

Related Fixed Point Theorems on Two Complete and Compact G-Metric Spaces

Gehad M. Abd-Elhamed^{1,2}

Department of Mathematics

¹College of Girls, Ain Shams University, Egypt

²College of Science and Humanities studies, Sattam Bin Abdul-Aziz University

Abstract

New results concerning the related fixed point theorems on two complete G-metric spaces are proved and deduced some corollaries. We prove also a related fixed point theorems on two compact G-metric spaces.

Keyword: Fixed point, Complete G-metric spaces, Compact G-metric spaces

1. INTRODUCTION

In [7],[8], Fisher proved some related fixed point theorems in two complete metric spaces which is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be a complete metric spaces. If T is a mapping from X into Y and S is a mapping from Y into X satisfying the following conditions:*

$$\rho(Tx, Tsy) \leq c \max\{d(x, Sy), \rho(y, Tx), \rho(y, STy)\}$$

$$d(Sy, STx) \leq c \max\{\rho(y, Tx), d(x, Sy), d(x, STx)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ where $c \in [0, 1)$, then ST have a unique fixed point w in Y . Further $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

In [30], Popa extended the results of Fisher. Besides, Cho [6], extended and improved the results of Fisher [7],[8], and Popa [30]. Recently, related fixed point theorems on three complete metric spaces have been studied by Fisher and Rao [28-30], Nung [24], Jain and Rao[10-12], Jain and Dixit[9].

In 2006 Mustafa, and Sims, introduced the notion of generalized metric space called G-metric space [15]. In this generalization to every triplet of elements in the space assigned a non-negative real number. An analysis of the structure of these spaces was done in details in [15]. Subsequently, several authors proved many kind of fixed point theorems for contractive type mapping and expansive mapping in generalized metric spaces (see [1]-[3],[4-5],[13-14],[16-23],[25],[27],[31]). On the other hand, Rao [31], obtained the related fixed point theorems on three complete G-metric spaces.

In the first part of this paper, we prove some results concerning the related fixed point theorems on two complete

G-metric spaces and deduce some corollaries. In the second part, we prove also a related fixed point theorems on two compact G-metric spaces. The results of this paper are new in G-metric spaces.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some basic definitions and results which are important in the sequel. We refer to [19], for details on the following notions. Throughout this paper, \mathbb{R} denotes the set of all real numbers, \mathbb{R}^+ denotes the set of nonnegative reals and \mathbb{N} denotes the set of natural numbers.

Definition 2.1. *Let X be a non empty set and $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function satisfying the following axioms:*

$$(G1) \quad G(x, y, z) = 0 \text{ if } x = y = z,$$

$$(G2) \quad 0 < G(x, x, y), \text{ for all } x, y \in X, \text{ with } x \neq y,$$

$$(G3) \quad G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z), \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X, \text{ with } z \neq y,$$

$$(G4) \quad G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots \text{ (symmetry in all three variables),}$$

$$(G5) \quad G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z), \text{ for all } x, y, z, a \in X \text{ (rectangle inequality).}$$

Then the function G is called a generalized metric, or more specifically a G-metric on X , and the pair (X, G) is called a G-metric space.

Example 2.1. Define $G : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by $G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$, for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$. Then it is clear that (\mathbb{R}, G) is a G-metric space.

Proposition 2.1. *Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. Then for any x, y, z and $a \in X$, it follows that:*

$$(1) \text{ if } G(x, y, z) = 0 \text{ then } x = y = z,$$

$$(2) \quad G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z),$$

$$(3) \quad G(x, y, y) \leq 2G(y, x, x).$$

Definition 2.2. *Let (X, G) be a G-metric space, and (x_n) be a sequence of points of X , we say that (x_n) is G-convergent*

to $x \in X$ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m \geq N$.

Proposition 2.2. Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) (x_n) is G-convergent to x ,
- (2) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (3) $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.3. Let (X, G) be a G-metric space, a sequence (x_n) is called G-Cauchy if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m, l \geq N$.

Definition 2.4. A G-metric space (X, G) is said to be G-complete if every G-Cauchy sequence in (X, G) is G-convergent in (X, G) .

Definition 2.5. A G-metric space (X, G) is said to be a compact G-metric space if it is G-complete and G-totally bounded.

Definition 2.6. Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be complete G-metric spaces, and let $f : (X, G_1) \rightarrow (Y, G_2)$ be a function, then f is said to be G-continuous at a point $a \in X$, if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$, such that $x_1, x_2 \in X, G_1(a, x_1, x_2) < \delta$ implies $G_2(f(a), f(x_1), f(x_2)) < \varepsilon$.

A function f is G-continuous on X if and only if, it is G-continuous at all $a \in X$.

Proposition 2.3. Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. Then the function $G(x, y, z)$ is continuous in all variables.

3. RELATED FIXED POINT THEOREMS ON COMPLETE G-METRIC SPACES

Our main result follows:

Let \mathfrak{S} be the set of all continuous real functions $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that:

- (i) $g(0, 0, 0) = 0$
- (ii) If $u^2 \leq g(uv, 0, 0)$ or $u^2 \leq g(0, uv, 0)$ or $u^2 \leq g(0, 0, uv)$, for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then there exists $0 \leq c < 1$ such that $u \leq \frac{1}{4}cv$.

