tried to determine the extent to which TQM and organizational effectiveness are correlated to each other and expounded how TQM impacts various phases of business planning. The study considered very general constructs of TQM implementation and organizational effectiveness in its way. TQM constructs encompass commitment, culture, continuous improvement, co-operation, customer focus and control. As per their nature, some do not confirm the characteristics of construct

Yogesh A Chauhan (2013) in his research work gave a detailed picture of quality management issue of manufacturing SMEs in terms of TQM apart from ISO 9000 and other off –record practices. It emphasized the exploration, development and ranking of constructs in TQM, benefits and difficulties and help the SMEs to grow in terms of their business, working system, employee satisfaction, customer satisfaction etc.

Darshana S Shiroya (2015) surveyed on “TQM practices and performance in ISO certified manufacturing facilities”. The researcher was curious in exploring, developing and examining TQM practices/ critical factors and their impact on quality, business and organizational performances. Extensive literature was reviewed in exploring and developing the plausible 16 elements of 10 TQM practices.

Saraph et al. (1989) conducted a study on “A Instrument for Measuring the Critical Factors of Quality Management”. They explored, examined and developed eight CSFs of quality management referring to twenty two manufacturing and service organizations in the USA.

Shekoufeh Nekouezadeh et al. (2013) conducted a research on ‘the impact of TQM on organizational performance of telecommunication industry in Iran’. The principal aim was to determine the correlation between TQM practices and the organizational, quality and innovation performances. Researchers explored, examined and developed TQM practices and variables of package of performances.

Flynn et al. (1994) conducted a research on “A framework for quality management research and an associated measurement instrument”. They explored and developed six CSFs of TQM which encompasses of quality information system, process management, product design, workforce management, supplier involvement and

customer involvement. They expounded that top management support creates a congenial environment for quality activities to surge.

Ali Bakhit Jaafreh. (2012) in his research work ‘the effect of quality management practices on organizational performance on Jordan banking sector’ set an aim to examine the extent to which quality management practices and organizational performance are correlated and to determine the impact of the practices on organizational performance.

Powell (1995) made a study on “Total quality management as competitive advantage: A review and empirical study”. He revealed that the successful implementation of TQM is dependent on certain tactics, behaviour, features and TQM tools and techniques like top management commitment, effective communications, employee involvement, training in quality, flexibility in manufacturing, process management, benchmarking and performance measurements for realizing competitive advantage.

S D Kalpande et al. (2013) conducted a survey on ‘Business performance of SMEs in Vidharabha and Khandesh region of India: A TQM implementation’. Researchers aimed at identifying importance of factors and sub-factors for successful implementation of TQM in SMEs. They explored and examined TQM components and factors influencing TQM implementation from the existing literature followed by using Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) for prioritization and operationalization.

Fuzi Abusa (2011) conducted a study on ‘TQM implementation and its impact on organizational performance: a case study of Libya’. He examined the correlation among TQM elements, TQM and organizational performance, Size & ISO 9000 and TQM implementation. The result showed that none of the six TQM elements have correlated with all organizational performance indicators, except Supplier quality management.

Antony Jiju, et al. (2002) conducted a research study on “Critical success factors of TQM implementation in Hong Kong industries”. This is an empirical study on the identification of the critical success factors (CSFs) of TQM implementation in Hong Kong industries. Through a thorough and detailed analysis of the literature, 11 success factors with 72 elements were identified to develop a questionnaire.

Yogesh A Chauhan et al (2014) surveyed ‘Perceptions of SMEs towards the key elements of TQM’. They focussed on examining and exploring the level of awareness and the importance SMEs have over key elements of TQM.

Bayazit (2003) conducted a study on “Total quality management practices in Turkish manufacturing organizations”. He expounded that upper management support, employee involvement and commitment, customer focus, quality education and training, teamwork and use of statistical techniques are the most critical factors for the successful TQM implementation in Turkish manufacturing organizations.

A Al Nofal et al (2013) conducted a study on ‘Critical factors of TQM: an update on the literature’ with an objective to present the most important factors of TQM implementation often emphasized by researchers, supported by the discourses of quality gurus. A wide range of comprehensive elements of TQM have listed.

