

## A Note on Tensor Product of G-Frames

G. Upender Reddy<sup>1</sup> and N. Gopal Reddy<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Aurora's Technological and Research Institute,  
Uppal, Hyderabad, India*

*E-mail: upendergujjula@yahoo.co.in*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Anurag Group of Institutions,  
Ghatkesar, Hyderabad, India*

*E-mail: dr\_ngr@yahoo.com*

### Abstract

The tensor product of g-frames in tensor product of Hilbert spaces is introduced. It was shown that the tensor product of two g-frames is a g-frame for the tensor product of Hilbert spaces. The concept of tensor product of g-frame operator on tensor product of Hilbert space is given and results of it are presented.

**2000 Mathematics subject classification:** 41A58, 42C15, 42C99.

**Keywords:** Tensor product, g-frames, tensor product of g-frame operator.

### Introduction

Peter G. Casazza [1] presented a tutorial on frame theory and he suggested the major directions of research in frame theory. A. Najati and A. Rahimi [2] have developed the generalized frame theory and introduced methods for generating g-frames of a Hilbert space. G-frames are generalization of frames. W.Sun [3] presented characterizations of g-frames and proved that g-frames share many useful properties with frames. The tensor product of frames in tensor product of Hilbert spaces is introduced by G.Upender Reddy and N.Gopal Reddy [4]. They proved that the tensor product of two frames is a frame for the tensor product of Hilbert spaces and results on tensor frame operator are presented.

The tensor product of g-frames in tensor product of Hilbert spaces is introduced. It was shown that the tensor product of two g-frames is a g-frame for the tensor product of Hilbert spaces. The concept of tensor product of g-frame operator on tensor product of Hilbert space is given and results of it are presented.

### Preliminaries

Throughout this section  $\{H_j, j \in J\}$  will denote a sequence of Hilbert spaces.

Let  $L(H, H_j)$  be a collection all bounded linear operators from  $H$  to  $H_j$  and  $\{\Lambda_j \in L(H, H_j): j \in J\}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A sequence of operators  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  is said to be g-frame for Hilbert space  $H$  with respect to sequence of Hilbert spaces  $\{H_j, j \in J\}$ , if there exist two constants  $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ , for any vector  $h \in H$ ,

$$A \|h\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} \|\Lambda_j h\|^2 \leq B \|h\|^2 .$$

The above inequality is called a g-frame inequality. The numbers  $A$  and  $B$  are called the lower frame bound and upper frame bound respectively

**Definition 2.2.** A g-frame  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  for  $H$  is said to be g-tight frame if  $A = B$ . Then we have

$$A \|h\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|\Lambda_j h\|^2 , \text{ for all } h \in H.$$

**Definition 2.3.** A g-frame  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  for  $H$  is said to be g-normalized tight frame for  $H$  if  $A = B = 1$ . Then we have,

$$\|h\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|\Lambda_j h\|^2 , \text{ for all } h \in H.$$

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a g-frame for Hilbert space  $H$ . A g-frame operator

$S^g : H \rightarrow H$  is defined as

$$S^g h = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j h , \text{ for all } h \in H.$$

By using above definitions the following theorem on g-frame operator can be derived easily, so left to reader.

**Theorem 2.5.** Suppose  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a g-frame iff  $A I_{\text{op}} \leq S^g \leq B I_{\text{op}}$ .

### Tensor product of g-frames

In this section the tensor product of g-frames in tensor product of Hilbert spaces is introduced. It was shown that the tensor product of two g-frames is a g-frame for the tensor product of Hilbert spaces. The concept of tensor product of g-frame operator on tensor product of Hilbert space is given and results of it are presented.

Let  $H$  and  $K$  be two Hilbert spaces with inner products  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$  and norms  $\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2$  respectively. The tensor product of  $H$  and  $K$  is denoted by  $H \otimes K$  and is an inner product space with respect to the inner product

$$\langle h_1 \otimes h_2, k_1 \otimes k_2 \rangle = \langle h_1, k_1 \rangle_1 \langle h_2, k_2 \rangle_2$$

for all  $h_1, k_1 \in H_1$  and  $h_2, k_2 \in H_2$ . The norm on  $H \otimes K$  is defined by

$$\|h \otimes k\| = \|h\|_1 \|k\|_2 \quad \forall h \in H, k \in K.$$

The space  $H \otimes K$  is clearly completion with the above inner product. Therefore the space  $H \otimes K$  is a Hilbert space. We denote  $L(H, K)$  be the space of all bounded linear operators from  $H \rightarrow K$ . Let  $M \in L(H)$  and  $N \in L(K)$  be two operators, then the tensor product of operator  $M \otimes N$  acts on  $H \otimes K$  as

$$(M \otimes N)(h \otimes k) = Mh \otimes Nk$$

for every  $h \in H, k \in K$  and  $h \otimes k \in H \otimes K$ .