Example 3.1. If we define a function $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by the following:

(a) $g(u, v, w) = \frac{1}{4}c \max\{uw, vu, wv\}$, for all $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^+$, where $0 \leq c < 1$,

(b) $g(u, v, w) = \frac{1}{4}(auw + bvu + c wv)$, for all $u, v, w, a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Then $g \in \mathfrak{S}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be complete G-metric spaces, and T be a mapping of X into Y and let S be a

mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} G_2^2(Tx, TSy_1, TSy_2) &\leq g(G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx), \\ G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2), \\ G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^2(Sy_1, Sy_2, STx) &\leq g(G_1(x, x, STx)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2), \\ G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx), \\ G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_1(x, x, STx)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

for all x in X and y_1, y_2 in Y , where $g \in \mathfrak{S}$. Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Proof. We define the sequences (x_n) in X , and (y_n) in Y by $x_n = (ST)^n x, y_n = T(ST)^{n-1} x$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We will assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ and $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$ for all n . Applying the inequality (3.1) and using property (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_2^2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) &= G_2^2(Tx_{n-1}, TSy_n, TSy_n) \leq \\ &g(G_2(y_n, TSy_n, TSy_n)G_2(y_n, y_n, Tx_{n-1}), \\ &G_2(y_n, y_n, Tx_{n-1})G_1(x_{n-1}, Sy_n, Sy_n), \\ &G_1(x_{n-1}, Sy_n, Sy_n)G_2(y_n, TSy_n, TSy_n)) \\ &\leq g(0, 0, G_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)G_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})), \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} G_2^2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{1}{4}cG_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)G_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \\ G_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{1}{4}cG_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Similarly, applying the inequality (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^2(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) &= G_1^2(Sy_n, Sy_n, STx_n) \\ &\leq g(G_1(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})G_1(x_n, Sy_n, Sy_n), \\ &G_1(x_n, Sy_n, Sy_n)G_2(y_n, y_n, Tx_n), \\ &G_2(y_n, y_n, Tx_n)G_1(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})) \\ &\leq g(G_1(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})G_1(x_n, x_n, x_n), \\ &G_1(x_n, x_n, x_n)G_2(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}), \\ &G_2(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})G_1(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})) \end{aligned}$$

Using property (ii) and the Proposition(2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^2(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{1}{4}cG_2(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})G_1(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ \frac{1}{2}G_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) &\leq G_1(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}cG_2(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ &cG_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$G_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq cG_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \quad (3.4)$$

Now it follows from the inequalities (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$G_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{4}c^2G_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n).$$

Hence, by induction we get

$$G_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n c^{2n} G_1(x, x_1, x_1), \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.5)$$

So (x_n) and (y_n) are G-Cauchy sequences with limits z in X and w in Y . Using the inequality (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_2^2(Tz, y_n, y_n) &= G_2^2(Tz, TSy_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1}) \\ &\leq g(G_2(y_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1})G_2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, Tz), \\ &G_2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, Tz)G_1(z, Sy_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1}), G_1(z, Sy_{n-1}, \\ &Sy_{n-1})G_2(y_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1})) \\ &\leq g(G_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n)G_2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, Tz), \\ &G_2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, Tz)G_1(z, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), \\ &G_1(z, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1})G_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n)). \\ G_2^2(Tz, w, w) &\leq g(0, 0, 0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $G_2(Tz, w, w) = 0$, hence $w = Tz$. Using the inequality (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^2(Sw, Sw, x_n) &= G_1^2(Sw, Sw, STx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq g(G_1(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, STx_{n-1})G_1(x_{n-1}, Sw, Sw), \\ &G_1(x_{n-1}, Sw, Sw)G_2(w, w, Tx_{n-1}), \\ &G_2(w, w, Tx_{n-1})G_1(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, STx_{n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Letting n tends to infinity and using (i), we have $G_1^2(Sw, Sw, x_n) \leq g(0, 0, 0) = 0$, and it follows that $z = Sw$. Thus $STz = Sw = z$, $TSw = Tz = w$, and so ST has a fixed point z and TS has a fixed point w . To prove uniqueness, suppose that ST has a second fixed point z_1 and TS has a second fixed point w_1 . Then applying the inequality (3.1) and using property (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_2^2(w, w_1, w_1) &= G_2^2(TSw, TSw_1, TSw_1) \\ &= G_2^2(Tz, TSw_1, TSw_1) \\ &\leq g(G_2(w_1, TSw_1, TSw_1)G_2(w_1, w_1, Tz), \\ &G_2(w_1, w_1, Tz)G_1(z, Sw_1, Sw_1), \\ &G_1(z, Sw_1, Sw_1)G_2(w_1, TSw_1, TSw_1)) \\ &\leq g(0, G_2(w_1, w_1, w)G_1(Sw, Sw_1, Sw_1), 0), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} G_2^2(w, w_1, w_1) &\leq \frac{1}{4}cG_1(Sw, Sw_1, Sw_1)G_2(w_1, w_1, w), \\ G_2(w, w_1, w_1) &\leq \frac{1}{4}cG_1(Sw, Sw_1, Sw_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Further, applying the inequality (3.2) and using property (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^2(Sw, Sw, Sw_1) &= G_1^2(STSw, STSw, STSw_1) \leq \\ &g(G_1(Sw_1, Sw_1, STSw_1)G_1(Sw_1, STSw, STSw), \\ &G_1(Sw_1, STSw, STSw)G_2(TSw, TSw, TSw_1), \\ &G_2(TSw, TSw, TSw_1)G_1(Sw_1, Sw_1, STSw_1)) \\ &\leq g(0, G_1(Sw_1, Sw, Sw)G_2(w, w, w_1), 0) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^2(Sw, Sw, Sw_1) &\leq \frac{1}{4}cG_2(w, w, w_1)G_1(Sw, Sw, Sw_1) \\ G_1(Sw, Sw, Sw_1) &\leq \frac{1}{4}cG_2(w, w, w_1) \\ G_1(Sw, Sw, Sw_1) &\leq \frac{1}{4}cG_2(w, w, w_1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