Z. Irani, et al. (2004) surveyed on “Total Quality Management and Corporate Culture: constructs of organizational excellence”. In this effort, the researchers discuss the concept of corporate culture, place this social construct within the arena of TQM and highlight the relationships that exist among culture quality and competitiveness. T

Faisal Talib et al (2012) conducted a research on ‘Pareto Analysis of TQM factors critical to success for service industries’. They made an attempt to sort out critical success factors of TQM according to frequencies of their occurrences by applying Pareto analysis tool and list out vital few critical success factors of TQM.

Phan Chi Anh & Yoshiki Matsui (2006) made a research on “An empirical analysis of Quality management practices in Japanese Manufacturing Companies”. The aim was to investigate the utilization of quality management practices and its impact on quality performance and competitive performance.

Kanagi Kanapathy (2013) surveyed on ‘Critical factors of quality management used in research questionnaires: a review of literature’. He opined that quality expounders and gurus have used different combinations of critical factors or constructs of TQM to design questionnaires and to measure quality management practices in different parts of the world.

## **1.8 Results**

**1. Descriptive statistics on TQM Practices:** The following table shows the distribution of the responses against the list of TQM practices.

**Table 1: Table 1 Showing Frequency Distribution of responses against the list of TQM Practices**

| ID | TQM Practices                    | Frequency (Yes) | Percentage | Frequency (No) | Percentage |
|----|----------------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| A  | Top Management Commitment        | 311             | 98.7       | 4              | 1.3        |
| B  | Customer Focus                   | 299             | 94.9       | 16             | 5.1        |
| C  | Employee Empowerment/involvement | 301             | 95.6       | 14             | 4.4        |
| D  | Supplier Partnership             | 247             | 78.4       | 68             | 21.6       |

|   |                        |     |      |    |      |
|---|------------------------|-----|------|----|------|
| E | Continuous improvement | 269 | 85.4 | 46 | 14.6 |
| F | Quality Management     | 302 | 95.1 | 13 | 4.1  |
| G | Recognition and Reward | 266 | 84.4 | 49 | 15.6 |
| H | Education and Training | 262 | 83.2 | 53 | 16.8 |
| I | Process Management     | 279 | 88.6 | 36 | 11.4 |

**Chart 1.**  
**Chart 1 Showing Frequency Distribution of responses against the list of TQM Practices**




Table 1 illustrates the perception of respondents against list of TQM practices. On an average of approximately 90% of the respondents agreed that all the listed practices belonged to TQM. Among the practices, Top Management Commitment (TMC) led the response with 98.7% followed by Employee Empowerment (EE) and Quality Control (QM) with 95.6% and 95.1% respectively. The least response was given to Supplier Partnership (SP) with 78.4% followed by Education and Training (ET) and Recognition and Reward (RR) with 83.2% and 84.4% respectively. The remaining practices Customer Focus (CF), Process Management (PM) and Continuous Improvement (CI) placed in between with 94.9%, 88.6% and 85.4% respectively. This



indicates most of the respondents are aware of TQM practices and have the ability to practically respond to the technicalities of TQM.

**2. Mean and Standard deviation of TQM practices**

**Table -2**

| Variables                      | Maximum     | Minimum     | Mean        | Standard Deviation |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|
| <b>TQM Practices</b>           |             |             |             |                    |
| Top Management Commitment      | 5.00        | 3.14        | 4.24        | 0.35               |
| Customer Focus                 | 5.00        | 3.20        | 4.14        | 0.38               |
| Employee Empowerment           | 5.00        | 2.25        | 4.07        | 0.42               |
| Supplier Partnership           | 5.00        | 2.86        | 4.09        | 0.42               |
| Continuous Improvement         | 5.00        | 3.00        | 4.18        | 0.40               |
| Quality Control                | 5.00        | 3.00        | 4.34        | 0.52               |
| Recognition and Reward         | 5.00        | 2.33        | 4.13        | 0.41               |
| Education and Training         | 5.00        | 2.75        | 4.16        | 0.43               |
| Process Management             | 5.00        | 2.71        | 4.08        | 0.36               |
| <b>TQM Practices (overall)</b> | <b>5.00</b> | <b>3.33</b> | <b>4.14</b> | <b>0.31</b>        |

