

## **An Investigation of Some Common Fixed Point Theorems In Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces**

**Deepthi Sharma**

*Department of Mathematics, Ujjain Engineering College,  
Ujjain (M.P.), 456010, India.*

### **Abstract**

Some results regarding common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces are proved here in this paper. Our main result generalizes the outcomes of Turkoglu et al. [10] and other results which were proved in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

**AMS (2000) Subject Classification.** 54H25, 47H10.

**Keywords.** Weakly commuting maps, Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, common fixed point, R-weakly commuting mappings.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Park [7] defined intuitionistic metric space by using intuitionistic fuzzy set presented by Atanassov [3]. Manro et al. [6] established a common fixed point theorem for  $\phi$ -chainable intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces for weakly compatible maps. Samanta and Mohanta [8] defined  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$  IF- uniformly locally contractive maps and proved that such maps possess a fixed point. Ionescu et al. [5] found some contractions in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and proved fixed point results for these contraction classes. Chauhan et al. [4] produced common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible maps in modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces satisfying  $\phi$  contractive conditions.

Schweizer and Sklar [9] is referred in our paper for the definition of a binary operation  $*$  as continuous t-norm and another binary operation  $\diamond$  as continuous t-conorm. Alaca et al. [2] is used as a reference in our paper for the definition of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, Cauchy sequence and completeness of a sequence in this space. Turkoglu et al. [10] defined weakly commuting and R-weakly commuting mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space which are as follows:

**Definition 1.** [10] Let  $A$  and  $B$  be mappings from an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  into itself. The mappings  $A$  and  $B$  are said to be weakly commuting if,

$$M(ABx, BAx, t) \geq M(Ax, Bx, t), N(ABx, BAx, t) \leq N(Ax, Bx, t) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

**Definition 2.** [10] Let  $A$  and  $B$  be mappings from an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  into itself. The mappings  $A$  and  $B$  are said to be  $R$ -weakly commuting if there exists a positive real number  $R$  such that  $M(ABx, BAx, t) \geq M(Ax, Bx, t/R)$ ,  $N(ABx, BAx, t) \leq N(Ax, Bx, t/R)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Turkoglu et al. [10] proved that weak commutativity implies  $R$ -weak commutativity in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. However,  $R$ -weak commutativity implies weak commutativity only when  $R \leq 1$ .

Alaca et al. [1] proved the following two lemmas:

**Lemma 1.** [1] Let  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. If there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that  $M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t)$  and  $N(x, y, kt) \leq N(x, y, t)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $x = y$ .

**Lemma 2.** [1] Let  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. If there exists a number  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that  $M(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, kt) \geq M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)$  and  $N(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, kt) \leq N(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)$

for all  $t > 0$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  then  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Turkoglu et al. [10] proved the following result:

Let  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and  $f$  and  $g$  be  $R$ -weakly commuting mappings of  $X$  satisfying the following conditions: (i)  $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$ ; (ii)  $f$  or  $g$  is continuous; (iii) for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $0 < t < 1$ ,  $M(fx, fy, t) \geq c(M(gx, gy, t))$ ,  $N(fx, fy, t) \leq c'(N(gx, gy, t))$ , where  $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and  $c' : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a continuous function such that  $c(t) > t$  and  $c'(t) < t$ . If the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  are such that, for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$  implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, y_n, t) = M(x, y, t)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, y_n, t) = N(x, y, t)$ , then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

## MAIN RESULT.

In this paper, a result regarding common fixed point theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space is proved for  $R$ -weakly commuting mappings which is the generalization of the outcomes produced by Turkoglu et al. [10] and other results which were proved in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

**Theorem.** Let  $P, Q, T$  and  $S$  be self-mappings of a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  with continuous  $t$ -norm  $*$  defined by  $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ ,  $a, b \in [0, 1]$  and continuous  $t$ -conorm  $\diamond$  defined by  $a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$ ,  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ , fulfilling the conditions:

- (1)  $Q(X) \& S(X), P(X) \& T(X)$ ;
- (2)  $T$  and  $S$  are continuous;
- (3)  $(P, S)$  and  $(Q, T)$  are  $R$ -weakly commuting self-maps of  $X$ ;
- (4) for all  $x, y$  in  $X$  and  $t > 0$ , there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$M(Px, Qy, kt) \geq M(Sx, Ty, t) * M(Px, Sx, t) * M(Qy, Ty, t) * M(Sx, Qy, 2t) * M(Px, Ty, t) \text{ and}$$

$$N(Px, Qy, kt) \leq N(Sx, Ty, t) \diamond N(Px, Sx, t) \diamond N(Qy, Ty, t) \diamond N(Sx, Qy, 2t) \diamond N(Px, Ty, t);$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ , sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  are such that

- (5)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$  gives  
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, y_n, t) = M(x, y, t), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, y_n, t) = N(x, y, t).$

Then  $P, Q, T,$  and  $S$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $x_0$  is an arbitrary point in  $X$ . Since  $Q(X) \square S(X)$  and  $P(X) \square T(X)$ , there exists  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $Px_0 = Tx_1 = y_0$  and  $Qx_1 = Sx_2 = y_1$ . We form sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$y_{2n} = Px_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1} \text{ and } y_{2n+1} = Qx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} \text{ where } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Firstly we proceed to show  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

From (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt) &= M(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, kt) \\ &\geq M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t) * M(Px_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t) * M(Qx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t) * M(Sx_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, 2t) * M(Px_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t). \\ &= M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t) * M(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t) * M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}, 2t) * M(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, t) \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of continuous  $t$ -norm by Schweizer and Sklar [9] and definition of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space by Alaca et al. [2], we get

$$M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt) \geq M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t) \text{ or } M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt) \geq M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t)$$