again by using the Proposition (2.2), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}G_1(Sw, Sw_1, Sw_1) &\leq G_1(Sw, Sw, Sw_1) \leq \frac{1}{4}cG_2(w, w, w_1) \leq \frac{1}{2}cG_2(w, w_1, w_1) \\ G_1(Sw, Sw_1, Sw_1) &\leq cG_2(w, w_1, w_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Now it follows from the inequalities (3.6) and (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(w, w_1, w_1) &\leq \frac{1}{4}cG_1(Sw, Sw_1, Sw_1) \\ &< \frac{1}{4}c^2G_2(w, w_1, w_1) < G_2(w, w_1, w_1) \end{aligned}$$

and so $w = w_1$ since $c < 1$. The fixed point w of TS must be a unique. Now $TSz_1 = z_1$ implies $TSTz_1 = Tz_1$ and so $Tz_1 = w$. Thus $z = STz = Sw = STz_1 = z_1$, proving that z is a unique fixed point of ST . Thus the proof of the Theorem is completes. \square

We have the following Corollaries:

Corollary 3.2. Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be complete G-metric spaces, and T be a mapping of X into Y and let S be a mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} G_2^2(Tx, TSy_1, TSy_2) &\leq \frac{1}{4}c \max\{G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2) \\ &G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx), G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2), \\ &G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2)\} \\ G_1^2(Sy_1, Sy_2, STx) &\leq \frac{1}{4}c \max\{G_1(x, x, STx)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2), \\ &G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx), G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_1(x, x, STx)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all x in X and y_1, y_2 in Y , $0 \leq c < 1$. Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Proof. It is immediate to see that, if we take a function $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, by $g(u, v, w) = \frac{1}{4}c \max\{uw, vu, vw\}$, for

all $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^+$, where $0 \leq c < 1$, then from Example (3.1)(a) it follows that $g \in \mathfrak{S}$ and by the Theorem (3.1), the Corollary follows. \square

Corollary 3.3. Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be complete G - metric spaces, and T be a mapping of X into Y and let S be a mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities:

$$G_2^2(Tx, TSy_1, TSy_2) \leq \frac{1}{4} (a_1 G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2) G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx) + b_1 G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx) G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2) + c_1 G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2) G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2))$$

$$G_1^2(Sy_1, Sy_2, STx) \leq \frac{1}{4} (a_2 G_1(x, x, STx) G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2) + b_2 G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2) G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx) + c_2 G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx) G_1(x, x, STx))$$

for all x in X and y_1, y_2 in Y , $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $(a_1 + b_1 + c_1)(a_2 + b_2 + c_2) < 1$. Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Now, we give an example to illustrate Theorem(3.1).

Example 3.2. Let $X = Y = [1, \infty)$, we define on X and Y the G_1 -metric space and the G_2 -metric space as follows:

$$G_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \max\{|x_1 - x_2|, |x_2 - x_3|, |x_3 - x_1|\}, \text{ with } x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$$

$$G_2(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{16} \max\{|y_1 - y_2|, |y_2 - y_3|, |y_3 - y_1|\}, \text{ with } y_1, y_2, y_3 \in Y.$$

Let T and S defined by $Tx = 2x - 1$ and $Sy = y$, we have

$$G_2^2(Tx, TSy, TSy) = G_2^2(Tx, Ty, Ty) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{16}\right)^2 |Tx - Ty| |Tx - Ty| = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} G_1(x, Sy, Sy) G_2(y, Ty, Ty)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} c \max\{0, 0, G_1(x, Sy, Sy) G_2(y, Ty, Ty)\} = g(0, 0, G_1(x, Sy, Sy) G_2(y, Ty, Ty))$$

then ST and TS have the unique fixed point 1.

Theorem 3.4. Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be complete G - metric spaces, and T be a mapping of X into Y and let S be a mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities:

$$G_2^3(Tx, TSy_1, TSy_2) \leq \frac{1}{4} c_1 \max\{G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2) G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2) G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2), \quad (3.9)$$

$$G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx) G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2) G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx), G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2) G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx) G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)\}$$

$$G_1^3(Sy_1, Sy_2, STx) \leq \frac{1}{4} c_2 \max\{G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx) G_1(x, x, STx) G_1(x, x, STx), \quad (3.10)$$

$$G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2) G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx) G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2), G_1(x, x, STx) G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2) G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)\}$$

for all x in X and y_1, y_2 in Y , where $0 \leq c_1 c_2 < 1$. Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Proof. We define the sequences (x_n) in X , and (y_n) in Y , by $x_n = (ST)^n x$, $y_n = T(ST)^{n-1} x$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We will assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ and $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$ for all n . Applying the inequality (3.9), we have

$$G_2^3(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = G_2^3(Tx_{n-1}, TSy_n, TSy_n) \leq \frac{1}{4} c_1 \max\{G_1(x_{n-1}, Sy_n, Sy_n) G_2(y_n, TSy_n, TSy_n) G_2(y_n, TSy_n, TSy_n),$$

$$G_2(y_n, y_n, Tx_{n-1}) G_1(x_{n-1}, Sy_n, Sy_n) G_2(y_n, y_n, Tx_{n-1}), G_2(y_n, TSy_n, TSy_n) G_2(y_n, y_n, Tx_{n-1}) G_2(y_n, y_n, Tx_{n-1})\}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} c_1 \max\{G_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) G_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) G_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}), 0, 0\}.$$

It follows that

$$G_2^3(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{4} c_1 G_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) G_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) G_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})$$

$$G_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{4}cG_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) \quad (3.11)$$