**3. Correlation between TQM Practices and Quality Performance Measures**

**Table 3: Correlation between TQM Practices and Economic Performance Measures**

| TQM Practices (Independent Variables)   | Economic Performance Measures |         |         |          |         |         |
|---|-------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
|   | EcP1                          | EcP2    | EcP3    | EcP4     | EcP5    | EcP6    |
| TMC   | 0.399**                       | 0.290** | 0.222** | 0.026    | 0.262** | 0.102   |
| CF  | 0.311**                       | 0.233** | 0.059   | 0.036    | 0.157** | 0.272** |
| EE  | 0.366**                       | 0.277** | 0.102   | 0.179**  | 0.233** | 0.432** |
| SP  | 0.345**                       | 0.276** | 0.122*  | 0.305**  | 0.185** | 0.198** |
| CI  | 0.350**                       | 0.340** | 0.145*  | -0.096   | 0.292** | 0.396** |
| QC  | 0.137*                        | 0.163** | 0.095   | -0.154** | 0.159** | 0.023   |
| RR  | 0.144*                        | 0.092   | 0.028   | 0.052    | 0.133*  | 0.281** |
| ET  | 0.147**                       | 0.087   | 0.196** | 0.042    | 0.166** | 0.134*  |
| PM  | 0.176**                       | 0.253** | 0.121*  | 0.234**  | 0.237** | 0.142*  |
| ** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).<br>* . Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed). |                               |         |         |          |         |         |

(EcP1-Productivity, EcP2-Profitability, EcP3- Shareholder value, EcP4-Earnings per share, EcP5-Market share and EcP6-Economic value addition)

Table 3 expounds that EcP1 and EcP5 led the preceding matrix by getting correlated with all the nine TQM practices followed by EcP2 and EcP5 with seven TQM practices each. The least correlation was achieved by EcP4 with four TQM practices followed by EcP3 with five practices. The Correlation matrix shows that highest correlation between variables is EE and EcP6 with  $r=0.432$ . The second highest correlation is between TMC and EcP1 with  $r=0.399$ . The third highest correlation is between CI and EcP6 ( $r=0.396$ ). Among all independent variables SP and PM are correlated with all the six dependent variables followed by EE and CI with five each. TMC, CF, QC and ET are correlated with four variables each and RR with three variables only. Among all independent variables, QC is correlated with EcP with negative correlation coefficient ( $r=-0.154$ ).

#### 4. Regression Analysis

To test the hypothesis, the first researcher has tested over all regression model by taking all the dependent variables (i.e. Productivity, Profitability, Shareholder value, Earnings per share, Market share and Economic value addition) and check that whether they are individually affected by conducting multiple regression analysis. The results are discussed below:

##### 4.1 Regression model

**H10:** There is no significant effect of TQM practices on economic performance.

**Table 4: Significant relationship between TQM Practices and Organizational Effectiveness in terms of Economic Performance Measures**

| TQM Practices (Independent Variables) | Economic Performance Measure |            |       |           |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|-------|-----------|
|                                       | B                            | Std. Error | B     | t         |
| Constant                              | 1.441                        | 0.299      |       | 4.826     |
| Top Management Commitment (TMC)       |                              |            |       |           |
| Customer Focus (CF)                   |                              |            |       |           |
| Employee Empowerment (EE)             | .303                         | .085       | .293  | 3.565*    |
| Supplier Partnership (SP)             | .225                         | .076       | .217  | 2.984**   |
| Continuous Improvement (CI)           | .297                         | .081       | .271  | 3.664*    |
| Quality Control (QC)                  | -.111                        | .051       | -.131 | -2.167*** |
| Recognition and Reward (RR)           | -.390                        | .086       | -.362 | -4.546**  |
| Education and Training (ET)           |                              |            |       |           |
| Process Management (PM)               | .170                         | .080       | .140  | 2.131***  |
| R                                     | 0.563                        |            |       |           |
| R <sup>2</sup>                        | 0.316                        |            |       |           |
| F                                     | 15.690                       |            |       |           |
| Sign. (p value)                       | 0.000                        |            |       |           |

Note: Significance: \*  $p < .001$ ; \*\*  $p < .01$ ; \*\*\*  $p < .05$ .