Similarly From the definition of continuous  $t$ -conorm by Schweizer and Sklar [9] and definition of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space by Alaca et al. [2], we get  $N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt) \leq N(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t)$

So, we can conclude that

$$M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \geq M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \text{ and } N(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \leq N(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \text{ for all } t > 0. .$$

Therefore, from lemma 2,  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is complete, therefore  $\{y_n\}$  converges to some point  $z$  in  $X$ . Consequently, the subsequences  $\{Px_{2n-2}\}$ ,  $\{Tx_{2n-1}\}$ ,  $\{Qx_{2n-1}\}$  and  $\{Sx_{2n}\}$  converges to  $z$  in  $X$ . Since  $S$  is continuous,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SPx_{2n} = Sz$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SSx_{2n} = Sz$ . The pair  $(P, S)$  is  $R$ -weakly commuting, therefore

$$M(PSx_{2n}, SPx_{2n}, t) \geq M(Px_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t/R) \quad (i)$$

$$N(PSx_{2n}, SPx_{2n}, t) \leq N(Px_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t/R) \quad (ii)$$

Take  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (i) and (ii), we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} PSx_{2n} = Sz$ .

Put  $x = Sx_{2n}$  and  $y = x_{2n-1}$  in (4), let  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using above results, we get

$$M(Sz, z, kt) \geq M(Sz, z, t) \text{ and } N(Sz, z, kt) \leq N(Sz, z, t)$$

Now by Lemma 1, we get  $Sz = z$ .

As  $T$  is continuous, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TQx_{2n-1} = Tz$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TTx_{2n-1} = Tz$

As  $(Q, T)$  is  $R$ -weakly commuting

$$M(QTx_{2n-1}, TQx_{2n-1}, t) \geq M(Qx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}, t/R) \quad (iii)$$

$$N(QTx_{2n-1}, TQx_{2n-1}, t) \leq N(Qx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}, t/R) \quad (iv)$$

Take  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (iii) and (iv), we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} QTx_{2n-1} = Tz$ .

Put  $x = Sx_{2n}$  and  $y = Tx_{2n-1}$  in (4), let  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and use above results, we obtain  $M(Sz, Tz, kt) \geq M(Sz, Tz, t)$  and  $N(Sz, Tz, kt) \leq N(Sz, Tz, t)$ . Now using Lemma 1, we obtain  $Sz = Tz$ . Hence,  $Sz = Tz = z$ .

Put  $x = z$  and  $y = Tx_{2n-1}$  in (4), let  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and use above results, we obtain  $M(Pz, Tz, kt) \geq M(Pz, Tz, t)$  and  $N(Pz, Tz, kt) \leq N(Pz, Tz, t)$ . Now using Lemma 1, we obtain  $Pz = Tz$ . Hence  $Sz = Pz = Tz = z$ .

Again Put  $x = z$  and  $y = z$  in (4) and use above results, we obtain  $M(z, Qz, kt) \geq M(z, Qz, t)$  and  $N(z, Qz, kt) \leq N(z, Qz, t)$ . We obtain  $Qz = z$ . Hence  $Pz = Qz = Tz = Sz = z$ .

Which shows that common fixed point of  $P, Q, T$  and  $S$  is  $z$ .

### Now we prove Uniqueness of $z$ .

Assume that the mappings  $P, Q, T$  and  $S$  have  $u'$  as another common fixed point. So, we can write  $u' = Pu' = Qu' = Tu' = Su'$ . Put  $x = z$  and  $y = u'$  in (4) and use above results, we get  $M(z, u', kt) \geq M(z, u', t)$  and  $N(z, u', kt) \leq N(z, u', t)$

Hence  $z = u'$ . Thus,  $z$  is the unique common fixed point of  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$ .

**Corollary.** Let  $P, Q, T$  and  $S$  be self-mappings of a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  with continuous  $t$ -norm  $*$  defined by  $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ ,  $a, b \in [0,1]$  and continuous  $t$ -conorm  $\diamond$  defined by  $a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$ ,  $a, b \in [0,1]$ , satisfying the conditions (1), (2), (4), (5) of the above theorem and the pairs  $(P, S)$  and  $(Q, T)$  are weakly commuting self-mappings of  $X$ . Then  $P, Q, T$  and  $S$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$  as weak commutativity implies  $R$ -weak commutativity.

## CONCLUSION

In this work, we established a common fixed point theorem for  $R$ -weakly commuting mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space which is the generalization the outcome of Turkoglu et al. [10] and other results which were proved in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

## REFERENCES

1. Alaca, C., Altun, I. and Turkoglu, D., *On Compatible mappings of type ( I ) and type ( II ) in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., 23 (2008), 427-446.
2. Alaca, C., Turkoglu, D. and Yildiz, C., *Fixed points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos, Solitons and Factals 29 (2006), 1073-1078.
3. Atanassov, K., *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20 (1986), 87-96.
4. Chauhan, S., Shatanawi W., Kumar, S. and Radenovic S., *Existence and uniqueness of fixed points in modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 7(2014),28-41.
5. Ionescu C., Rezapour S. and Samei M.E., *Fixed points of some new contractions on intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Fixed point theory and applications, 2013(1) Article ID 168.
6. Manro S., Kumar S. and Singh S., *Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Scientific Research 1(6) (2010) Paper ID 3348.
7. Park, J. H., *Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos, Solitons and Factals (2004), 1039-1046.
8. Samanta T.K. and Mohinta S., *on fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces I*, Gen. Math. Notes, 3(2),(2011),1-12.
9. Schweizer, B. and Sklar, A., *Statistical metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 314 -334.
10. Turkoglu, D., Alaca, C., Cho Y. J. and Yildiz, C., *Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space*, J. Appl. Math. And Computing, 22 (2006), 411-424.