Applying the inequality (3.10), and using the Proposition (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^3(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) &= G_1^3(Sy_n, Sy_n, STx_n) \leq \frac{1}{4}c_2 \max\{G_2(y_n, y_n, Tx_n)G_1(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})G_1(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}), \\ &G_1(x_n, Sy_n, Sy_n)G_2(y_n, y_n, Tx_n)G_1(x_n, Sy_n, Sy_n), G_1(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})G_1(x_n, Sy_n, Sy_n)G_1(x_n, Sy_n, Sy_n)\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}c_2 \max\{G_2(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})G_1(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})G_1(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}), \\ &G_1(x_n, x_n, x_n)G_2(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})G_1(x_n, x_n, x_n), G_1(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})G_1(x_n, x_n, x_n)G_1(x_n, x_n, x_n)\} \\ G_1^3(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{1}{4}c_2 G_2(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})G_1(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})G_1(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ \frac{1}{2}G_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) &\leq G_1(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{4}c_2 G_2(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{2}c_2 G_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Now it follows from the inequalities (3.11) and (3.12) that

$$G_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq c_2 G_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{4}c_1 c_2 G_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n). \quad (3.13)$$

Hence, by induction we get

$$G_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n (c_2 c_1)^n G_1(x, x_1, x_1), \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since $c_2 c_1 < 1$, it follows that x_n and y_n are G-Cauchy sequences with limits z in X and w in Y . Using the inequality (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_2^3(Tz, y_n, y_n) &= G_2^3(Tz, TSy_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1}) \\ &\frac{1}{4}c_1 \max\{G_1(z, Sy_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1})G_2(y_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1})G_2(y_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1}), \\ &G_2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, Tz)G_1(z, Sy_{n-1}, Sy_{n-1})G_2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, Tz), \\ &G_2(y_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1})G_2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, Tz)G_2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, Tz)\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}c_1 \max\{G_1(z, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1})G_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n)G_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n), G_2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, Tz)G_1(z, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1})G_2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, Tz), \\ &G_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n)G_2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, Tz)G_2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, Tz)\} \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $G_2^3(Tz, w, w) \leq 0$, it follows that $G_2(Tz, w, w) = 0$, hence $w = Tz$. Using the inequality (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^3(Sw, Sw, x_n) &= G_1^3(Sw, Sw, STx_{n-1}) \leq \\ &\frac{1}{4}c_2 \max\{G_2(w, w, Tx_{n-1})G_1(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, STx_{n-1})G_1(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, STx_{n-1}), \\ &G_1(x_{n-1}, Sw, Sw)G_2(w, w, Tx_{n-1})G_1(x_{n-1}, Sw, Sw), G_1(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, STx_{n-1})G_1(x_{n-1}, Sw, Sw)G_1(x_{n-1}, Sw, Sw)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting n tends to infinity, we have $G_1^3(Sw, Sw, x_n) \leq 0$, and it follows that $z = Sw$. Thus $STz = Sw = z, TSw = Tz = w$, and so ST has a fixed point z and TS has a fixed point w . Now suppose that ST has a second fixed point z_1 and TS has a second fixed point w_1 . Then using the inequality (3.9) and property (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_2^3(w, w_1, w_1) &= G_2^3(TSw, TSw_1, TSw_1) = G_2^3(Tz, TSw_1, TSw_1) \leq \\ &\frac{1}{4}c_1 \max\{G_1(z, Sw_1, Sw_1)G_2(w_1, TSw_1, TSw_1)G_2(w_1, TSw_1, TSw_1), \\ &G_2(w_1, w_1, Tz)G_2(w_1, w_1, Tz)G_1(z, Sw_1, Sw_1), \\ &G_2(w_1, TSw_1, TSw_1)G_2(w_1, w_1, Tz)G_2(w_1, w_1, Tz)\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}c_1 \max\{0, G_2(w_1, w_1, w)G_1(Sw, Sw_1, Sw_1)G_2(w_1, w_1, w), 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and so $G_2^3(w, w_1, w_1) \leq \frac{1}{4}cG_1(Sw, Sw_1, Sw_1)G_2(w_1, w_1, w)G_2(w_1, w_1, w)$

$$G_2(w, w_1, w_1) \leq \frac{1}{4}cG_1(Sw, Sw_1, Sw_1). \quad (3.14)$$

Applying the inequality (3.10), Proposition (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_1^3(Sw, Sw, Sw_1) &= G_1^3(STSw, STSw, STSw_1) \leq \\
 &\frac{1}{4}c_2 \max\{G_2(TSw, TSw, TSw_1)G_1(Sw_1, Sw_1, STSw_1)G_1(Sw, Sw, STSw), \\
 &G_1(Sw_1, STSw, STSw)G_2(TSw, TSw, TSw_1)G_1(Sw_1, STSw, STSw), \\
 &G_1(Sw_1, Sw_1, STSw_1)G_1(Sw_1, STSw, STSw)G_1(Sw_1, STSw, STSw)\} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4}c_2 \max\{0, G_1(Sw_1, Sw, Sw)G_1(Sw_1, Sw, Sw)G_2(w, w, w_1), 0\} \\
 G_1^3(Sw, Sw, Sw_1) &\leq \frac{1}{4}c_2G_2(w, w, w_1)G_1(Sw, Sw, Sw_1)G_1(Sw, Sw, Sw_1) \\
 \frac{1}{2}G_1(Sw, Sw_1, Sw_1) &\leq G_1(Sw, Sw, Sw_1) \leq \frac{1}{4}c_2G_2(w, w, w_1) \leq \frac{1}{2}c_2G_2(w, w_1, w_1)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