Table 4 shows that economic performance is explained by the regression model as evident from R-square value of 0.316. The model indicates that 31.6% of the variations in economic performance can be explained using TQM practices. The p-value is less than 0.05; it can be argued that the model is valid for using linear regression. Since p-value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis has been rejected and there exists the relationship between defects rate and TQM practices. The regression coefficient expounds that the TQM practices are having capacity to predict defects rate and the extent of the contribution power. Employee Empowerment, Supplier Partnership, Continuous Improvement, Quality Control, Recognition and Reward and Process Management are statistically significant with p-value less than 0.05. So at 5% significance level of significance null hypothesis is rejected which shows that there is a relationship between these six practices and economic performance and alternative hypothesis is accepted which is as under.

**H1:** There is statistically significant impact of TQM practices on economic performance.

**1.9 Findings witnessed between TQM practices and Economic Performance**

In this study Economic performance improvement is measured by,

- a. Improved productivity (EcP1)
- b. Increased profitability (EcP2)
- c. Increased shareholder value (EcP3)
- d. Increased earnings per share (EcP4)
- e. Improved market share (EcP5)
- f. Increased economic value addition (EcP6)

Out of the nine TQM practices empirically identified in the study, the TQM practices which have influenced on economic performance are varied as discussed below:

**Table 5: Regression Coefficient between significant TQM practices and Economic performance**

| ID   | Organizational Effectiveness Indicators | Regression coefficient (R Square) | Variance in% | Significant TQM Practices |
|------|---|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| EcP  | Economic Performance                    | 0.316                             | 31.6         | EE, CI                    |
| EcP1 | Productivity                            | 0.279                             | 27.9         | CF, CI, RR                |
| EcP2 | Profitability                           | 0.238                             | 23.8         | CI, RR                    |
| EcP3 | Shareholder value creation              | 0.95                              | 9.5          | TMC                       |
| EcP4 | Earnings per share                      | 0.288                             | 28.8         | SP, CI, QC                |
| EcP5 | Market share                            | 0.132                             | 13.2         | CI                        |
| EcP6 | Economic value addition                 | 0.298                             | 29.8         | CI, TMC                   |

1. TQM practice CI has an effect on economic performance in terms of:
  - a. Improved productivity (EcP1)
  - b. Increased profitability (EcP2)
  - c. Increased earnings per share (EcP4)
  - d. Improved market share (EcP5)
  - e. Increased economic value addition (EcP6)
  
2. TQM practice RR has an effect on economic performance in terms of:
  - a. Improved productivity (EcP1)
  - b. Increased profitability (EcP2)
  - c. Increased shareholder value (EcP3)
  - d. Improved market share (EcP5)
  
3. TQM practice PM has an effect on economic performance in terms of:
  - a. Increased profitability (EcP2)
  - b. Increased earnings per share (EcP4)
  - c. Improved market share (EcP5)
  - d. Increased economic value addition (EcP6)
  
4. TQM practice SP has an effect on economic performance in terms of:
  - a. Increased profitability (EcP2)
  - b. Increased earnings per share (EcP4)
  - c. Increased economic value addition (EcP6)
  
5. TQM practice TMC has an effect on economic performance in terms of:
  - a. Increased shareholder value (EcP3)
  - b. Increased economic value addition (EcP6)
  
6. TQM practice CF has an effect on economic performance in terms of:
  - a. Improved productivity (EcP1)
  - b. Increased economic value addition (EcP6)
  
7. TQM practice QC has an effect on economic performance in terms of:
  - a. Increased earnings per share (EcP4)
  - b. Increased economic value addition (EcP6)
  