We note that if  $M_1, M_2 \in L(H), N_1, N_2 \in L(K)$  and  $M_1 \otimes N_1, M_2 \otimes N_2 \in L(H \otimes K)$  then  $(M_1 \otimes N_1)(M_2 \otimes N_2) = M_1 M_2 \otimes N_1 N_2$ .

In this paper we denote  $I_H$  is the identity operator on  $H$  and  $I_K$  is the identity operator on  $K$  then  $I_H \otimes I_K = I_{H \otimes K}$  is the identity operator on  $H \otimes K$ .

The following is the extension of (2.1) to the sequence of operators  $\{\Lambda_i \otimes \beta_j\}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  and  $\{\beta_j\}$  be the sequences of operators in Hilbert spaces  $H$  and  $K$  respectively. Then the sequence of operators  $\{\Lambda_i \otimes \beta_j\}$  is said to be a tensor product of g-frame for the tensor product of Hilbert spaces  $H \otimes K$ , if there exist two constants  $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ , such that

$$A \|h \otimes k\|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j} \|(\Lambda_i \otimes \beta_j)(h \otimes k)\|^2 \leq B \|h \otimes k\|^2, \text{ for all } h \otimes k \in H \otimes K.$$

The numbers  $A$  and  $B$  are called lower and upper frame bounds of the tensor product of g-frame respectively.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  and  $\{\beta_j\}$  be two g-frames for Hilbert spaces  $H$  and  $K$  with respect to  $\{H_i\}$  and  $\{K_j\}$ , respectively. Then  $\{\Lambda_i \otimes \beta_j\}$  is a tensor product of g-frame for  $H \otimes K$  with respect to  $\{H_i \oplus K_j\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  be a g-frame for  $H$  with frame bounds  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  with respect to  $\{H_i\}$  then, for all  $h \in H$

$$A_1 \|h\|^2 \leq \sum_i \|\Lambda_i h\|^2 \leq B_1 \|h\|^2 \quad (3.3)$$

Let  $\{\beta_j\}$  be a g-frame for  $K$  with frame bounds  $A_2$  and  $B_2$  with respect to  $\{K_j\}$ , then, for all  $k \in K$

$$A_2 \|k\|^2 \leq \sum_j \|\beta_j k\|^2 \leq B_2 \|k\|^2 \quad (3.4)$$

multiplying the equations (3.3) and (3.4), we get

$$A_1 A_2 \|h\|^2 \|k\|^2 \leq \left( \sum_i \|\Lambda_i h\|^2 \right) \left( \sum_j \|\beta_j k\|^2 \right) \leq B_1 B_2 \|h\|^2 \|k\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow A_1 A_2 \|h \otimes k\|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j} (\|\Lambda_i h\|^2 \|\beta_j k\|^2) \leq B_1 B_2 \|h \otimes k\|^2, \text{ for all } h \otimes k \in H \otimes K$$

$$\Rightarrow A_1 A_2 \|h \otimes k\|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j} \|(\Lambda_i h \otimes \beta_j k)\|^2 \leq B_1 B_2 \|h \otimes k\|^2, \text{ for all } h \otimes k \in H \otimes K$$

$$\Rightarrow A_1 A_2 \|h \otimes k\|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j} \|(\Lambda_i \otimes \beta_j)(h \otimes k)\|^2 \leq B_1 B_2 \|h \otimes k\|^2,$$

for all  $h \otimes k \in H \otimes K$

$\Rightarrow \{\Lambda_i \otimes \beta_j\}$  is a tensor product of g-frame for  $H \otimes K$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** If  $\{\Lambda_i \otimes \beta_j\}$  is a tensor product of g-frame for  $H \otimes K$  with respect to  $\{H_i \oplus K_j\}$ . Then  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  and  $\{\beta_j\}$  are g-frames for Hilbert spaces  $H$  and  $K$  with respect to  $\{H_i\}$  and  $\{K_j\}$ , respectively.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\{\Lambda_i \otimes \beta_j\}$  is a tensor product of g-frame for  $H \otimes K$  with frame bounds  $A$  and  $B$ . Then for each  $h \otimes k \in H \otimes K - \{0 \otimes 0\}$  for all  $h \in H, k \in K$

$$A \|h \otimes k\|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j} \|(\Lambda_i \otimes \beta_j)(h \otimes k)\|^2 \leq B \|h \otimes k\|^2, \text{ for all } h \otimes k \in H \otimes K.$$

$$\Rightarrow A \|h\|^2 \|k\|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j} \|(\Lambda_i h \otimes \beta_j k)\|^2 \leq B \|h\|^2 \|k\|^2, \text{ for all } h \otimes k \in H \otimes K.$$

$$\Rightarrow A \|h\|^2 \|k\|^2 \leq \left( \sum_i \|\Lambda_i h\|^2 \right) \left( \sum_j \|\beta_j k\|^2 \right) \leq B \|h\|^2 \|k\|^2, \text{ for all } h \otimes k \in H \otimes K.$$