$$G_1(Sw, Sw_1, Sw_1) \leq c_2G_2(w, w_1, w_1) \tag{3.16}$$

Now it follows from the inequalities (3.14) and (3.16) that

$$G_2(w, w_1, w_1) \leq \frac{1}{4}c_1G_1(Sw, Sw_1, Sw_1) < \frac{1}{4}c_1c_2G_2(w, w_1, w_1) < G_2(w, w_1, w_1)$$

and so $w = w_1$ since $c_1c_2 < 1$. The fixed point w of TS must be a unique. Now $TSz_1 = z_1$ implies $TSTz_1 = Tz_1$ and so $Tz_1 = w$. Thus $z = STz = Sw = STz_1 = z_1$, proving that z is the unique fixed point of ST . This completes the proof of the Theorem. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be complete G - metric spaces, and T be a mapping of X into Y and let S be a mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_2^3(Tx, TSy_1, TSy_2) &\leq \frac{1}{4}(a_1G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2)G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2)+ \\
 &b_1G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx) + c_1G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)) \\
 G_1^3(Sy_1, Sy_2, STx) &\leq \frac{1}{4}(a_2G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_1(x, x, STx)G_1(x, x, STx)+b_2G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)+ \\
 &c_2G_1(x, x, STx)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2))
 \end{aligned}$$

for all x in X and y_1, y_2 in Y , $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $(a_1 + b_1 + c_1)(a_2 + b_2 + c_2) < 1$. Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Example 3.3. Let $X = Y = [1, \infty)$, we define on X and Y the G_1 -metric space and the G_2 -metric space as follows:

$$G_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \max\{|x_1 - x_2|, |x_2 - x_3|, |x_3 - x_1|\}, \text{ with } x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$$

$$G_2(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \frac{\sqrt{4}}{48} \max\{|y_1 - y_2|, |y_2 - y_3|, |y_3 - y_1|\}, \text{ with } y_1, y_2, y_3 \in Y.$$

Let T and S defined by $Tx = 3x - 2$ and $Sy = y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_2^3(Tx, TSy, TSy) &= G_2^3(Tx, Ty, Ty) = 3\left(\frac{\sqrt{4}}{48}\right)^2 |x - y| |Tx - Ty| = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\sqrt{4}}{4} G_1(x, Sy, Sy)G_2(y, Ty, Ty)G_2(y, Ty, Ty) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4}c \max\{G_1(x, Sy, Sy)G_2(y, Ty, Ty)G_2(y, Ty, Ty), 0, 0\}
 \end{aligned}$$

then ST and TS have a unique fixed point 1.

4. RELATED FIXED POINT THEOREMS ON COMPACT G-METRIC SPACES

In this section, we prove an analogous results for compact G-metric spaces.

Let \mathfrak{S}^* denotes the set of all real functions $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that :

(i)* If $u^2 < g(uv, 0, 0)$ or $u^2 < g(0, uv, 0)$ or $u^2 < g(0, 0, uv)$, for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then $u < \frac{1}{2}v$.

Theorem 4.1. Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be compact G- metric spaces, and T be a continuous mapping of X into Y and let S be a continuous mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities:

$$G_2^2(Tx, TSy_1, TSy_2) < g(G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx), G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)), \quad (4.1)$$

$$G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2))$$

for all x in X and y_1, y_2 in Y with $x \neq Sy_1$, and $x \neq Sy_2$, where $g \in \mathfrak{S}^*$, and

$$G_1^2(Sy_1, Sy_2, STx) < g(G_1(x, x, STx)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2), G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)), \quad (4.2)$$

$$G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_1(x, x, STx))$$

for all x in X and y_1, y_2 in Y , where $g \in \mathfrak{S}^*$ with $y_1 \neq Tx, y_2 \neq Tx$. Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Proof. Let $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by $\psi(x) = G_1(x, STx, STx)$ is G-continuous on X . Since X is compact, there exists a point u in X such that $\psi(u) = G_1(u, STu, STu) = \min\{G_1(x, STx, STx); x \in X\}$. Now suppose that $Tu \neq TSTu$, then $u \neq STu$. Put $y_1 = y_2 = Tu, x = Sy = STu$ in the inequality (4.2), we have

$$G_1^2(STu, STu, STSTu) < g(G_1(STu, STu, STSTu)G_1(STu, STu, STu),$$

$$G_1(STu, STu, STu)G_2(Tu, Tu, TSTu), G_2(Tu, Tu, TSTu)G_1(STu, STu, STSTu))$$

$$< g(0, 0, G_2(Tu, Tu, TSTu)G_1(STu, STu, STSTu)).$$

Using condition (i)* and Proposition(2.2) we have

$$G_1^2(STu, STu, STSTu) < \frac{1}{2}G_2(Tu, Tu, TSTu)G_1(STu, STu, STSTu)$$

$$G_1(STu, STu, STSTu) < \frac{1}{2}G_2(Tu, Tu, TSTu) < G_2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu)$$

Put $y_1 = y_2 = Tu, x = u$ in the inequality (4.1), we have

$$G_2^2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu) < g(G_2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu)G_2(Tu, Tu, Tu),$$

$$G_2(Tu, Tu, Tu)G_1(u, STu, STu), G_1(u, STu, STu)G_2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu))$$

$$< g(0, 0, G_1(u, STu, STu)G_2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu))$$