8. TQM practice CI has an effect on economic performance in terms of:
  - a. Increased profitability (EcP2)
  - b. Increased shareholder value (EcP3)
  
9. TQM practice EE has an effect on economic performance in terms of:
  - a. Improved productivity (EcP1)

The overall regression model showing relationship of TQM practices and economic performance (Table 5) shows that out of the above nine TQM practices EE, SP, CI,

QC, RR and PM are significant. TMC CF and ET are not statistically significant. They explain 31.6% variance ( $F= 4.826$ ,  $t= 7.372$   $p<.001$ ).

### **1.10 Conclusion:**

TQM is not confined to production process and production & quality departments only; instead it is beyond all these. The employees of Indian iron and steel industry are aware of the holistic and strategic nature of TQM and most of firms in the industry follow quality management practices, but with different natures. Among the contemporary TQM practices, Top management commitment and Employee empowerment are popular ones in the industry; but the firms have no idea of the impact of practices supplier partnership in quality management. Among the contemporary TQM tools and techniques, the firms still are fond of traditional tools such as cause and effect diagram, quality circles; but the modern and advanced techniques such as quality function deployment, failure mode effect analysis are remote in their knowledge base. The TQM practices namely Continuous Improvement, Process Management and Recognition and reward jointly helps to improvise organizational effectiveness in terms of economic performance of the firms by increased economic value addition in the products and productivity in the processes, thereby increased the market share and profitability and ultimately increased earnings per share and share holder value.

### **References**

- [1] Dale H Besterfield (2012). *Total Quality Management*. New Delhi: Pearson Education.
- [2] K Shridhar Bhat,(2008). *Total Quality Management (text & cases)*. Mumbai: Himaliya Publishing House.
- [3] N Logothetis (1999). *Managing for Total Quality*. New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India.
- [4] V. K Khanna (2009). *TQM; Planning, Design and Implementation*. New Delhi: New Age
- [5] Zhihai Zhang. 1993, Implementation of TQM: an empirical study of Chinese manufacturing firms, Master thesis, Aan de Rijk University, China.
- [6] Shivraj kumar et al. 2014. Implementation of TQM for improving organizational effectiveness. International journal of application or innovation in engineering and management. vol-3, issue-9.
- [7] Yogesh A Chauhan, 2013, Quality engineering approach through ISO 9001 and TQM: a comparative study of small and medium scale manufacturing industries, Master thesis, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidyanagar.
- [8] Bayazit, O. (2003). Total quality management (TQM) practices in Turkish manufacturing organizations. The TQM Magazine (Vol. 15, No. 5, pp. 345-350).

- [10] Darshana S Shiroya, 2015, Study of TQM practices and performance in selected ISO 9001 certified manufacturing facilities in Gujrat, Master Thesis, Veer Narmad South Gujrat University, Surat.
- [11] Saraph, J.V. & Benson, P.G. (1989). A Instrument for Measuring the Critical Factors of Quality Management. *Decision Science* (Vol. 20, No. 4, pp. 266-274).
- [12] Shekoufeh Nekoueizadeh & Siavash Esmaili (2013). A study of the impact of TQM on organizational performance of telecommunication industry in Iran. *European online journal of Natural and Social Sciences*. (Vol.2, No.3, pp. 968-978).
- [13] Flynn, B. B. & Schoeder, R. G. (1994). A framework for quality management research and an associated measurement instrument. *Journal of Operations Management* (Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 339-366).
- [14] Ali Bakhit Jaafreh. (2012). The effect of quality management practices on organizational performance on Jordan banking sector. *International journal of financial research*. (Vol.4, No. 1).
- [15] Powell, T. C. (1995). Total quality management as competitive advantage: a review and empirical study. *Strategic Management Journal* (Vol. 16, pp. 15-37).
- [16] S D Kalpande, R C Gupta and M D Dandekar. (2013). Business performance of SMEs in Vidharabha and Khandesh region of India: A TQM implementation. *Business and economic research*. (Vol.1, No.1).