Consider  $h \otimes k$  is a non zero vector i.e.  $h$  and  $k$  are non zero vectors, therefore the above inequality becomes

$$\Rightarrow \frac{A \|k\|^2}{\sum_j \|\beta_j k\|^2} \|h\|^2 \leq \left( \sum_i \|\Lambda_i h\|^2 \right) \leq \frac{B \|k\|^2}{\sum_j \|\beta_j k\|^2} \|h\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow A_1 \|h\|^2 \leq \sum_i \|\Lambda_i h\|^2 \leq B_1 \|h\|^2, \text{ for all } h \in H$$

$$\text{where } A_1 = \frac{A \|k\|^2}{\sum_j \|\beta_j k\|^2} \text{ and } B_1 = \frac{B \|k\|^2}{\sum_j \|\beta_j k\|^2}$$

which shows that  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  is a g-frame for H. Similarly we can prove that  $\{\beta_j\}$  is a g-frame for K.  $\square$

Hence we can have the following remark.

**Remark 3.6.** If the sequence of operators  $\{\Lambda_i\}, \{\beta_j\}$  and  $\{\Lambda_i \otimes \beta_j\}$  are the frames for the Hilbert spaces H, K and  $H \otimes K$  respectively and  $S_\Lambda^g, S_\beta^g$  and  $S_{\Lambda \otimes \beta}^g$  are the g-frame operators respectively of above frames, then from 2.4, we have the following.

$$S_\Lambda^g h = \sum_i \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i h, S_\beta^g k = \sum_j \beta_j^* \beta_j k \text{ and}$$

$$S_{\Lambda \otimes \beta}^g (h \otimes k) = \sum_{i,j} (\Lambda_i \otimes \beta_j)^* (\Lambda_i \otimes \beta_j) (h \otimes k)$$

for all  $h \in H, k \in K$  and  $h \otimes k \in H \otimes K$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** If  $\{\Lambda_i\}, \{\beta_j\}$  and  $\{\Lambda_i \otimes \beta_j\}$  are the g-frames for the Hilbert spaces H, K and  $H \otimes K$  with g-frame operators  $S_\Lambda^g, S_\beta^g$  and  $S_{\Lambda \otimes \beta}^g$  respectively, then

$$S_{\Lambda \otimes \beta}^g = S_\Lambda^g \otimes S_\beta^g.$$

**Proof.** For  $h \otimes k \in H \otimes K$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\Lambda \otimes \beta}^g (h \otimes k) &= \sum_{i,j} (\Lambda_i \otimes \beta_j)^* (\Lambda_i \otimes \beta_j) (h \otimes k) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (\Lambda_i^* \otimes \beta_j^*) (\Lambda_i h \otimes \beta_j k) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (\Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i h \oplus \beta_j^* \beta_j k) \\ &= \sum_i \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i h \otimes \sum_j \beta_j^* \beta_j k \\ &\Rightarrow S_{\Lambda \otimes \beta}^g = S_\Lambda^g \otimes S_\beta^g. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** If  $S_\Lambda^g$  and  $S_\beta^g$  are the g-frame operators for the g-frames  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  and  $\{\beta_j\}$  respectively, then  $A_1 A_2 I_{H \otimes K} \leq S_{\Lambda \otimes \beta}^g \leq B_1 B_2 I_{H \otimes K}$ .

Where  $I_H, I_k$  and  $I_{H \otimes K}$  are the identity operators on H, K and  $H \otimes K$  respectively.

**Proof.** Suppose  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  is a g-frame for  $H$  with frame bounds  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  with respect to  $\{H_i\}$  and  $\{\beta_j\}$  be a g-frame for  $K$  with frame bounds  $A_2$  and  $B_2$  with respect to  $\{K_j\}$ . Then by theorem 2.5, we have

$$A_1 I_H \leq S_{\Lambda}^g \leq B_1 I_H \text{ and}$$

$$A_2 I_k \leq S_{\beta}^g \leq B_2 I_k$$

By taking the tensor product of above two inequalities, we obtain the required result.  $\square$

## References

- [1] P. G. Casazza, "The Art of Frame theory", Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics Vol. 4, No.2(2000), pp 129-201.
- [2] A. Najati, and A. Rahimi, "Generalized frames in Hilbert spaces", Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society, Vol. 35, No. 1(2009), pp. 97-109.
- [3] W. Sun, "G-frames and G-Riesz bases, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 322(2006), 437-452.
- [4] G. Upender Reddy, N. Gopal Reddy and B. Krishna Reddy, Frame Operator and Hilbert-Schmidt Operator in Tensor Product of Hilbert Spaces, Journal of Dynamical Systems and Geometry Theories, Vol. 7, No.1, May 2009, 61-70.