But using condition (i)*, we get

$$G_2^2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu) < \frac{1}{2}G_1(u, STu, STu)G_2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu),$$

$$G_2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu) < \frac{1}{2}G_1(u, STu, STu)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}G_1(STu, STSTu, STSTu) \leq G_1(STu, STu, STSTu) < \frac{1}{2}G_1(u, STu, STu)$$

$$G_1(STu, STSTu, STSTu) < G_1(u, STu, STu).$$

Hence $\psi(STu) < \psi(u)$, and this gives us a contradiction. So $TSTu = Tu$. If putting $Tu = w$ and $Sw = z$, then we get $ST(STu) = S(TSTu) = STu = Sw = z$, and $w = Tu = TS(Tu) = T(STu) = Tz$. Thus, $Sw = z$ is a fixed point of ST and $Tz = w$ is a fixed point of TS . To prove uniqueness, suppose that ST has a second distinct fixed point z_1 . Then applying the inequality (4.2) and using condition (i)*, we have

$$G_1^2(z, z, z_1) = G_1^2(STz, STz, STz_1) < g(G_1(z_1, z_1, STz_1)G_1(z_1, z, z),$$

$$G_1(z_1, z, z)G_2(Tz, Tz, Tz_1), G_2(Tz, Tz, Tz_1)G_1(z_1, z_1, STz_1)).$$

It follows that

$$G_1^2(z, z, z_1) < \frac{1}{2}G_2(Tz, Tz, Tz_1)G_1(z_1, z, z)$$

$$G_1(z, z, z_1) < \frac{1}{2}G_2(Tz, Tz, Tz_1)$$

Further, applying the inequality (4.1) and using condition (i)* we have,

$$\begin{aligned} G_2^2(Tz, Tz_1, Tz_1) &= G_2^2(Tz, TSTz_1, TSTz_1) < \\ g(G_2(Tz_1, TSTz_1, TSTz_1)G_2(Tz_1, Tz_1, Tz), G_2(Tz_1, Tz_1, Tz)G_1(z, STz_1, STz_1), \\ G_1(z, STz_1, STz_1)G_2(Tz_1, TSTz_1, TSTz_1)) &= g(0, G_2(Tz_1, Tz_1, Tz)G_1(z, z_1, z_1), 0), \\ G_2^2(Tz, Tz_1, Tz_1) &< \frac{1}{2}G_1(z, z_1, z_1)G_2(Tz_1, Tz_1, Tz), \\ \frac{1}{2}G_2(Tz_1, Tz, Tz) &\leq G_2(Tz, Tz_1, Tz_1) < \frac{1}{2}G_1(z, z_1, z_1). \end{aligned}$$

Now, it follows that $G_1(z, z, z_1) < \frac{1}{2}G_1(z, z_1, z_1) \leq G_1(z, z, z_1)$, this is a contradiction and so the fixed point z must be a unique. Similarly, w is a unique fixed point of TS . This completes the proof of the Theorem. \square

Corollary 4.2. Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be compact G -metric spaces, and T be a continuous mapping of X into Y and let S be a continuous mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} G_2^2(Tx, TSy_1, TSy_2) &< \frac{1}{2} \max\{G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx), G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2), \\ &G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2)\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all x in X and y_1, y_2 in Y with $x \neq Sy_1$, and $x \neq Sy_2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^2(Sy_1, Sy_2, STx) &< \frac{1}{2} \max\{G_1(x, x, STx)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2), G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx), \\ &G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_1(x, x, STx)\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all x in X and y_1, y_2 in Y , with $y_1 \neq Tx, y_2 \neq Tx$. Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Corollary 4.3. Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be compact G -metric spaces, and T be a continuous mapping of X into Y and let S be a continuous mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} G_2^2(Tx, TSy_1, TSy_2) &< \frac{1}{2}(a_1G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx) + b_1G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2) + \\ &c_1G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2)) \end{aligned}$$

for all x in X and y_1, y_2 in Y with $x \neq Sy_1$, and $x \neq Sy_2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^2(Sy_1, Sy_2, STx) &< \frac{1}{2}(a_2G_1(x, x, STx)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2) + b_2G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx) + \\ &c_2G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_1(x, x, STx)) \end{aligned}$$

for all x in X and y_1, y_2 in Y , with $y_1 \neq Tx, y_2 \neq Tx$, and $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $(a_1 + b_1 + c_1)(a_2 + b_2 + c_2) < 1$. Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

We give an example to support Theorem(4.1).

Example 4.1. Let $X = Y = [0, 1]$, we define on X and Y the G_1 -metric space and the G_2 -metric space as follows:

$$G_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \max\{|x_1 - x_2|, |x_2 - x_3|, |x_3 - x_1|\}, \text{ with } x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$$

$$G_2(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{9} \max\{|y_1 - y_2|, |y_2 - y_3|, |y_3 - y_1|\}, \text{ with } y_1, y_2, y_3 \in Y.$$

Let T and S defined by $Tx = \frac{3}{4}x^2$ and $Sy = y$, we have

$$G_2^2(Tx, TSy, TSy) = G_2^2(Tx, Ty, Ty) \leq \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{9}\right)^2 |x - y| |Tx - Ty| = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} G_1(x, Sy, Sy) G_2(y, Ty, Ty)$$

$$< \frac{1}{2} \max\{0, 0, G_1(x, Sy, Sy) G_2(y, Ty, Ty)\} = g(0, 0, G_1(x, Sy, Sy) G_2(y, Ty, Ty)),$$

then ST and TS have the unique fixed point 0.

Theorem 4.4. Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be compact G - metric spaces, and T be a continuous mapping of X into Y and let S be a continuous mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities:

$$G_2^3(Tx, TSy_1, TSy_2) < \frac{1}{2} \max\{G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2) G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2) G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2), \quad (4.3)$$

$$G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx) G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2) G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx), G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2) G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx) G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)\}$$

for all x in X and y_1, y_2 in Y , with $x \neq Sy_1$, and $x \neq Sy_2$, and

$$G_1^3(Sy_1, Sy_2, STx) < \frac{1}{2} \max\{G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx) G_1(x, x, STx) G_1(x, x, STx), \quad (4.4)$$

$$G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2) G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx) G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2), G_1(x, x, STx) G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2) G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)\}$$

for all x in X and y_1, y_2 in Y , with $y_1 \neq Tx$, $y_2 \neq Tx$. Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Proof. Let $\psi : X \rightarrow R^+$ defined by $\psi(x) = G_1(x, STx, STx)$ is G -continuous on X . Since X is compact, there exists a point u in X such that $\psi(u) = G_1(u, STu, STu) = \min\{G_1(x, STx, STx); x \in X\}$. Now suppose that $Tu \neq TSTu$. Then $u \neq STu$. By the inequality (4.4), we have

$$G_1^3(STu, STu, STSTu) < \frac{1}{2} \max\{G_2(Tu, Tu, TSTu) G_1(STu, STu, STSTu) G_1(STu, STu, STSTu),$$

$$G_1(STu, STu, STu) G_2(Tu, Tu, TSTu) G_1(STu, STu, STu),$$

$$G_1(STu, STu, STSTu) G_1(STu, STu, STu) G_1(STu, STu, STu)\}$$

$$< \frac{1}{2} \max\{G_2(Tu, Tu, TSTu) G_1(STu, STu, STSTu) G_1(STu, STu, STSTu), 0, 0\},$$

$$G_1^3(STu, STu, STSTu) < \frac{1}{2} G_2(Tu, Tu, TSTu) G_1(STu, STu, STSTu) G_1(STu, STu, STSTu),$$

$$G_1(STu, STu, STSTu) < \frac{1}{2} G_2(Tu, Tu, TSTu) < G_2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu) \quad (4.5)$$

Using the inequality (4.3), we have

$$G_2^3(Tu, TSTu, TSTu) < \frac{1}{2} \max\{G_1(u, STu, STu) G_2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu) G_2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu),$$

$$G_2(Tu, Tu, Tu) G_1(u, STu, STu) G_2(Tu, Tu, Tu), G_2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu) G_2(Tu, Tu, Tu) G_2(Tu, Tu, Tu)\}$$

$$< \frac{1}{2} \max\{G_1(u, STu, STu) G_2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu) G_2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu), 0, 0\}$$

we get $G_2^3(Tu, TSTu, TSTu) < \frac{1}{2} G_1(u, STu, STu) G_2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu) G_2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu)$,

$$G_2(Tu, TSTu, TSTu) < \frac{1}{2} G_1(u, STu, STu) \quad (4.6)$$

from the inequalities (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} G_1(STu, STSTu, STSTu) \leq G_1(STu, STu, STSTu) < \frac{1}{2} G_1(u, STu, STu),$$

$$G_1(STu, STSTu, STSTu) < G_1(u, STu, STu).$$

Then $\psi(STu) < \psi(u)$, and this gives us a contradiction, so $TSTu = Tu$. If putting $Tu = w$ and $Sw = z$, then we get $ST(STu) = S(TSTu) = STu = Sw = z$, and $w = Tu = TS(Tu) = T(STu) = Tz$. Thus, $Sw = z$ is a fixed point of ST and $Tz = w$ is a fixed point of TS . To prove uniqueness, suppose that ST has a second distinct fixed point z' . Then applying the inequality (4.4), we have

$$G_1^3(z, z, z') = G_1^3(STz, STz, STz') < \frac{1}{2} \max\{G_2(Tz, Tz, Tz')G_1(z', z', STz')G_1(z', z', STz'), \\ G_1(z', z, z)G_2(Tz, Tz, Tz')G_1(z', z, z), G_1(z', z', STz')G_1(z', z, z)G_1(z', z, z)\}$$

and it follows that

$$G_1^3(z, z, z') < \frac{1}{2}G_2(Tz, Tz, Tz')G_1(z', z, z) \\ G_1(z, z, z') < \frac{1}{2}G_2(Tz, Tz, Tz') \quad (4.7)$$

Applying the inequality (4.3) we have, since $z \neq z' = STz'$,

$$G_2^3(Tz, Tz', Tz') = G_2^3(Tz, TSTz', TSTz') < \\ \frac{1}{2} \max\{G_1(z, STz', STz')G_2(Tz', TSTz', TSTz')G_2(Tz', TSTz', TSTz'), \\ G_2(Tz', Tz', Tz)G_1(z, STz', STz')G_2(Tz', Tz', Tz), G_2(Tz', TSTz', TSTz')G_2(Tz', Tz', Tz)G_2(Tz', Tz', Tz)\} \\ < \frac{1}{2} \max\{0, G_2(Tz', Tz', Tz)G_1(z, z', z')G_2(Tz', Tz', Tz), 0\} \\ G_2^3(Tz, Tz', Tz') < \frac{1}{2}G_1(z, z', z')G_2(Tz', Tz', Tz)G_2(Tz', Tz', Tz), \\ G_2(Tz, Tz', Tz') < \frac{1}{2}G_1(z, z', z'), \\ \frac{1}{2}G_2(Tz', Tz, Tz) \leq G_2(Tz, Tz', Tz') < \frac{1}{2}G_1(z, z', z'). \quad (4.8)$$

From the inequalities (4.7) and (4.8), we get $G_1(z, z, z') < \frac{1}{2}G_1(z, z', z') \leq G_1(z, z, z')$, This is impossible, and so the fixed point z must be a unique, similarly w is a unique fixed point of TS . \square

Corollary 4.5. Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be compact G-metric spaces, and T be a continuous mapping of X into Y and let S be a continuous mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities:

$$G_2^3(Tx, TSy_1, TSy_2) < \frac{1}{2}(a_1G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2)G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2)+ \\ b_1G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx) + \\ c_1G_2(y_1, TSy_1, TSy_2)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx))$$

for all x in X and y_1, y_2 in Y , with $x \neq Sy_1$, and $x \neq Sy_2$, and

$$G_1^3(Sy_1, Sy_2, STx) < \frac{1}{2}(a_2G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_1(x, x, STx)G_1(x, x, STx)+$$

$$b_2G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_2(y_1, y_2, Tx)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2) + c_2G_1(x, x, STx)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2)G_1(x, Sy_1, Sy_2))$$

for all x in X and y_1, y_2 in Y , with $y_1 \neq Tx, y_2 \neq Tx$ and $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $(a_1 + b_1 + c_1)(a_2 + b_2 + c_2) < 1$. Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Acknowledgment

This project was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research at Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, KSA, under the research project No. 2014/01/2047.

REFERENCES

- [1] Agarwal, R, Karapinar, E: Further fixed point results on G-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl.. 2013, (2013) Article ID 154.
- [2] Agarwal, RP, Kadelburg, Z and Radenović S: On

- coupled fixed point results in asymmetric G-metric spaces, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013, 2013:528.
- [3] Alghamdi, MA, Karapinar, E: $G-\beta-\psi$ contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2013, (2013) Article ID 70.
- [4] Alghamdi, MA, Karapinar, E: $G-\beta-\psi$ contractive type mappings in G-metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013, (2013) Article ID 123.
- [5] Aydi, H, Karapinar, E, Shatanawi W: Tripled fixed point results in generalized metric spaces, *Journal of Applied Mathematics* 2012, Article ID314279, 10 pages.
- [6] Cho, Y, Kang, SM, and Kim, SS: Fixed points on two metric spaces. *Nov SAD J. Math.* 1999, 29(1):47-53.
- [7] Fisher, B: Fixed point on two metric spaces. *Glasnik Matematicki*. 1981,16(36):333-337.
- [8] Fisher, B: Related Fixed points on two complete metric spaces. *Math. Seminar Notes, Kobe Univ.*1982,10: 17-26.
- [9] Jain, RK, Dixit, SP: Extensions of some fixed point theorems of Brian Fisher. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 1983, 14 (8): 940-943.
- [10] Jain, RK, Sahu, HK and Fisher, B: Related fixed point theorems for three metric spaces. *Novi Sad J. Math.* 1996, 26:11-17.
- [11] Jain, RK, Sahu, HK and Fisher, B: Related fixed point theorem on three metric spaces. *Kyungpook Math. J.*1996 36: 151-154.
- [12] Jain, RK, Shrivastava, AK and Fisher, B: Fixed point theorems on three complete metric spaces. *Novi Sad J.Math.* 1997,27:27-35.
- [13] Jleli, M, Samet, B: Remarks on G-metric spaces and fixed point theorems. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2012, (2012) Article ID 210.
- [14] Mustafa, Z: A new structure for generalized metric spaces with applications to fixed point theory. PhD thesis, The University of Newcastle, Australia (2005).
- [15] Mustafa, Z, Sims, B: A new approach to generalized metric spaces. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*2006,(7): 289–297.
- [16] Mustafa, Z, Obiedat, H and Awawdeh, F: Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2008, (2008) Article ID 189870.
- [17] Mustafa, Z, Sims, B: Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G-metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2009, (2009) Article ID 917175.
- [18] Mustafa, Z, Shatanawi, W and Bataineh M: Existence of fixed point results in G-metric spaces. *Int. J. Math. Sci.* 2009, (2009) Article ID 283028.
- [19] Mustafa, Z, Khandaqji, M and Shatanawi, W: Fixed point results on complete G-metric spaces. *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.*2011,48:304–319.
- [20] Mustafa, Z: Common fixed points of weakly compatible mappings in G-metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Sci.*2012, 6(92): 4589–4600.
- [21] Mustafa, Z: Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions in G-metric spaces. *J. Appl. Math.* 2012, (2012) Article ID 248937.
- [22] Mustafa, Z, Aydi, H and Karapinar, E: Generalized Meir Keeler type contractions on G-metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 2013, 219(21):10441–10447. Publisher Full Text OpenURL.
- [23] Nashine, HK, Kadelburg, Z and Radenović, S: Coincidence and fixed point results under generalized weakly contractive condition in partially ordered G-metric spaces. *Filomat*. 2013, 27(7): 1333-1343.
- [24] Nung, NP: A fixed point theorem in three metric spaces. *Math. Sem. Notes, Kobe Univ.*1983,11:77-79.
- [25] Parvaneh, V, Salimi, P, Vetro, P, Nezhad, D and Radenović, S: Fixed point results for $GP_{(\Lambda, \Theta)}$ -contractive mappings, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*2014,7: 150-159.
- [26] Papa, V: Fixed point on two complete metric spaces. Review of search, Faculty of Sci. Math. series, Unvi Sad.. 1991, 21(1):83-93.
- [27] Radenović, S: Remarks on some recent coupled coincidence point results in symmetric G-metric spaces. *Journal of Operators* Volume 2013, Article ID 290525, 8 pages.
- [28] Rao, KP, Fisher, B: Related fixed point theorem for three metric spaces. *Hacettepe J. Math. and Stat.*2002, 31: 19-24.
- [29] Rao, KP, Hari, BV and Srinivasa, RN: Generalizations of some fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces. *Acta Ciencia Indica*, Vol. XXIX, M..2003 (1):31-34.
- [30] Rao, KP, Srinivasa, RN and Hari, BV: Three fixed point results for three maps. *J. Nat. Phy. Sci.*2004, 18:41- 48.
- [31] Rao, KP, Bhanu, LK, Mustafa, Z: Fixed and related fixed point theorems for three maps in G-metric space. *J. Adv. Stud. Topol.*20123(4):12–19.